arXiv:1703.03505v2 [math.AT] 22 Mar 2017

REPRESENTATION HOMOLOGY OF SPACES AND HIGHER HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY

YURI BEREST, AJAY C. RAMADOSS, AND WAI-KIT YEUNG

Abstract. In this paper, we study representation homology of topological spaces, that is a natural homological extension of representation varieties of fundamental groups. We give an elementary construction of representation homology in terms of classical (abelian) homological algebra. Our construction is parallel to the Loday-Pirashvili construction of higher Hochschild homology [75]; in fact, we establish a direct geometric relation between the two theories by proving that the representation homology of the (reduced) suspension of a (pointed connected) space is isomorphic to its higher Hochschild homology. We also construct some natural maps and spectral sequences relating representation homology to other standard homology theories associated with spaces (such as Pontryagin algebras, $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant homology of the free loop space and stable homology of automorphism groups of f.g. free groups). We compute representation homology explicitly (in terms of known invariants) in a number of interesting cases, including spheres, suspensions, complex projective spaces, Riemann surfaces and some 3-dimensional manifolds (such as link complements in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and the lens spaces $L(p,q)$). One of our main results, which we call the Comparison Theorem, expresses the representation homology of a simply-connected topological space of finite rational type in terms of its Quillen and Sullivan models.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 9
3. Higher Hochschild homology 11
4. Representation homology 14
5. Relation between representation homology and Hochschild homology 24
6. Representation homology of simply-connected spaces 27
7. Examples of non-simply connected spaces 40
8. Representation cohomology and a non-abelian Dennis trace map 49
Appendix A. Monoidal Dold-Kan correspondence 52
Appendix B. Simplicial commutative algebras of finite type 56
References 60

1. Introduction

1.1. **Representation varieties and representation homology.** Let G be a finite-dimensional affine algebraic group defined over a field k of characteristic zero. For any (discrete) group Γ, the set of all representations of Γ in G has a natural structure of an affine k-scheme called the *representation scheme* $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$. The representation schemes and associated representation varieties play an important role in many areas of mathematics, most notably in representation theory and low-dimensional topology. In representation theory, the fundamental problem is to understand the structure of representations of Γ in G. One can approach this problem geometrically by studying the natural (adjoint) action of the group G on the variety $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$. When k is algebraically closed and Γ is finitely generated, the equivariant geometry of $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$ is closely related to the representation theory of Γ: the equivalence classes of representations of Γ in G are in bijection with the G-orbits in $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$, and the geometry of G-orbits determines the algebraic structure of representations. This relation has been extensively studied since

the late 70s, and the representation varieties have become a standard tool in representation theory of groups (see, for example, [65]).

In topology, one is usually interested in global algebro-geometric invariants of spaces defined in terms of representation varieties of fundamental groups. For example, if K is a knot in $\mathbb{S}^3$, many classical invariants of K arise from its character variety $\chi_G(K) := \mathrm{Rep}_G[\pi_1(X_K)]//G$, which is the (categorical) quotient of the representation variety of the fundamental group of the knot complement $X_K := \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus K$. These invariants include, in particular, the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ (in the simplest case when $G = \mathbb{C}^*$, see, e.g., [69]), the so-called A-polynomial $A_K(m, l)$ (see [22]), the Casson invariant [61] and the famous Chern-Simons invariant [57, 58], all of which are defined for $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. In fact, for $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the entire character variety, or rather its coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}[\chi_G(K)]$, has a purely topological interpretation as a Kauffman bracket skein module of X_K (see [78]).

Despite being useful tools, the representation varieties exhibit some intrinsic deficiencies. First of all, these varieties are usually very singular, which makes it hard to understand their geometry. Thus, in representaion theory, one faces the problem of resolving singularities of $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$. In topology, the use of representation varieties is mostly limited to (compact orientable) surfaces, hyperbolic 3-manifolds and knot complements in $\mathbb{S}^3$, all of which are known to be aspherical spaces. The homotopy type of such a space is completely determined by the isomorphism type of its fundamental group, which makes representation varieties of these groups very strong and efficient invariants. For more general spaces, however, one needs to take into account a higher homotopy information, and looking at representation varieties of fundamental groups (or even, higher homotopy groups) is not enough.

A natural way to remedy these problems is to replace the representation functor Rep_G with its (non-abelian) derived functor DRep_G much in the same way as one replaces non-exact (additive) functors in classical homological algebra (such as '$\otimes$' and 'Hom') with corresponding derived functors ('$\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}$' and '$\mathbf{R}$Hom'). Geometrically, passing from the representation scheme $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$ to the derived representation scheme $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)$ amounts to desingularizing[1] $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$, while topologically, this brings in a new homology theory of spaces that captures a good deal of homotopy information and refines the classical representation varieties of fundamental groups in an interesting and non-trivial way.

To explain this idea in more precise terms, we recall that the representation scheme $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$ is defined as the functor on the category of commutative k-algebras:

$$\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma) : \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k \to \mathtt{Sets}\ , \quad A \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Gr}}(\Gamma, G(A))\ , \tag{1.1}$$

assigning to a k-algebra A the set of families of representations of Γ in G parametrized by the k-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$. It is well known (and easy to prove) that the functor (1.1) is representable, and we denote the corresponding commutative algebra by ${\Gamma_G}$[2]. Varying Γ (while keeping G fixed), we can now regard Γ_G as a functor on the category of groups:

$$(-)_G : \mathtt{Gr} \to \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k\ , \quad \Gamma \mapsto \Gamma_G\ , \tag{1.2}$$

which we call the *representation functor* in G. The functor (1.2) extends naturally to the category $\mathtt{sGr}$ of simplicial groups, taking values in the category $\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ of simplicial commutative algebras. Both categories $\mathtt{sGr}$ and $\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ carry standard (simplicial) model structures, with weak equivalences being the weak homotopy equivalences of the underlying simplicial sets. The functor $(-)_G : \mathtt{sGr} \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ is not homotopy invariant: in general, it does not preserve weak equivalences and hence does not descend to a functor between the homotopy categories $\mathtt{Ho(sGr)}$ and $\mathtt{Ho(sComm\,Alg}_k\mathtt{)}$. However, it is easy to check that $(-)_G$ does map weak equivalences between cofibrant objects in $\mathtt{sGr}$ to weak equivalences in $\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ (see Lemma 4.1). Hence, by standard homotopical algebra, it has a (total) left derived functor

$$\boldsymbol{L}(-)_G : \mathtt{Ho(sGr)} \to \mathtt{Ho(sComm\,Alg}_k\mathtt{)}\ . \tag{1.3}$$

[1]This approach to resolution of singularities is discussed in [19, 20], where it is applied to a number of classical moduli problems in algebraic geometry.

[2]The algebra Γ_G may be thought of as the coordinate ring of the affine k-scheme $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$, and we sometimes write $\Gamma_G = \mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)]$ to emphasize this interpretation.

We call (1.3) the *derived representation functor* in G. Heuristically, $\boldsymbol{L}(-)_G$ may be thought of as a "best possible" universal approximation to the representation functor (1.2) at the level of homotopy categories. When applied to a simplicial group Γ, the functor (1.3) is represented by a simplicial commutative algebra which (abusing notation) we denote by $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)$. The homotopy groups of $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)$ depend only on Γ and G, with $\pi_0[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)]$ being canonically isomorphic to $\pi_0(\Gamma)_G$. In particular, if Γ is a discrete simplicial group, then $\pi_0[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)] \cong \Gamma_G$. Extending our terminology from [6, 8], we will refer to $\pi_*[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)]$ as the *representation homology* of Γ in G and denote it $\mathrm{HR}_*(\Gamma, G)$. We should mention that representation homology of associative and Lie algebras was introduced and studied in [6, 7, 8]. The idea of deriving the representation functor was motivated by noncommutative geometry, where the representation functor plays an important role (see [40, 60] and also [10]).

Next, we recall that the model category $\mathtt{sGr}$ of simplicial groups is Quillen equivalent to the category of reduced simplicial sets, $\mathtt{sSet}_0$, which is, in turn, Quillen equivalent to the category $\mathtt{Top}_{0,*}$ of pointed connected topological spaces. These classical equivalences are given by two pairs of adjoint functors

$$\mathbb{G} : \mathtt{sSet}_0 \rightleftarrows \mathtt{sGr} : \overline{W}\,, \qquad |-| : \mathtt{sSet}_0 \rightleftarrows \mathtt{Top}_{0,*} : \mathrm{ES}\,,$$

the construction of which will be briefly reviewed in Section 4.1. Here, we only recall that $\mathbb{G}$ is the Kan loop group functor that assigns to a reduced simplicial set $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$ a semi-free simplicial group $\mathbb{G}X$, which is a simplicial model of the based loop space $\Omega|X|$ (see [52]). The functor $\mathbb{G}$ preserves weak equivalences and hence descends to a functor between the homotopy categories of $\mathtt{sSet}_0$ and $\mathtt{sGr}$. Combining $\mathbb{G} : \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sSet}_0) \to \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sGr})$ with the derived representation functor (1.3), we define the *representation homology* of $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$ by

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G) := \boldsymbol{L}_*(\mathbb{G}X)_G = \pi_*[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\mathbb{G}X)]\,. \tag{1.4}$$

The representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G)$ is a graded commutative algebra that depends only on the homotopy type of X and hence is a homotopy invariant of the corresponding space $|X|$. In degree zero, we have $\mathrm{HR}_0(X, G) \cong (\pi_1(X))_G = \mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Rep}_G(\pi_1(X))]$, where $\pi_1(X)$ is the fundamental group of X. To avoid confusion, we emphasize that $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G) \ncong \mathrm{HR}_*(\pi_1(X), G)$ in general; however, if X is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$-space (*e.g.*, $X = \mathrm{B}\Gamma$ for a discrete group Γ), then indeed we have $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\Gamma, G)$, so there is no ambiguity in our notation.

The goal of the present paper is threefold. First, we give an elementary construction of representation homology in terms of classical (abelian) homological algebra. Our construction is analogous to Pirashvili's construction of higher order Hochschild homology, and it provides a natural interpretation of representation homology as functor homology. This opens the way to efficient computations and places representation homology in one row with other classical invariants such as Hochschild and cyclic homology. Second, we construct spectral sequences and natural maps relating representation homology to other homology theories associated with spaces (including $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X)$, the higher Hochschild homology, the $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant homology of the free loop space $\mathscr{L}X$ and the stable homology of the automorphism groups of f.g. free groups $\mathbb{F}_n$). Third, we compute representation homology explicitly in a number of interesting cases, including the spheres $\mathbb{S}^n$, suspensions ΣX, closed surfaces of arbitrary genus and some classical 3-dimensional spaces, such as the link complements in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and the lens spaces $L(p, q)$. One of our main results is the Comparison Theorem that expresses the representation homology of a simply-connected topological space of finite rational type in terms of its Quillen and Sullivan models.

1.2. **Main results.** We now proceed with a summary of the main results of the paper. We begin by recalling Pirashvili's definition of higher Hochschild homology [75]. Let $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathtt{Set}$ denote the full subcategory of finite sets with objects $\underline{n} := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ (for $n \geq 1$) and $\underline{0} := \varnothing$. If $\mathtt{Vect}_k$ is the category of k-vector spaces, any functor $F : \mathfrak{F} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ with values in $\mathtt{Vect}_k$ extends naturally to a functor $\tilde{F} : \mathtt{Set} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ on the category of all sets (by taking the left Kan extension along the inclusion $\mathfrak{F} \hookrightarrow \mathtt{Set}$). Now, given $F : \mathfrak{F} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ and any simplicial set $X \in \mathtt{sSet}$, we can define a simplicial k-vector space FX by composition

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{X} \mathtt{Set} \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} \mathtt{Vect}_k\,.$$

Following [75], we denote the homotopy groups of FX by $\mathrm{HH}_*(X,F) := \pi_*(FX) = \mathrm{H}_*[N(FX)]$, where N stands for the Dold-Kan normalization functor. Any commutative k-algebra A defines a functor $\underline{A} : \mathfrak{F} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ by assigning to an object $\underline{n} \in \mathfrak{F}$ the n-th tensor power $A^{\otimes n}$ and to a morphism $f : \underline{n} \to \underline{m}$ the linear map $f_* : A^{\otimes n} \to A^{\otimes m}$, $f_*(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) = b_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes b_m$, with $b_j := \prod_{i \in f^{-1}(j)} a_i$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. The *higher Hochschild homology* of X with coefficients in A is then defined by the formula $\mathrm{HH}_*(X,A) := \mathrm{HH}_*(X,\underline{A})$. As observed in [75], if $X = \mathbb{S}^1$ is the (simplicial) circle, then $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathbb{S}^1, A)$ coincides with the classical Hochschild homology $\mathrm{HH}_*(A)$ of the commutative algebra A. The key fact behind this construction is that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a PROP (i.e., a permutative category) with monoidal structure $\underline{n} \boxtimes \underline{m} = \underline{n+m}$, and the category of k-algebras over $\mathfrak{F}$ (i.e., the category of strong monoidal functors $\mathfrak{F} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$) is equivalent (via $A \leftrightarrow \underline{A}$) to the category of commutative k-algebras.

Now, to define representation homology we replace $\mathfrak{F}$ by the category $\mathfrak{G}$ of finitely generated free groups with objects $\langle n \rangle := \mathbb{F}\langle \underline{n} \rangle$ (for $n \geq 0$) and morphisms being the arbitrary group homomorphisms. It is known that $\mathfrak{G}$ is also a PROP with monoidal structure $\langle n \rangle \boxtimes \langle m \rangle = \langle n+m \rangle$, and the category of k-algebras over $\mathfrak{G}$ is equivalent to the category of commutative Hopf k-algebras. Under this equivalence, a commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ corresponds to the (strong monoidal) functor $\underline{\mathcal{H}} : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$, $\underline{n} \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, which actually takes its values in the category of commutative algebras. As in the case of sets, the functor $\underline{\mathcal{H}} : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$ extends naturally to the category of all (based) free groups $\mathtt{FGr}$, whose objects are the free groups $\mathbb{F}\langle S \rangle$ given with a prescribed generating set S and morphisms are the arbitrary group homomorphisms.

Mimicking the Pirashvili construction, for a reduced simplicial set $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$ and a commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$, we can consider the composition of functors

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}X} \mathtt{FGr} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\underline{\mathcal{H}}}} \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k \ ,$$

where $\mathbb{G}X$ is the Kan loop group construction of X and $\tilde{\underline{\mathcal{H}}}$ is the (left) Kan extension of $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$ along the inclusion $\mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathtt{FGr}$. This defines a simplicial commutative algebra $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X)$, whose homotopy groups we denote by

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,\mathcal{H}) := \pi_*\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X) = \mathrm{H}_*[N(\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X))] \ . \tag{1.5}$$

Our main observation is that this definition is *equivalent* to our original definition of representation homology given in terms of the derived representation functor $\boldsymbol{L}(-)_G$, see (1.4). Precisely (*cf.* Proposition 4.2),

Proposition 1.1. *Let G be an affine group scheme over k with coordinate ring $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}(G)$. Then, for any $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$, there is a natural isomorphism of graded commutative algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{O}(G)) \ .$$

Thanks to Proposition 1.1, we may (and will) use the notation $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G)$ and $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,\mathcal{H})$ interchangeably, without causing confusion. Although its proof is almost immediate, Proposition 1.1 has a number of important implications. First, we state the following theorem, which is the main result of Section 4 (see Theorem 4.3).

Theorem 1.1. *For any $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$, there is a natural first quadrant spectral sequence*

$$E^2_{pq} \ = \ \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_p(\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q(\Omega X;k), \underline{\mathcal{H}}) \underset{p}{\Longrightarrow} \mathrm{HR}_n(X,\mathcal{H}) \tag{1.6}$$

converging to the representation homology of X.

The spectral sequence (1.6) relates the representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,\mathcal{H})$ of a space X to its Pontryagin algebra $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;k)$. To describe the E_2-term of (1.6) we recall that $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;k)$ has a natural structure of a graded cocommutative Hopf algebra with coproduct induced by the Alexander-Whitney diagonal and the product by the Eilenberg-Zilber map. For each $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the assignment $\langle n \rangle \mapsto [\mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}]_q$, where $[\mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}]_q$ is the q-th graded component of the n-th tensor power of $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;k)$, defines a functor $\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q : \mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$, which is the first argument of the "Tor" in (1.6). The "Tor" itself is the (abelian) derived functor of the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathfrak{G}}$ between covariant and contravariant $\mathtt{Vect}_k$-valued functors over the (small) category $\mathfrak{G}$. The spectral sequence (1.6) is a counterpart of Pirashvili's fundamental spectral

sequence for higher Hochschild homology (*cf.* [75, Theorem 2.4]); however, in the case of representation homology it takes a more geometric form.

Theorem 1.1 has several interesting applications. For example, if X is a $K(\Gamma,1)$-space, the spectral sequence (1.6) degenerates giving an isomorphism (*cf.* Corollary 4.3)

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Gamma, G) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathfrak{G}}(k[\Gamma], \mathcal{O}(G)) \ , \tag{1.7}$$

where $k[\Gamma]$ is the group algebra of Γ equipped with the natural (cocommutative) Hopf algebra structure. The isomorphism (1.7) shows that the representation homology of (discrete) groups has a natural 'Tor' interpretation, similar to the classical (Connes) interpretation of the Hochschild and cyclic homology (see [63, Chap. 6]). Furthermore, combined with a standard comparison theorem for spectral sequences, Theorem 1.1 implies that the representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{H})$ is stable under Pontryagin equivalences[3], and hence, if X is simply-connected, then $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{H})$ is actually a *rational* homotopy invariant of X (*cf.* Proposition 4.3).

Next, in Section 5, we show that representation homology is not only analogous to higher Hochschild homology but actually related to it in a remarkably simple, geometric way. The main result of this section reads (*cf.* Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2):

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a commutative Hopf algebra.*

(a) For any simplicial set $X \in \mathtt{sSet}$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma(X_+), \mathcal{H}) \ \cong \ \mathrm{HH}_*(X, \mathcal{H}) \ , \tag{1.8}$$

where $X_+ = X \sqcup \{*\}$ is a pointed simplicial set obtained from X by adjoining functorially a basepoint $*$, and Σ is the (reduced) suspension functor on the category of pointed simplicial sets.

(b) For any pointed simplicial set $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_*$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X, \mathcal{H}) \ \cong \ \mathrm{HH}_*(X, \mathcal{H}; k) \ , \tag{1.9}$$

where $\mathrm{HH}_*(X, \mathcal{H}; k)$ is the Pirashvili-Hochschild homology of the commutative algebra $\mathcal{H}$ with coefficents in k viewed as an $\mathcal{H}$-module via the Hopf algebra counit $\varepsilon : \mathcal{H} \to k$.

The proof of part (a) of Theorem 1.2 is based on Milnor's classical FK-construction [70] that gives a simplicial group model for the space $\Omega\Sigma|X|$. Part (b) follows from (a).

Theorem 1.2 has strong implications: in particular, it allows one to compute the representation homology of suspensions in a completely explicit way. Indeed, it is known that ΣX for any pointed connected space X is *rationally* homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of spheres of dimension ≥ 2. Since representation homology depends only on the rational homotopy type of a space, the isomorphism (1.9) together with Pirashvili's computations [75] of higher Hochschild homology of spheres, implies (*cf.* Proposition 5.3)

Proposition 1.2. *For any pointed connected space X of finite type, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X, G) \ \cong \ \mathrm{Sym}_k \, \overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X; \mathfrak{g}^*) \ ,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is the linear dual of the Lie algebra of G, and $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X; \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the reduced (singular) homology of X with constant coefficients in $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

By induction, Proposition 1.2 implies $\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma^n X, G) \ \cong \ \mathrm{Sym}_k \left(\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X; \mathfrak{g}^*)[n-1] \right)$ for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, for $\mathbb{S}^n \cong \Sigma \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^n, G) \ \cong \ \mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{g}^*[n-1]) \ , \ n \geq 2 \ . \tag{1.10}$$

In Section 6, we look at representation homology of simply-connected spaces. We recall that, by a fundamental theorem of Quillen [79], to any such space X one can associate a connected (chain) DG Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_X$ called a Quillen model of X. The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_X$ encodes the rational homotopy type of X and hence determines any homotopy invariant of X over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is natural to ask how to express the representation homology of X over $\mathbb{Q}$ in terms of $\mathcal{L}_X$. The answer to this question is given by the following result (*cf.* Theorem 6.1), which we refer to as the 'Comparison Theorem' and which is technically the main theorem of the present paper.

[3] that is, maps of spaces $X \to Y$ inducing isomorphisms of Pontryagin algebras $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X; k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega Y; k)$.

Theorem 1.3 (**Comparison Theorem**). *Let X be a 1-connected pointed topological space of finite rational type. Then, for any affine algebraic group G defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X,\mathfrak{g})\ . \tag{1.11}$$

In Theorem 1.3, $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X,\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the representation homology of the DG Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_X$ with coefficients in the (finite-dimensional) Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, as defined in our earlier paper [8][4]. Using the results of [8], we can restate Theorem 1.3 in terms of a Sullivan model of X. Recall that a Sullivan model of X is a commutative cochain DG algebra $\mathcal{A}_X$ related to $\mathcal{L}_X$ via a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{L}_X,\mathbb{Q})\simeq\mathcal{A}_X$, where $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{L}_X,\mathbb{Q})$ is the Chevaley-Eilenberg cochain complex of $\mathcal{L}_X$. Such a commutative DG algebra model always exists and provides an alternative way to describe the rational homotopy type of X (see [28]). Now, for a fixed $\mathcal{A}_X$, we let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X$ denote the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{A}_X$ corresponding to the basepoint of X, and define $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X):=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X$ to be the current Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X$. Then, as consequence of [8, Theorem 6.5] and our Comparison Theorem, we get (*cf.* Theorem 6.2)

Theorem 1.4. (*a*) *For any 1-connected pointed space X of finite $\mathbb{Q}$-type, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X);\mathbb{Q})\ , \tag{1.12}$$

where the right-hand side is the classical (Chevalley-Eilenberg) cohomology of the DG Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X)$ equipped with homological grading.

(*b*) *If G is a* reductive *affine algebraic group over k, then*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G)^G \;\cong\; \mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_X),\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{Q})\ , \tag{1.13}$$

where the right-hand side is the relative Lie algebra cohomology of the pair $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_X)$.

Part (*b*) of Theorem 1.4 allows us to compute the G-invariant part of representation homology of some classical simply-connected spaces. For example, we have (*cf.* Theorem 6.4 and Corollary 6.3)

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a complex reductive Lie group of rank l. Let $m_1,\dots,m_l$ denote the exponents of the Lie algebra of G. Then, for any $r\geq 2$, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^r,G)^G \;\cong\; \Lambda_k(\xi_1^{(i)},\,\xi_3^{(i)},\,\dots,\,\xi_{2r-1}^{(i)}\,:\,i=1,2,\dots,l)\ , \tag{1.14}$$

where the generator $\xi_{2s-1}^{(i)}$ has homological degree $2rm_i+2s-1$, $s=1,2,\dots,r$.

We deduce Theorem 1.5 from the so-called Strong Macdonald Conjecture, a celebrated conjecture in representation theory proposed by Feigin and Hanlon in the early 80s and proved by Fishel, Grojnowski and Teleman in 2008 (see [30]). It is interesting to note that the isomorphism (1.14) has a natural topological interpretation: it is given by a canonical algebra map which we call the *Drinfeld homomorphism*:

$$\mathrm{Sym}_k\big[\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l}\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*^{\mathbb{S}^1,\,(m_i)}(\mathscr{L}X;\,\mathbb{C})\big]\;\to\;\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G)^G\ . \tag{1.15}$$

The domain of (1.15) is the graded symmetric algebra of the direct sum of certain (topologically defined) eigenspaces of the $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant homology of the free loop space $\mathscr{L}X$ (see Section 6.5). The Drinfeld homomorphism exists for *any* simply-connected space X, and it happens to be an isomorphism in the following cases: $X=\mathbb{S}^n$ $(n\geq 2)$, $X=\mathbb{CP}^r$ $(r\geq 2)$ and $X=\mathbb{S}^m\times\mathbb{CP}^\infty$ (m odd, $m\geq 3$). It would be interesting to find more examples and give an abstract (topological) characterization of all such spaces.

Despite its simple statement, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is fairly long and technical: it occupies most of Section 6 and relies heavily on results of Quillen [79]. For reader's convenience, we outline the main steps of the proof in Section 6.3.1. We close Section 6 with a conjectural generalization of Theorem 1.3 to non-simply connected spaces (see Conjecture 1). Our conjecture is inspired by a recent work of Buijs, Félix, Murillo and Tanré who proposed a natural generalization of Quillen models to non-simply connected spaces (see [15, 16, 17]).

[4]We will review the definition of representation homology of Lie algebras in Section 6.1.

In Section 7, we compute representation homology of some classical non-simply connected spaces. Our examples include closed surfaces of arbitrary genus (both orientable and non-orientable) as well as some three-dimensional spaces (the link complements in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{S}^3$, the lens spaces $L(p,q)$ and a general closed orientable 3-manifold). The representation homology of surfaces and link complements is expressed in terms of classical Hochschild homology of $\mathcal{O}(G)$ and related commutative algebras. For example, for the link complements in $\mathbb{R}^3$, we prove (*cf.* Theorem 7.1)

Theorem 1.6. *Let L be a link in $\mathbb{R}^3$ obtained as the Alexander closure of a braid $\beta \in B_n$. Then the representation homology of the complement of its (regular) neighborhood in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is given by*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L, G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{O}(G^n),\, \mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta) \;. \tag{1.16}$$

The right-hand side of (1.16) is the usual Hochschild homology of the associative algebra $\mathcal{O}(G^n)$ with bimodule coefficients. The bimodule $\mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(G^n) = \mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes n}$ as a left module, while the right action of $\mathcal{O}(G^n)$ is twisted by an element β viewed as an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes n}$ via the Artin representation of the braid group B_n. The representation homology of the classical lens space $L(p,q)$ and, more generally, of an arbitrary closed orientable 3-manifold M is expressed in terms of its Heegaard splitting by a differential 'Tor' functor; this gives rise to an Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence converging to $\mathrm{HR}_*(M,G)$ (see Theorem 7.3 and Theorem 7.4 for precise statements). We should mention that the key to our calculations in Section 7 is Lemma 4.2 which says that the derived representation functor (1.3) preserves homotopy pushouts. Since the representation functor (1.3) is not (left) Quillen (see Remark after Lemma 4.1), this result is not immediate and requires a fairly technical proof which we defer to Appendix B.

In Section 7, we also discuss a multiplicative version of the derived Harish-Chandra Conjecture proposed in [8]. If G is a connected reductive group with a maximal torus $T \subset G$ and W is the associated Weyl group, then for any space X, there is a natural map

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G)^G \to \mathrm{HR}_*(X,T)^W \;, \tag{1.17}$$

which we call the *derived Harish-Chandra homomorphism* (*cf.* [8, Section 7]). In view of (1.10), by the classical Chevalley Restriction Theorem [21], the map (1.17) is an isomorphism for any odd-dimensional sphere $X = \mathbb{S}^{2p+1}$. We conjecture that (1.17) is also an isomorphism for *the product of two odd-dimensional spheres*, $X = \mathbb{S}^{2p+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2q+1}$ $(p,q \geq 0)$, at least when G is a classical group of type GL_n, SL_n or Sp_{2n} $(n \geq 1)$. In the simply-connected case (for $p,q \geq 1$), this conjecture was stated in [8] in terms of representation homology of Lie algebras, and some of its consequences and special cases were proved. For the two-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, we can reformulate it in the following explicit form (see Section 7.1.1, Conjecture 2):

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2,G)^G \;\cong\; [\mathcal{O}(T \times T) \otimes \Lambda^*_k(\mathfrak{h}^*)]^W \;,$$

where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of T (i.e., a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$) and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is its linear dual. As for the Drinfeld homomorphism, it would be interesting to find more examples of spaces, for which the map (1.17) is an isomorphism, and/or give an abstract characterization of all such spaces.

In the last section of the paper, we give another interpretation of representation homology as the Hochschild-Mitchell homology of a certain bifunctor on the category of finitely generated free groups $\mathfrak{G}$. Such an interpretation is useful for several reasons. First, it allows us to define representation *cohomology* in a natural way (by simply replacing the Hochschild-Mitchell homology with the Hochschild-Mitchell cohomology of the same bifunctor). Second, it suggests that it is natural to extend the definition of representation (co)homology by taking the Hochschild-Mitchell (co)homology of $\mathfrak{G}$ with coefficients in an arbitrary bifunctor D: i.e., $\mathrm{HR}(D) := \mathrm{HH}(\mathfrak{G}, D)$. Third and most important, it exhibits a close analogy with *topological* Hochschild homology, which is known to be isomorphic to the Hochschild-Mitchell homology of the category $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ of finitely generated free *abelian* groups (see [77]). Motivated by this analogy, we construct functorial trace maps

$$\mathrm{DTr}_n^{\mathfrak{G}}(D) :\; \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_n),\, D_n) \;\to\; \mathrm{HR}_*(D) \;, \quad \forall\, n \geq 1 \;,$$

relating homology of the automorphism groups of f.g. free groups with appropriate coefficients to representation homology. These maps are compatible with natural inclusions $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{n+1})$ and hence have an stable limit as $n \to \infty$. The corresponding stable map $\mathrm{DTr}^{\mathfrak{G}}_{\infty}(D) : \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty) \to \mathrm{HR}_*(D)$ can be viewed a non-abelian analogue of the classical Dennis trace relating topological Hochschild homology to stable homology of general linear groups. We conjecture that the map $\mathrm{DTr}^{\mathfrak{G}}_{\infty}(D)$ is actually an isomorphism, whenever D is a *polynomial* bifunctor (*cf.* Conjecture 3). This is a non-abelian analogue of a theorem of Scorichenko [87].

1.3. **Appendices.** The paper contains two appendices. In Appendix A, we describe an abstract monoidal version of the classical Dold-Kan correspondence relating the category of (non-negatively graded) DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebras and the category of simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebras for an arbitrary k-linear operad $\mathcal{P}$. This version of the Dold-Kan correspondence is needed for our proof of Comparison Theorem in Section 6. The main result of Appendix A is Theorem A.1, which states that when k is a field of characteristic 0, there is a Quillen equivalence between the category of (non-negatively graded) DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebras and the category of simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebras. Various special cases of this theorem have appeared in the literature. First of all, when $\mathcal{P}$ is the Lie operad, a slightly weaker version (namely, a Quillen equivalence between the category of *positively graded* DG Lie algebras and reduced simplicial Lie algebras) was proved in [79, Part I, Theorem 4.6]. In *loc. cit.* Quillen also outlines a proof for the commutative operad (which controls commutative unital k-algebras) under the same reducedness assumptions. For general (nonreduced) commutative algebras, the proof of the Dold-Kan correspondence is given in [91, Proposition A.1]. The case of the associative operad is treated in greater generality (for any commutative ring k) in [86], where the DG associative algebras and simplicial associative algebras are viewed as monoids in the (symmetric) monoidal model categories of chain complexes and simplicial k-modules, respectively. In this case, the Dold-Kan correspondence follows from an abstract comparison theorem between monoids in different (symmetric) monoidal model categories. The arguments that establish each of these special cases seem to apply only to the case in hand. To the best of our knowledge, a unified proof for any linear operad is missing in the literature. Our Theorem A.1 fills in this gap. The key argument we use to prove Theorem A.1 is sketched in [32, Remark 6.4.5] in the special case of the commutative operad. Theorem A.1 is crucial for the proof of our Comparison Theorem (Theorem 1.3). While Quillen's original result for reduced DG Lie algebras is sufficient for this proof, the full strength of Theorem A.1 is needed to prove Proposition 6.5, which is an interesting result on its own.

In our second appendix (Appendix B), we collect some technical facts about simplicial commutative algebras. Most of these facts should be well known to experts and go back to the classical works of André [2] and Quillen [81]. However, the main results of this appendix – Proposition B.4 and Proposition B.5 – did not seem to appear in the literature in this form and generality. These propositions are needed to prove Lemma 4.2, which we use in the proofs of our main theorems in Section 4 and Section 5 and also for explicit computations in Section 7. While a weaker version of Lemma 4.2 (that can be proven directly) suffices for the results in Section 4 and Section 5, the full strength of this Lemma is required for computations in Section 7.

1.4. **Outline of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notation and recall some basic facts about simplicial sets and spaces. In Section 3, we review Pirashvilli's construction of higher Hochschild homology. In Section 4, we define representation homology in two equivalent ways (via the derived representation functor and the Pirashvilli-type construction) and prove some basic properties. In Section 4, we establish the isomorphism between the representation homology of suspensions and higher Hochschild homology. In Section 6, we prove our main Comparison Theorem for simply-connected spaces and discuss its implications. In Section 7, we explicitly compute representation homology for certain non-simply connected spaces. Finally, in Section 8, we identify representation homology in terms of Hochschild-Mitchell homology and construct a non-abelian analogue of the Dennis trace map relating representation homology to the stable homology of automorphism groups of finitely generated free groups.

Acknowledgements. We are very grateful to Ayelet Lindenstrauss and Birgit Richter for interesting questions and suggestions. We also thank Jim Davis, Siddhartha Gadgil, Martin Kassabov, Mike Mandell

and Sasha Patotski for discussions and comments. The second author expresses his gratitude to the Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru for conducive working conditions during his visit in the summer of 2016. Research of the first two authors was partially supported by the Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant 066274-00002B ('Representation Homology').

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notation and recall some basic facts about simplicial sets. Standard references for this material are [68], [41] and [94, Chapter 8].

2.1. **Simplicial objects.** Let Δ denote the simplicial category. Recall that the objects of Δ are the finite ordered sets $[n] := \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 0$, and the morphisms are the (weakly) order preserving maps $[n] \to [m]$. A *simplicial object* in a category $\mathscr{C}$ is a contravariant functor from Δ to $\mathscr{C}$: i.e., $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathscr{C}$. The simplicial objects in $\mathscr{C}$ form a category, with morphisms being the natural transformations of functors. We denote this category by $s\mathscr{C}$. If $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(s\mathscr{C})$, we write $X_n := X([n])$.

The category Δ is generated by two distinguished classes of morphisms $\{\delta^i\}_{0\leq i\leq n}^{n\geq 1}$ and $\{\sigma^j\}_{0\leq j\leq n}^{n\geq 0}$, whose images under $X \in s\mathscr{C}$ are called the *face* and *degeneracy maps* of X, respectively. The map $\delta^i : [n-1] \to [n]$ is the (unique) injection that does not contain "i" in its image; the corresponding face map is denoted by $d_i := X(\delta^i) : X_n \to X_{n-1}$. Similarly, for $n \geq 0$, the map $\sigma^i : [n+1] \to [n]$ is the (unique) surjection in Δ that takes value "i" twice. The image of σ^i under X is the degeneracy map $s_i := X(\sigma^i) : X_n \to X_{n+1}$. The face and degeneracy maps of a simplicial object satisfy the following *simplicial relations*:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i d_j &= d_{j-1} d_i \quad \text{if } i < j \\ d_i s_j &= s_{j-1} d_i \quad \text{if } i < j \\ d_i s_j &= s_j d_{i-1} \quad \text{if } i > j+1 \\ s_i s_j &= s_{j+1} s_i \quad \text{if } i \leq j \\ d_i s_j &= \mathrm{Id} \qquad \text{if } i = j\,,\, j+1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Thus, a simplicial object in $s\mathscr{C}$ is determined by a family $X = \{X_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of objects in $\mathscr{C}$ together with morphisms $d_i : X_n \to X_{n-1}$ and $s_j : X_n \to X_{n+1}$ satisfying the relations (2.1). The object X_0 is usually called the "set" of *n-simplices* of X, and the 0-simplices are usually called the *vertices* of X.

We let $\mathtt{sSet}$ denote the category of simplicial sets (*i.e.*, simplicial objects in the category $\mathtt{Set}$). A simplicial set X is called *reduced* if it has a single vertex, i.e. $X_0 = \{*\}$. The full subcategory of $\mathtt{sSet}$ consisting of reduced simplicial sets will be denoted $\mathtt{sSet}_0$. A simplicial set X is called *pointed* if there are distinguished simplices $x_n \in X_n$, one in each degree, such that $x_n = s_0(x_{n-1})$ for all $n \geq 1$. The sequence $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \prod_{n\geq 0} X_n$ is called a basepoint of X. The category of pointed simplicial sets will be denoted $\mathtt{sSet}_*$. Note that $\mathtt{sSet}_0$ can also be viewed as a full subcategory of $\mathtt{sSet}_*$ as every reduced simplcial set has a canonical (unique) basepoint.

Given $X \in \mathtt{sSet}$, the set of *nondegenerate* n-simplices of X is defined to be

$$\overline{X}_n := X_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i(X_{n-1})\,.$$

Every element of X_n can be uniquely expressed in terms of the nondegenerate elements of X (see [36, Lemma 11] for a precise statement). In particular, a simplicial set can be defined by specifiying its nondegenerate simplices together with the restriction of each face map to the set of nondegenerate simplices.

We give a few basic examples of simplicial sets that will be used in this paper.

2.1.1. *Discrete simplicial objects.* To any object $A \in \mathscr{C}$ one can associate a simplicial object $A_* \in s\mathscr{C}$, with $A_n = A$ and d_i, s_j being the identity map of A for all n, i, j. This gives a fully faithful embedding $\mathscr{C} \hookrightarrow s\mathscr{C}$. The objects of $s\mathscr{C}$ arising this way are called *discrete simplicial objects*.

2.1.2. *Geometric simplices.* The n-dimensional geometric simplex is the topological space

$$\Delta^n := \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \,|\, \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_i = 1\,,\, x_i \geq 0\}\,.$$

Let e_i denote the vertex of Δ^n with i-th coordinate 1. For any morphism $f : [m] \to [n]$ in Δ, there is a (unique) linear map $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ sending e_i to $e_{f(i)}$, that restricts to a map of topological spaces $f^* : \Delta^m \to \Delta^n$. The collection $\Delta^* := \{\Delta^n\}_{n\geq 0}$ forms a *cosimplicial space*, *i.e.*, a (covariant) functor $\Delta \to \mathtt{Top}$, where $\mathtt{Top}$ denotes the category of (compactly generated weakly Hausdorff) topological spaces. This functor is faithful: it gives a topological realization of the simplicial category, which was historically the first definition of Δ.

2.1.3. *Standard simplices.* Let $Y : \Delta \hookrightarrow \mathtt{sSet}$ denote the Yoneda embedding. The functor Y assigns to $[n]$ a simplicial set $\Delta[n]_*$ called the *standard n-simplex*. Explicitly, $\Delta[n]_*$ is given by

$$\Delta[n]_k := \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([k],[n]) \cong \{(n_0, \dots, n_k)\,|\, 0 \leq n_0 \leq \dots \leq n_k \leq n\}\,,$$

where a function $f : [k] \to [n]$ is identified with the sequence of its values $(f(0), \dots, f(k))$. Under this identification, the nondegenerate simplices correspond to *strictly* increasing functions, and the face and degeneracy maps in $\Delta[n]_*$ are given by

$$d_i(n_0, \dots, n_k) = (n_0, \dots, \hat{n}_i, \dots, n_k)\,,\quad s_j(n_0, \dots, n_k) = (n_0, \dots, n_j, n_j, \dots, n_k)\,.$$

By Yoneda Lemma, for any simplicial set X, there is a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{sSet}}(\Delta[n]_*, X) \cong X_n\,,$$

which shows that $\Delta[n]_*$ (co)represents the functor: $\mathtt{sSet} \to \mathtt{Set}\,,\ X \mapsto X_n$.

2.1.4. *Simplicial spheres.* The Yoneda functor $Y : \Delta \to \mathtt{sSet}$ can be also regarded as a cosimplicial object in the category of simplicial sets. In particular, for any $n \geq 1$, there are $n+1$ coface maps $d^i : \Delta[n-1]_* \to \Delta[n]_*,\ 0 \leq i \leq n$. Using these maps, we define the *boundary* of $\Delta[n]_*$ to be the simplicial subset

$$\partial\Delta[n]_* := \bigcup_{0\leq i\leq n} d^i(\Delta[n-1]_*) \subset \Delta[n]_*\,,$$

The *simplicial n-sphere* is then defined to be the corresponding quotient set $\mathbb{S}^n_* := \Delta[n]_*/\partial\Delta[n]_*$. It is easy to see that the only nondegenerate simplices in $\mathbb{S}^n_*$ are in degree 0 and n, with $\overline{\mathbb{S}}^n_0 = \{*\}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{S}}^n_n = \{S\}$, where S is the image of the map $\mathrm{Id} \in \Delta[n]_n$ in $\mathbb{S}^n_n$. Note that $d_i(S) = s_0^{n-1}(*)$ for all i. Thus, the simplicial structure of $\mathbb{S}^n_*$ reflects the standard CW decomposition of the n-sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ with one cell in dimension 0 and one cell in dimension n.

The simplicial 1-sphere $\mathbb{S}^1_*$ is called the *simplicial circle*. By Example 2.1.3, we have $\Delta[1]_k \cong \{(\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{i}, \underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{k+1-i})\,|\, i = 0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$ and $\partial\Delta[0]_k = \{(0,\dots 0), (1,\dots,1)\}$. Hence, $\mathbb{S}^1_*$ is given explicitly by

$$\mathbb{S}^1_k \cong \{(\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{i}, \underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{k+1-i})\,|\, i = 1, \dots, k+1\}\,,$$

with $(0,\dots,0)$ corresponding to the basepoint $*$.

2.2. **Geometric realization.** There is an important functor $|-| : \mathtt{sSet} \to \mathtt{Top}$ assigning to each simplicial set X a topological space $|X|$ called the *geometric realization* of X. Explicitly, the space $|X|$ is defined by

$$|X| := \bigsqcup_{n\geq 0} (X_n \times \Delta^n)/\sim\,,$$

where each set X_n is equipped with discrete topology and the equivalence relation is given by

$$(d_i x, p) \sim (x, d^i p) \text{ for } (x,p) \in X_n \times \Delta^{n-1}$$
$$(s_j x, p) \sim (x, s^j p) \text{ for } (x,p) \in X_{n-1} \times \Delta^n\,.$$

More formally (see, e.g., [82, Section 1.3]), the functor $|-| : \mathtt{sSet} \to \mathtt{Top}$ can be defined as the (left) Kan extension $|-| = \mathrm{Lan}_Y(\Delta^*)$ of the geometric simplex Δ^* along the Yoneda embedding $Y : \Delta \to \mathtt{sSet}$. It follows from this definition that $|\Delta[n]_*| \cong \Delta^n$ for all $n \geq 0$, and in general, $|X| \cong \mathrm{colim}\, \Delta^n$, where the colimit is taken over all morphisms of $\Delta[n]_* \to X$, $n \geq 0$.

2.3. **Simplicial sets and spaces.** The category $\mathtt{sSet}$ has a standard model structure, where the weak equivalences are the morphisms inducing weak homotopy equivalences of the corresponding geometric realizations. The cofibrations are levelwise injective maps and the fibrations are the Kan fibrations (see [68, §7]). This structure gives a model structure on $\mathtt{sSet}_0$.

Let $(X, *)$ be a pointed topological space. The *(total) singular complex* of X is a simplicial set $\mathrm{S}_*(X)$ defined by $\mathrm{S}_n(X) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Top}}(\Delta^n, X)$. The *Eilenberg subcomplex* $\mathrm{ES}_*(X)$ of $\mathrm{S}_*(X)$ is

$$\mathrm{ES}_n(X) := \{ f : \mathbf{\Delta}^n \to X : f(v_i) = * \quad \text{for all vertices } v_i \in \mathbf{\Delta}^n \}.$$

If X is connected, the natural inclusion $\mathrm{ES}_*(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{S}_*(X)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. Further, if we restrict ES to the category $\mathtt{Top}_{0,*}$ of connected pointed spaces, we get the pair of adjoint functors

$$|-| : \mathtt{sSet}_0 \rightleftarrows \mathtt{Top}_{0,*} : \mathrm{ES},$$

which induce mutually inverse equivalences of the homotopy categoriess: $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sSet}_0) \simeq \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{Top}_{0,*})$. This equivalence justifies the following standard convention which we will follow throughout the paper.

Convention. We shall not notationally distinguish between a reduced simplicial set X and its geometric realization $|X|$. Nor shall we distinguish notationally between a topological space and a (reduced) simplicial model of that space.

3. Higher Hochschild homology

Recall that for an arbitrary associative k-algebra A and an A-bimodule M, the classical Hochschild chain complex $\mathrm{C}_*(A, M)$ is defined by $\mathrm{C}_n(A, M) := M \otimes A^{\otimes n}$, $n \geq 0$, with differential $d : \mathrm{C}_n(A, M) \to \mathrm{C}_{n-1}(A, M)$ given by the formula

$$d(a_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes a_{i+2} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n + (-1)^n a_n a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n-1},$$

where $a_0 \in M$ and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A$. The homology of $\mathrm{C}_*(A, M)$ is denoted $\mathrm{HH}_*(A, M)$ and called the *Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in M*.

For *commutative* algebras, there is a remarkable generalization of the Hochschild complex, due to Pirashvili [75], that depends on an arbitrary simplicial set X and specializes for $X = \mathbb{S}^1_*$ to the original classical construction. In this section, we review the definition of Pirashvili's complex and give two natural interpretations of its homology groups in terms of Loday construction and functor tensor products. All results in this section can be found in (or easily derived from) Pirashvili's papers [75, 76].

3.1. **The Pirashvili-Hochschild complex.** Let $\mathfrak{F}_*$ denote the category of finite pointed sets with objects $[n] = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, $n \geq 0$, and morphisms $f : [n] \to [m]$ being arbitrary set maps such that $f(0) = 0$. Let $F : \mathfrak{F}_* \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ be a covariant functor. We extend F to the category $\mathtt{Set}_*$ of *all* pointed sets in a natural way, using the left Kan extension along the inclusion $\mathfrak{F}_* \hookrightarrow \mathtt{Set}_*$. We keep the notation F for the extended functor: explicitly, $F : \mathtt{Set}_* \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ is given by $F(X) = \mathrm{colim}\, F([n])$, where the colimit is taken over all pointed inclusions $[n] \hookrightarrow X$.

Given a pointed simplicial set $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_*$, we define a simplicial k-vector space $F(X)$ as the composition of functors

$$F(X) : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{X} \mathtt{Set}_* \xrightarrow{F} \mathtt{Vect}_k . \tag{3.1}$$

We denote the homotopy groups of $F(X)$ by $\pi_* F(X)$ and recall that $\pi_* F(X) := \mathrm{H}_*[N(F(X))]$, where N is the Dold-Kan normalization functor (see Appendix A.1).

Now, any commutative k-algebra A and an A-module M (viewed as a symmetric bimodule) give rise to a functor $\mathfrak{F}_* \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ that assigns to the set $[n]$ the vector space $M \otimes A^{\otimes n}$ and to a pointed map $f : [n] \to [m]$, the action of f on $M \otimes A^{\otimes n}$ given by

$$f_*(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) := b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \ldots b_m \ ,$$

where $b_j := \prod_{i \in f^{-1}(j)} a_i$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots m$. Following [75], we denote this functor by $\mathcal{L}(A, M)$, and for a pointed simplicial set $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_*$, define

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A, M) \ := \ \pi_* \mathcal{L}(A, M)(X) \, .$$

Thus, $\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A, M)$ is the homology of the complex $\mathrm{C}_*(X, A, M) := N[\mathcal{L}(A, M)(X)]$, which we call the *Pirashvili-Hochschild complex* of A with coefficients in M associated to X.

Example 3.1. Let $X = \mathbb{S}^1_*$ be the simplicial circle. Recall that the set of n-simplices $\mathbb{S}^1_n$ can be identified with the set of monotone sequences of 0's and 1's of length $n+1$ modulo the identification $(0, 0, \ldots, 0) \sim (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ (see Section 2.1.4). For a nonzero sequence $x \in \mathbb{S}^1_n$, let $n(x)$ denote the position of the first 1. The map $x \mapsto n(x) - 1$ identifies $\mathbb{S}^1_n$ with $[n]$. Under this identification, the degeneracy map $s_i : [n] \to [n+1]$ corresponds to the unique monotone injection skiping $i+1$ in its image and the face map $d_i : [n] \to [n-1]$ is given by $d_i(j) = j$ for $j < i$, $d_i(i) = i$ for $i < n$, $d_n(n) = 0$ and $d_i(j) = j - 1$ for $j > i$. From this description of $\mathbb{S}^1_*$, it is easy to see that the Pirashvili complex $\mathrm{C}_*(\mathbb{S}^1, A, M)$ for $\mathbb{S}^1$ is precisely the classical Hochschild complex $\mathrm{C}_*(A, M)$. Thus, $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathbb{S}^1, A, M) = \mathrm{HH}_*(A, M)$ for any commutative algebra A and A-module M. In a similar way, one can exlicitly describe the Pirashvili complex $\mathrm{C}_*(\mathbb{S}^n, A, M)$ for the n-dimensional simplicial sphere $\mathbb{S}^n_*$. The corresponding homology groups $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathbb{S}^n, A, M)$ are denoted $\mathrm{HH}^{[n]}_*(A, M)$ and called in [75] *the Hochschild homology of* (A, M) *of order* n.

In the present paper, we will mostly deal with two cases: $M = A$ and $M = k$, where in the last case the module structure on k comes from an augmentation $A \to k$. To simplify the notation we will write $\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A)$ for $\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A, A)$ and regard $X \mapsto \mathrm{HH}_*(X, A)$ as a functor on the category of (pointed) simplicial sets assuming A to be fixed. We will refer to this functor as a *higher Hochschild homology* of spaces.

3.2. **Loday construction.** We now give a useful interpretation of higher Hochschild homology that exhibits the functor $\mathcal{L}$ as a special case of the Loday construction [62, 63]. The starting point is the simple observation that the category $\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$ of commutative algebras is a cocartesian monoidal category tensored over the category $\mathtt{Set}$. This means that $\mathtt{Set}$ acts on $\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$ in the natural way, *i.e.*, there is a bifunctor

$$\mathtt{Set} \times \mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k \to \mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k \, , \quad (S, A) \mapsto S \otimes A \ , \tag{3.2}$$

where $S \otimes A := \otimes_{s \in S} A$ is defined to be the S-indexed coproduct of copies of A in the category $\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$. Now, given a simplicial set X, we may consider the composition of functors

$$X \otimes A : \ \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{X} \mathtt{Set} \xrightarrow{-\otimes A} \mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k \to \mathtt{Vect}_k \ ,$$

which, abusing notation, we keep denoting $X \otimes A$.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a natural isomorphism of functors* $X \otimes A \cong \mathcal{L}(A, A)(X)$.

Proof. This is immediate from the following commuative diagram



where the vertical arrow is the forgetful functor from $\mathtt{sSet}_*$ to $\mathtt{sSet}$. □

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A) \cong \pi_*(X \otimes A)$. As a consequence, we have

Proposition 3.1. *For any $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_*$ and $A \in \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$, $\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A)$ has the structure of a graded commutative k-algebra which is independent of the choice of a basepoint in X.*

Proof. Indeed, by definition, $X \otimes A$ has the structure of a simplicial commutative k-algebra that depends only on the underlying simplicial set of X. □

3.3. **Hochschild homology as a derived functor.** There is another, more conceptual way to define higher Hochschild homology, using homological algebra of functor categories over PROPs. Recall that a PROP is a permutative category $(\mathcal{P}, \boxtimes)$ whose set of objects is indexed by (or identified with) the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ and whose monoidal structure $\boxtimes$ is given by addition in $\mathbb{N}$ (see [66]). A k-algebra over a PROP $\mathcal{P}$ is a strict symmetric monoidal functor from $\mathcal{P}$ to the tensor category $\mathtt{Vect}_k$.

To define Hochschild homology we take $\mathcal{P}$ to be a category $\mathfrak{F}$ of finite sets with monoidal structure given by disjoint union. More precisely, we let $\mathfrak{F}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathtt{Set}$ whose objects are the sets $\underline{n} := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $n \geq 0$ (where, by convention, $\underline{0} = \varnothing$) and morphisms are arbitrary set maps. The monoidal structure on $\mathfrak{F}$ is given by $\underline{n} \boxtimes \underline{m} = \underline{n+m}$. It is well known and easy to prove (see, e.g., [76, Section 2]) that the category of k-algebras over $\mathfrak{F}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$, the equivalence being given by the functor $A \mapsto [(- \otimes A) : \underline{n} \mapsto A^{\otimes n}]$. We will write $\underline{A}$ for the algebra over $\mathfrak{F}$ corresponding to the commutative algebra $A \in \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$.

Now, let $\mathfrak{F}$-$\mathtt{Mod}$ (resp., $\mathtt{Mod}$-$\mathfrak{F}$) denote the category of all covariant (resp., contravariant) functors from $\mathfrak{F}$ to the category of vector spaces. The notation suggests that one should think of the objects of $\mathfrak{F}$-$\mathtt{Mod}$ and $\mathtt{Mod}$-$\mathfrak{F}$ as left and right $\mathfrak{F}$-modules, respectively. These categories are both abelian with enough projective and injective objects. Furthermore, they are related by a bifunctor

$$- \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}} - \,:\, \mathtt{Mod}\text{-}\mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{F}\text{-}\mathtt{Mod} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$$

that is right exact with respect to each argument, preserves sums and is left balanced (see, e.g., [75, Sect. 1.5]). Explicitly, for a right $\mathfrak{F}$-module $\mathcal{N}$ and a left $\mathfrak{F}$-module $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathcal{M} \;=\; \big[\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{N}(\underline{n}) \otimes_k \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})\big]/R\,, \tag{3.3}$$

where R is the subspace spanned by the vectors of the form $\mathcal{N}(f)x \otimes y - x \otimes \mathcal{M}(f)y$ with $x \in \mathcal{N}(\underline{n})$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{m})$ and f running over all maps in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Set}}(\underline{m}, \underline{n})$.

Next, we consider the functor

$$h \,:\, \mathfrak{F} \to \mathtt{Mod}\text{-}\mathfrak{F}\,, \quad \underline{n} \mapsto\; k[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{F}}(-, \underline{n})]\;, \tag{3.4}$$

where $k[S]$ denotes the vector space generated by a set S, and extend (3.4) to the category simplicial sets in two steps. First, we define a functor $\mathtt{Set} \to \mathtt{Mod}$-$\mathfrak{F}$ by taking the left Kan extension of (3.4) along the natural inclusion $\mathfrak{F} \hookrightarrow \mathtt{Set}$, and then we extend this degreewise to simplicial sets. Abusing notation, we will continue to denote the resulting functor by $h : \mathtt{sSet} \to \mathtt{sMod}$-$\mathfrak{F}$. Composing h with the normalization functor $\underline{N} : \mathtt{sMod}\text{-}\mathfrak{F} \to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathtt{Mod}\text{-}\mathfrak{F})$ assigns to every simplicial set X a chain complex and hence an object in the derived category $\mathscr{D}(\mathtt{Mod}\text{-}\mathfrak{F})$ that we denote by $\underline{N}(h(X))$.

Now, recall that any commutative algebra A defines an algebra $\underline{A}$ over the PROP $\mathfrak{F}$ that can be viewed as an object in $\mathfrak{F}$-$\mathtt{Mod}$. With this interpretation of A, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *For any $X \in \mathtt{sSet}$ and $A \in \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A) \;\cong\; \mathrm{H}_*[\underline{N}(h(X)) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{F}} \underline{A}\,]$$

Although Theorem 3.1 is not explicitly stated in [75], it can be deduced from results of this paper. We do not give a proof of Theorem 3.1 here as in the next section, we prove the analogous theorem for representation homology (see Theorem 4.2).

4. Representation homology

In this section, we define representation homology of a (reduced) simplicial set in a way parallel to Pirashvili's construction of Hochschild homology. A new key ingredient is Kan's construction [52] of a simplicial group model of the loop space ΩX of a topological space X. We begin by reviewing this classical construction (for details and proofs we refer to [68, Chapter VI] and [41, Chapter V]).

4.1. **Kan's loop group construction.** Let $\mathtt{sGr}$ denote the category of simplicial groups. It has a standard model structure, where the weak equivalences and fibrations of simplicial groups are the weak equivalences and fibrations of the underlying simplicial sets. We note that, unlike $\mathtt{sSet}$, the model category $\mathtt{sGr}$ is fibrant: by a classical theorem of Moore, every simplicial group is a Kan complex (see [68, Theorem 17.1]).

Definition 4.1. A simplicial group $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is called *semi-free* if there is a sequence of subsets $B_n \subset \Gamma_n$, one in each degree, such that Γ_n is freely generated by B_n, and the set $B = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} B_n$ is closed under degeneracies of Γ, *i.e.*, $s_j(B_{n-1}) \subseteq B_n$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $n \geq 1$. The subset $\overline{B}_n := B_n \backslash \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i(B_{n-1})$ is called the set of *nondegenerate generators* of Γ of degree n.

One can show that every element in $\overline{B}_n$ is nondegenerate (when considered as an element of the underlying simplicial set), and a semi-free simplicial group is determined by specifying the sets of nondegenerate generators $\overline{B}_n$ and the face elements of these generators.

Semi-free simplicial groups are cofibrant objects in the model category $\mathtt{sGr}$. The Kan loop group construction provides an important class of semi-free simplicial groups that arise naturally from reduced simplicial sets. To be precise, the Kan construction defines a pair of adjoint functors:

$$\mathbb{G} : \mathtt{sSet}_0 \rightleftarrows \mathtt{sGr} : \overline{W} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbb{G}$ is called the *Kan loop group functor* (or *cobar construction*) and $\overline{W}$ is the *classifying simplicial complex* (or *bar construction*). The functor $\mathbb{G}$ preserves weak equivalences and cofibrations, while $\overline{W}$ preserves weak equivalences and fibrations (see [41, Proposition V.6.3]). Hence, (4.1) is a Quillen pair, which is actually a Quillen equivalence: i.e., the functors $\mathbb{G}$ and $\overline{W}$ induce mutually inverse equivalences between the homotopy categories of $\mathtt{sSet}_0$ and $\mathtt{sGr}$ (see [41, Corollary V.6.4]). Combining this with the classical Quillen equivalence between topological spaces and simplicial sets (see Section 2.3):

$$\mathtt{Top}_{0,*} \xrightarrow{\text{ES}} \mathtt{sSet}_0 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}} \mathtt{sGr}$$

we get an equivalence of the homotopy categories $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{Top}_{0,*}) \cong \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sSet}_0) \cong \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sGr})$.

For further use, we recall the explicit construction of the functor $\mathbb{G}$. Given a reduced simplicial set $X = \{X_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, the set of n-simplices of $\mathbb{G}X$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{G}X_n \;=\; \langle X_{n+1}\rangle / \langle s_0(x) = 1\,,\ \forall\, x \in X_n\rangle \;\cong\; \langle B_n\rangle\ ,$$

where $B_n := X_{n+1}\backslash s_0(X_n)$ and the isomorphism is induced by the inclusion $B_n \hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$. The degeneracy maps $s_j^{\mathbb{G}X} : \mathbb{G}X_n \to \mathbb{G}X_{n+1}$ are induced by the degeneracy maps $s_{j+1} : X_{n+1} \to X_{n+2}$ of the simplicial set X, and the face maps $d_i^{\mathbb{G}X} : \mathbb{G}X_n \to \mathbb{G}X_{n-1}$ are given by

$$d_0^{\mathbb{G}X}(x) := (d_1 x)\cdot(d_0 x)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad d_i^{\mathbb{G}X}(x) := d_{i+1}(x)\,,\ \forall\, i > 0\ .$$

Conversely, given a simplicial group $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, the simplicial set $\overline{W}\Gamma$ is defined by $\overline{W}\Gamma_0 := \{*\}$ and $\overline{W}\Gamma_n := \Gamma_{n-1}\times\Gamma_{n-2}\times\ldots\times\Gamma_0$ for $n \geq 0$. The degeneracy and face maps of $\overline{W}\Gamma$ are given explicitly in [68, §21]. We note that when restricted to *discrete* simplicial groups, the functor $\overline{W}$ coincides with the usual nerve construction, *i.e.*, $\overline{W}\Gamma = \mathrm{B}\Gamma$ for any discrete group Γ.

Proposition 4.1. *The Kan loop group $\mathbb{G}X$ of any reduced simplicial set X is semi-free. More precisely, for each $n > 0$, the composite map $\tau : X_n \to \langle X_n\rangle \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{G}X_{n-1}$ is injective when restricted to the subset $\overline{X}_n \subset X_n$, and the image $\tau(\overline{X}_n) \subset \mathbb{G}X_{n-1}$ forms the set of nondegenerate generators $\overline{B}_{n-1} = \tau(\overline{X}_n)$ in degree $(n-1)$ of the semi-free basis $\{B_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of $\mathbb{G}X$.*

The following fundamental theorem clarifies the meaning of the Kan loop group construction.

Theorem 4.1 (Kan)**.** *For any reduced simplicial set X, there is a weak homotopy equivalence*

$$|\mathbb{G}X| \simeq \Omega|X|\,,$$

where $\Omega|X|$ is the (Moore) based loop space of $|X|$.

A detailed proof of Theorem 4.1 can be found in [68] (see *loc. cit.*, Theorem 26.6). Its significance becomes clear from the following considerations. Given any path-connected CW complex Y one can choose a pointed connected simplicial set X' such that $|X'| \simeq Y$. If X is the path-connected component of X' containing the basepoint, then X is a reduced simplicial set such that $|X| \simeq |X'| \simeq Y$ because Y is connected. Hence, applying the Kan loop group construction to X, we get $|\mathbb{G}X| \simeq \Omega Y$. Thus, $\mathbb{G}X$ is a semi-free simplicial group model of the based loop space of Y. In this way, the based loop space of any path-connected CW complex admits a simplicial group model.

4.2. **Definition of representation homology.** We now introduce several (equivalent) definitions of the representation homology of a reduced simplicial set with coefficients in an algebraic group G. We begin with the construction of derived representation schemes of simplicial groups, following the approach of [6, 7] (see [93] for details).

4.2.1. *Derived representation schemes of simplicial groups.* Let G be an affine algebraic group scheme over k. By definition, G is given by the representable functor from the category of commutative k-algebras to the category of groups:

$$G(-) : \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k \to \mathtt{Gr}\,, \quad A \mapsto G(A)\,. \tag{4.2}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{O}(G)$ that represents (4.2) is called the coordinate ring of G: it is a commutative Hopf algebra with coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(G) \to \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)$, $f \mapsto f_{(1)} \otimes f_{(2)}$, corresponding to the multiplication in G. The functor (4.2) has a left adjoint functor which we denote by

$$(-)_G : \mathtt{Gr} \to \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k\,, \quad \Gamma \mapsto \Gamma_G\,. \tag{4.3}$$

We call (4.3) the *representation functor* in G, and think of the commutative algebra Γ_G assigned to a discrete group Γ as the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)]$ of the affine scheme $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$ parametrizing the representations of Γ in G.

It is easy to see that the algebra Γ_G has the following explicit presentation

$$\Gamma_G = \mathrm{Sym}_k[\,k[\Gamma] \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(G)\,]/\langle\!\langle R \rangle\!\rangle\,,$$

where the relations R are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma \otimes f_1 f_2 - (\gamma \otimes f_1) \cdot (\gamma \otimes f_2)\,, \quad \forall\, \gamma \in \Gamma\,,\ \forall\, f_1\,, f_2 \in \mathcal{O}(G)\,,\\
&\gamma_1\gamma_2 \otimes f - (\gamma_1 \otimes f_{(1)}) \cdot (\gamma_2 \otimes f_{(2)})\,, \quad \forall\, \gamma_1\,, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma\,,\ \forall\, f \in \mathcal{O}(G)\,,\\
&\gamma \otimes e_G - 1\,, \quad e_\Gamma \otimes f - f(e_G) \cdot 1\,, \quad \forall\, \gamma \in \Gamma\,,\ \forall\, f \in \mathcal{O}(G)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, to construct the derived representation functor we embed the category of groups into the category $\mathtt{sGr}$ of simplicial groups and extend the functor (4.3) to $\mathtt{sGr}$ in the natural way, assigning to a simplicial group $\Gamma_* : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{Gr}$ the simplicial commutative algebra $(\Gamma_*)_G : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{Gr} \to \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$. We will keep the notation $(-)_G$ for this extended representation functor:

$$(-)_G : \mathtt{sGr} \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.1. *The functor* (4.4) *maps weak equivalences between cofibrant objects in* $\mathtt{sGr}$ *to weak equivalences in* $\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$*, and hence has a total left derived functor*

$$\boldsymbol{L}(-)_G : \mathtt{Ho(sGr)} \to \mathtt{Ho(sComm\,Alg}_k)\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ is a weak equivalence between cofibrant simplicial groups. Since $\mathtt{sGr}$ is a fibrant model category, Γ and Γ' are both fibrant-cofibrant objects. By Whitehead's Theorem, the map f has then a homotopy inverse $g : \Gamma' \to \Gamma$, such that $fg \sim \mathrm{Id}$ and $gf \sim \mathrm{Id}$. Now, any homotopy between fibrant-cofibrant objects can be realized using a good cylinder object in $\mathtt{sGr}$. Since $\mathtt{sGr}$ is a simplicial model category, there is a natural choice of good cylinder objects for Γ and Γ': namely, $\Gamma \sqcup \Gamma \to \Gamma \times \Delta[1] \to \Gamma$, and similarly for Γ'. For such cylinder objects, the simplicial homotopies (see [68, Def. 5.1]) can be defined by explicit combinatorial relations which are obviously preserved by the functor $(-)_G$. Thus, we conclude that the morphism $g_G : \Gamma'_G \to \Gamma_G$ is a homotopy inverse of $f_G : \Gamma_G \to \Gamma'_G$ in $\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$ and hence f_G and g_G are mutually inverse isomorphisms in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k)$. The existence of the derived functor (4.5) follows now from [26, Prop. 9.3]. □

Remark. Despite the fact that the representation functor (4.4) is a left adjoint functor, it is *not* left Quillen. Indeed, by definition, any left Quillen functor preserves cofibrations; in particular, maps cofibrant objects to cofibrant. To see that this is not the case for (4.4) take $G = \mathbb{G}_m$, the multiplicative group, and apply (4.4) to the free group on one generator $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_1$, viewed as a discrete simplicial group in $\mathtt{sGr}$. The result is $\Gamma_G \cong k[x, x^{-1}]$, which is *not* a cofibrant simplicial algebra in $\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$. Thus, the simplicial adjunction $(-)_G : \mathtt{sGr} \rightleftarrows \mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k : G(-)$ is *not* a Quillen pair, so the result of Lemma 4.1 is not automatic.

For a fixed simplicial group $\Gamma \in \mathtt{sGr}$, we define $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma) := \boldsymbol{L}(\Gamma)_G$ and call $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)$ the *derived representation scheme* of Γ in the algebraic group G. By definition, $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)$ is a simplicial commutative algebra viewed as an object of $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k)$. Following [6, 7], we refer to the corresponding homotopy groups as the *representation homology* of Γ in G:

$$\pi_*[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)] := \mathrm{H}_*(N[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)])$$

It is easy to check that the functor (4.4) commutes with π_0, so that for any $\Gamma \in \mathtt{sGr}$, there is a natural isomorphism in $\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$:

$$\pi_0[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)] \cong [\pi_0(\Gamma)]_G \tag{4.6}$$

Hence, for an ordinary group $\Gamma \in \mathtt{Gr}$ (viewed as a discrete simplicial group), we have

$$\pi_0[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)] \cong \Gamma_G = \mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)] \ .$$

This justifies our notation and terminology for $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)$. We record one useful property of the derived representation functor that will play a role in computations in Section 7.

Lemma 4.2. *The functor* $\mathrm{DRep}_G : \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sGr}) \to \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k)$ *preserves homotopy pushouts.*

The proof of Lemma 4.2 requires some technical results about simplicial commutative algebras; we therefore defer it to Appendix B (see Proposition B.5 and Corollary B.1).

4.2.2. *Representation homology of spaces.* We now define the representation homology of a (reduced) simplicial set by mimicking Pirashvili's definition of higher Hochshild homology. Our starting point is the known fact (see, e.g., [76, Sect. 5]) that the category of commutative Hopf algebras over a field k is equivalent to the category of k-algebras of the PROP of finitely generated free groups. To be precise, let $\mathfrak{G}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathtt{Gr}$ whose objects the free groups based on the sets $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $n \geq 0$. We denote such groups by $\langle n \rangle := \mathbb{F}\langle \underline{n} \rangle$ (where, by convention, $\langle 0 \rangle$ is the identity group) and write $k\langle n \rangle$ for the corresponding group algebras over k. The category $\mathfrak{G}$ is a PROP, with monoidal product $\boxtimes$ being the free product of groups, so that $\langle n \rangle \boxtimes \langle m \rangle = \langle n + m \rangle$. A commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ over k defines the (strong monoidal) covariant functor $\mathfrak{G} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$, $\langle n \rangle \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, which we denote by $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$. The assignment $\mathcal{H} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{H}}$ gives an equivalence between the category of commutative Hopf algebras over k and the category of k-algebras over the PROP $\mathfrak{G}$. Dually, the category of *cocommutative* Hopf algebras is equivalent to the category k-algebras over the opposite PROP $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Now, observe that for any commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$, the functor $\underline{\mathcal{H}} : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ takes values in the category of commutative algebras, *i.e.*, it can be viewed as a functor $\underline{\mathcal{H}} : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$. We extend this last functor to the category $\mathtt{FGr}$ of all free groups by taking the left Kan extension along

the inclusion $\mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathtt{FGr}$. To be precise, let $\mathtt{FGr}$ denote the category of *based* free groups whose objects are pairs (Γ, S), where $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$ is a free group with a specified generating set S, and morphisms are arbitrary group homomorphisms $\Gamma \to \Gamma'$ (not necessarily, preserving the generating sets). We have the natural inclusion functor $i : \mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathtt{FGr}$ that takes $\langle n \rangle$ to $(\langle n \rangle, \underline{n})$. The Kan extension of $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$ along i then defines a functor $\mathtt{FGr} \to \mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$ that assigns to the free group $\langle S \rangle$ on a set S the commutative algebra $S \otimes \mathcal{H} = \otimes_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}_s$ (*cf.* (3.2)). We continue to denote this functor by $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$.

Let X be a reduced simplicial set (or equivalently, a connected pointed CGWH topological space). Recall that the Kan loop group construction gives a functor $\mathbb{G}X : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{FGr}$ that takes $[n] \in \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ to the free group $\mathbb{G}X_n = \langle B_n \rangle$ based on the set $B_n = X_{n+1} \setminus s_0(X_n)$. Now, given a commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$, we consider the composition of functors

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}X} \mathtt{FGr} \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{H}}} \mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k \ ,$$

which defines a simplicial commutative algebra $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X)$.

Definition 4.2. The *representation homology* of X in $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{H}) \ := \ \pi_*[\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X)] \ = \ \mathrm{H}_*[N(\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X))] \, . \tag{4.7}$$

If G is an affine group scheme with coordinate ring $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}(G)$, we also write $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G)$ for $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{H})$. Clearly, a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of reduced simplicial sets induces a map of graded commutative algebras $\mathrm{HR}_*(f, \mathcal{H}) : \mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{HR}_*(Y, \mathcal{H})$. Thus, representation homology defines a covariant functor $\mathrm{HR}(-, \mathcal{H}) : \mathtt{sSet}_0 \to \mathtt{GrComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$.

The following proposition justifies the above definition of representation homology.

Proposition 4.2. *For any $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$, there is a natural isomorphism of graded commutative algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G) \ \cong \ \pi_*[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\mathbb{G}X)] \, . \tag{4.8}$$

In particular, $\mathrm{HR}_0(X, G) \cong \pi_1(X)_G$ *, where $\pi_1(X)$ is the fundamental group of X.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}(G)$, we have natural isomorphisms $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\langle S \rangle) \cong \bigotimes_{s \in S} \mathcal{O}(G)_s \cong (\langle S \rangle)_G$ for any set S. This implies that $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X) \cong (\mathbb{G}X)_G$ in $s\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.1, the simplicial group $\mathbb{G}X$ is semi-free, and hence a cofibrant object in $\mathtt{sGr}$. This implies that $\boldsymbol{L}(\mathbb{G}X)_G \cong (\mathbb{G}X)_G$ in $\mathtt{Ho}(s\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k)$. Thus, $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\mathbb{G}X)$ is represented by the simplical commutative algebra $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X)$, so $\pi_*[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\mathbb{G}X)] \cong \pi_*[\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X)]$. The isomorphism for $\mathrm{HR}_0(X, G)$ is obtained by composing (4.8) with (4.6) and the natural isomorphism of groups $\pi_0(\mathbb{G}X) \cong \pi_1(X)$. □

Let Γ be a discrete group, and let $X = \mathrm{B}\Gamma$ be the classifying space (*i.e.*, the simplicial nerve) of Γ. As a simple application of Proposition 4.2, we get

Corollary 4.1. $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, G) \ \cong \ \pi_*[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)]$ *. In particular,* $\mathrm{HR}_0(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, G) \cong \Gamma_G$ *.*

Proof. The Kan adjunction (4.1) gives the canonical cofibrant resolution $\mathbb{G}\overline{W}\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma$ in $\mathtt{sGr}$. Since Γ is discrete, we have $\overline{W}\Gamma = \mathrm{B}\Gamma$, and the result follows from Proposition 4.2. □

Corollary 4.2. *For any $X, Y \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X \vee Y, G) \ \cong \ \mathrm{HR}_*(X, G) \otimes \mathrm{HR}_*(Y, G) \ .$$

Proof. Recall that the wedge sum is a (categorical) coproduct in $\mathtt{sSet}_0$. Since $\mathbb{G}$ is a left adjoint functor, we have $\mathbb{G}(X \vee Y) \cong \mathbb{G}X * \mathbb{G}Y$. By Lemma 4.2, it follows that $\mathrm{DRep}_G(\mathbb{G}X * \mathbb{G}Y) \cong \mathrm{DRep}_G(\mathbb{G}X) \otimes \mathrm{DRep}_G(\mathbb{G}Y)$. The desired result is now immediate from Künneth's Theorem and Proposition 4.2. □

4.2.3. *The fundamental spectral sequence.* Now, we introduce the functor categories $\mathfrak{G}$-Mod and Mod-$\mathfrak{G}$, whose objects are all covariant (resp., contravariant) functors from $\mathfrak{G}$ to the category of vector spaces. We regard these objects as left and right modules over $\mathfrak{G}$, respectively. Both categories are abelian with sufficiently many projective and injective objects. There is a natural bifunctor

$$- \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} - \,:\, \texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}\texttt{-Mod} \to \texttt{Vect}_k$$

which is right exact with respect to each argument, preserves sums and is left balanced in the sense of [18]. Explicitly, this bifunctor can be defined by formula (3.3) with $\mathfrak{F}$ replaced by $\mathfrak{G}$.

Since $- \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} -$ is left balanced, the derived functors with respect to each argument are naturally isomorphic, and we denote their common value by $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_*(-, -)$. Note that for any left $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, the functor $- \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{M} : \texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G} \to \texttt{Vect}_k$ is left adjoint to the functor $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, -) : \texttt{Vect}_k \to \texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G}$, where $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, V)$ is the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\langle n\rangle \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{M}(\langle n\rangle), V)$ for any vector space V. Similarly, for any right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\mathcal{N}$, the functor $\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} -$ is left adjoint to the functor $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{N}, -) : \texttt{Vect}_k \to \mathfrak{G}\texttt{-Mod}$. Hence, both functors $- \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} -$ commute with colimits.

To state our first theorem we need some notation. First, we recall that if Γ is any group, $k[\Gamma]$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra: thus, $k[\Gamma]$ defines a right $\mathfrak{G}$-module in Mod-$\mathfrak{G}$. Now, if X is a reduced simplicial set, $k[\mathbb{G}X]$ defines a simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-module in sMod-$\mathfrak{G}$. Applying the normalization functor $\underline{N} : \texttt{sMod-}\mathfrak{G} \to \texttt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G})$ to this simplicial module, we get a chain complex of $\mathfrak{G}$-modules and hence an object in the derived category $\mathscr{D}(\texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G})$. Abusing notation, we will denote this object by $\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])$.

Theorem 4.2. *For any $X \in \texttt{sSet}_0$ and any commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$, there is a natural isomorphism of graded commutative algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{H}) \;\cong\; \mathrm{H}_*[\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X]) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}}] \;.$$

To prove Theorem 4.2 we need a simple lemma. Recall that for $n \geq 0$, we denote by $k\langle n\rangle$ the group algebra of the free group based on the set $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Regarding it as a cocommutative Hopf algebra, we get a right $\mathfrak{G}$-module which (to simplify the notation) we also denote by $k\langle n\rangle$.

Lemma 4.3. *For each $n \geq 0$, the $\mathfrak{G}$-module $k\langle n\rangle$ is a projective object in* Mod-$\mathfrak{G}$.

Proof. For a fixed $n \geq 0$, let $h^n := k[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(-, \langle n\rangle)]$ denote the standard right $\mathfrak{G}$-module associated to the object $\langle n\rangle \in \mathfrak{G}$. This is a projective object in Mod-$\mathfrak{G}$. Indeed, by Yoneda Lemma, there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G}}(h^n, \mathcal{N}) \;\cong\; \mathcal{N}(\langle n\rangle)$ for any $\mathcal{N} \in \texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G}$. The sequence of $\mathfrak{G}$-modules $0 \to \mathcal{N}' \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}'' \to 0$ is exact in Mod-$\mathfrak{G}$ if and only if the sequence of k-vector spaces $0 \to \mathcal{N}'(\langle n\rangle) \to \mathcal{N}(\langle n\rangle) \to \mathcal{N}''(\langle n\rangle) \to 0$ is exact for all $n \geq 0$. It follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G}}(h^n, -) : \texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{F} \to \texttt{Vect}_k$ is an exact functor, and hence h^n is a projective object in Mod-$\mathfrak{G}$. On the other hand, for any $m \geq 0$, we have

$$h^n(\langle m\rangle) \;=\; k[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\langle m\rangle, \langle n\rangle)] \;\cong\; k[\langle n\rangle^{\times m}] \;\cong\; [k\langle n\rangle]^{\otimes m} \;=\; k\langle n\rangle(\langle m\rangle) \;,$$

which shows that $k\langle n\rangle \cong h^n$ as right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By Lemma 4.3, for any $n \geq 0$, $k\langle n\rangle$ is a projective right $\mathfrak{G}$-module such that $k\langle n\rangle \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}} \;\cong\; \underline{\mathcal{H}}(\langle n\rangle)$. Since colimits of projective modules are flat and commute with left Kan extensions, this implies that $k\langle S\rangle$ is a *flat* right $\mathfrak{G}$-module and $k\langle S\rangle \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}} \;\cong\; \underline{\mathcal{H}}(\langle S\rangle)$ for any set S. Extending the last isomorphism levelwise to simplicial sets, we get an isomorphism of simplicial vector spaces $k[\mathbb{G}X] \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}} \;\cong\; \underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X)$. Further, since each $k[\mathbb{G}X_n]$ is a flat right $\mathfrak{G}$-module, the normalized chain complex $\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])$ is a complex of flat $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, hence we have a natural isomorphism in the derived category $\mathscr{D}(\texttt{Mod-}\mathfrak{G})$:

$$\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X]) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}} \;\cong\; \underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X]) \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}} \;\cong\; N(\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{G}X)) \,.$$

At the homology level, this induces the desired isomorphism of Theorem 4.2. □

For our next theorem we recall that the singular chain complex $C_*(\Omega X; k)$ of the (Moore) loop space ΩX of a pointed topological space X has a natural structure of a cocommutative DG Hopf algebra. The coproduct on $C_*(\Omega X; k)$ is induced by the Alexander-Whitney diagonal, while the product comes from the structure of a topological monoid on ΩX via the Eilenberg-Zilber map (see, e.g., [28, Section 26]).

Thus, the homology $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;k)$ of ΩX is a graded cocommutative Hopf algebra called the *Pontryagin algebra* of X.

Now, any graded cocommutative Hopf algebra H defines a graded right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{\mathrm{H}}$ (*i.e.*, a contravariant functor from $\mathfrak{G}$ to the category of graded vector spaces). For $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q$ denote the graded component of $\underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of degree q; thus, $\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q : \mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ is a right $\mathfrak{G}$-module that assigns $\langle n\rangle \mapsto [\mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}]_q$, the q-th graded component of the graded vector space $\mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}$. Note that the $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q$ depends on *all* graded components of the Hopf algebra H, and not solely on H_q. With this notation, we can now state our second theorem, which is an analogue of [75, Theorem 2.4] for representation homology.

Theorem 4.3. *There is a natural first quadrant spectral sequence*

$$E^2_{pq} \;=\; \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_p(\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q(\Omega X;k), \underline{\mathcal{H}}) \;\underset{p}{\Longrightarrow}\; \mathrm{HR}_n(X,\mathcal{H}) \tag{4.9}$$

converging to the representation homology of X.

Proof. Recall from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that $\underline{N}(k[\langle X\rangle])$ is a non-negatively graded chain complex of flat right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules. Hence, for any left $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$, there is a standard 'Hypertor' spectral sequence (see, e.g., [94, Application 5.7.8]):

$$E^2_{pq} \;=\; \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_p(\mathrm{H}_q[\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])],\, \underline{\mathcal{H}}) \;\underset{p}{\Longrightarrow}\; \mathrm{H}_{p+q}\,[\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X]) \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}}]\ .$$

By Theorem 4.2, the limit of this spectral sequence is isomorphic to $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,\mathcal{H})$. To prove the theorem we need only to show that $\mathrm{H}_*[\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])] \cong \underline{\mathrm{H}}_*(\Omega X;k)$ as graded right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules.

By Kan's Theorem 4.1, $|\mathbb{G}X|$ is a topological group, which is weakly equivalent, as an H-space, to the based loop space ΩX. This implies, in particular, that $\mathrm{H}_*[N(k[\mathbb{G}X])] \cong \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;k)$ as graded Hopf algebras, and hence $\underline{\mathrm{H}}_*[N(k[\mathbb{G}X])] \cong \underline{\mathrm{H}}_*(\Omega X;k)$ as graded $\mathfrak{G}$-modules. Note that $N(k[\mathbb{G}X])$ stands here for the normalized chain complex of the simplicial Hopf algebra $k[\mathbb{G}X]$, while $\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])$ in the above spectral sequence denotes the normalized chain complex of the simplicial $\mathfrak{G}$-module $k[\mathbb{G}X]$. We need to check that $\mathrm{H}_*[\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])] \cong \underline{\mathrm{H}}_*[N(k[\mathbb{G}X])]$ as graded $\mathfrak{G}$-modules. Now, the simplicial $\mathfrak{G}$-module $k[\mathbb{G}X]$ assigns to $\langle m\rangle \in \mathfrak{G}$ the simplicial vector space $k[\mathbb{G}X_*]^{\otimes m} = \{k[\mathbb{G}X_n]^{\otimes m}\}_{n\geq 0}$. By the Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem, the normalized chain complex of this simplicial vector space is homotopy equivalent to $N(k[\mathbb{G}X])^{\otimes m}$, while, by Kunneth's formula, the homology of $N(k[\mathbb{G}X])^{\otimes m}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}_*[N(k[\mathbb{G}X])]^{\otimes m}$. This shows that $\mathrm{H}_*(\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X]))(\langle m\rangle) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[N(k[\mathbb{G}X])]^{\otimes m}$ for any $m \geq 0$, completing the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 4.3 has several interesting implications. First, we consider one important special case when the spectral sequence (4.9) collapses at E^2-term.

Corollary 4.3. *Let Γ be a discrete group. Then, for any affine algebraic group G, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, G) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_*(k[\Gamma], \mathcal{O}(G))\ .$$

In particular, $\mathrm{HR}_0(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, G) \cong k[\Gamma] \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)$.

Proof. The classifying space $X = \mathrm{B}\Gamma$ is an Eilenberg-MacLane space of type $K(\Gamma,1)$. Its loop space ΩX is homotopy equivalent to Γ, where Γ is considered as a discrete topological space. Hence, $\mathrm{H}_q(\Omega X;k) = 0$ for all $q > 0$, while $\mathrm{H}_0(\Omega X;k) \cong k[\Gamma]$ as a Hopf algebra. Thus, for $X = \mathrm{B}\Gamma$, the spectral sequence (4.9) collapses on the p-axis, giving the required isomorphism. □

Combining the isomorphisms of Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.3, we can express the representation homology of Γ (originally defined as a non-abelian derived functor) in terms of classical abelian homological algebra:

$$\pi_*[\mathrm{DRep}_G(\Gamma)] \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_*(k[\Gamma], \mathcal{O}(G))\ .$$

In degree 0, we have a natural isomorphism expressing the coordinate ring of the representation variety $\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$ as a functor tensor product:

$$\mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)] \cong k[\Gamma] \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)\ .$$

This last isomorphism was found in [55], and it was one of the starting points for the present paper.

The result of Theorem 4.3 holds for any (not necessarily, monoidal) left $\mathfrak{G}$-module. In particular, if we take a *reductive* affine algebraic group G and define a left $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\mathcal{O}(G)^G \in \mathfrak{G}\text{-}\mathtt{Mod}$ by the formula $\langle n\rangle \mapsto [\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes n}]^G = \mathcal{O}(G\times \overset{n}{\ldots} \times G)^G$, then, for any $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$, we obtain a homology spectral sequence

$$E^2_{pq} \;=\; \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_p(\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q(\Omega X;k),\, \mathcal{O}(G)^G) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{HR}_n(X,\, G)^G \tag{4.10}$$

converging to the G-invariant part of representation homology of X. The proof of Corollary 4.3 shows that, for $X = \mathrm{B}\Gamma$, the spectral sequence (4.10) collapses on the p-axis, giving an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, G)^G \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_*(k[\Gamma], \mathcal{O}(G)^G)\ .$$

In degree 0, we therefore have $\mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Rep}_G(\Gamma)]^G \cong k[\Gamma] \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)^G$.

To state futher consequences of Theorem 4.3 we introduce some terminology. We will say that a map $f : X \to Y$ of pointed topological spaces is a *Pontryagin equivalence* (over k) if it induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;k) \cong \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega Y;k)$ of Pontryagin algebras (or equivalently, a quasi-isomorphism $C_*(\Omega X;k) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_*(\Omega Y;k)$ of DG Hopf algebras). The next result is obtained by applying to (4.9) a standard comparison theorem for homology spectral sequences (see [94, Theorem 5.1.12]).

Corollary 4.4. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a Pontryagin equivalence, the induced map on representation homology $f_* : \mathrm{HR}_*(X,\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{HR}_*(Y,\mathcal{H})$ is an isomorphism for any Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$.*

We remark that Corollary 4.4 does not say that an *arbitrary* isomorphism of Hopf algebras $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;k) \cong \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega Y;k)$ gives an isomorphism $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(Y,\mathcal{H})$. (Indeed, an abstract isomorphism of Pontryagin algebras need not even induce a map on representation homology.) Still, Corollary 4.3 shows that if both X and Y are aspherical spaces, then any isomorphism of Pontryagin algebras induces an isomorphism on representation homology.

Next, we recall that the singular chain complex $C_*(X;k)$ of any space X is naturally a DG coalgebra with comultiplication defined by the Alexander-Whitney diagonal. Moreover, if X is path-connected, there is a quasi-isomorphism of DG coalgebras (see [29, Theorem 6.3])

$$C_*(X;k) \;\simeq\; \boldsymbol{B}[C_*(\Omega X;k)]\ ,$$

where $\boldsymbol{B}$ is the classical bar construction. Since $\boldsymbol{B}$ preserves quasi-isomorphisms, any Pontryagin equivalence $f : X \to Y$ of path-connected spaces is necessarily a homology equivalence, *i.e.*, it induces an isomorphism on singular homology $\mathrm{H}_*(X;k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_*(Y;k)$. The converse is not always true unless X and Y are simply-connected. In the latter case, we have the following well-known result (*cf.* [79, Part I, Prop. 1.1]).

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map of simply-connected pointed topological spaces. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) f is a rational homology equivalence: i.e. $f_* : \mathrm{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_*(Y;\mathbb{Q})$;
(2) f is a rational Pontryagin equivalence: i.e. $f_* : \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega Y;\mathbb{Q})$;
(3) f is a rational homotopy equivalence: i.e. $f_* : \pi_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_*(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. The equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) follows a classical theorem of Adams [1] that asserts that, for any simply-connected space X, there is a quasi-isomorphism of DG algebras: $C_*(\Omega X;k) \simeq \boldsymbol{\Omega}[C_*(X;k)]$, where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the cobar construction.

To prove that (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) we first recall that, for any simply-connected X, the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $L_X := \pi_*(\Omega X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \pi_{*+1}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ carries a natural bracket (called the Whitehead product) making it a graded Lie algebra[5]. Thus, a map $f : X \to Y$ is a rational homotopy equivalence if and only if it induces an isomorphism of Lie algebras $f_* : L_X \to L_Y$. Then, a classical theorem of Milnor and Moore (see [28, Theorem 21.5]) implies that the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_*(\Omega X) \to \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{Q})$ induces an isomorphism of graded Hopf algebras $UL_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{Q})$, where $U(L_X)$ is the universal enveloping algebra of L_X. This yields the equivalence (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). $\square$

[5]The Lie algebra L_X is called the *homotopy Lie algebra* of X.

We say that a map $f : X \to Y$ of simply-connected spaces is a *rational homotopy equivalence* if the equivalent conditions of Lemma 4.4 hold.

Proposition 4.3. *A rational homotopy equivalence induces an isomorphism on representation homology. Thus,* $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{H})$ *depends only on the rational homotopy type of* X*.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4(2), a rational homotopy equivalence $X \to Y$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega Y; \mathbb{Q})$. Since $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, we have $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq k$, and the Universal Coefficient Theorem implies that $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X; k) \cong \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega Y; k)$. The claim then follows from Corollary 4.4. □

Next, we look at higher connected spaces. Recall that a space X is said to be *n-connected* if X is path-connected and its first n homotopy groups vanish, *i.e.* $\pi_i(X) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let X be an n-connected space for some $n \ge 1$, and let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}(G)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{HR}_q(X, \mathcal{H}) = \begin{cases} k & \text{for} \quad q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad 1 \le q < n \\ \mathrm{H}_{q+1}(X; \mathfrak{g}^*) & \text{for} \quad n \le q < 2n \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is the Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is its k-linear dual.

Proof. If a space X is n-connected, its homotopy Lie algebra $L_X = \pi_*(\Omega X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \pi_{*+1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is n-reduced, *i.e.* $(L_X)_q = 0$ for $0 \le q \le n-1$. Since $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong UL_X$ and $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq k$, we have $\mathrm{H}_0(\Omega X; k) \cong k$, $\mathrm{H}_q(\Omega X; k) = 0$ for $1 \le q \le n-1$, and

$$\mathrm{H}_q(\Omega X; k) \cong (L_X)_q \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k \cong \pi_{q+1}(X)_k \cong \mathrm{H}_{q+1}(X; k) \quad \text{for} \quad n \le q \le 2n-1 \ ,$$

where the last isomorphism is a consequence of the Rational Hurewicz Theorem (see, e.g., [59]).

Now, recall that for a fixed $q \ge 0$, the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q(\Omega X; k)$ is defined as the functor $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$, $\langle m \rangle \mapsto [\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega X; k)^{\otimes m}]_q$. It follows from this definition that

$$\underline{\mathrm{H}}_q(\Omega X; k) = \begin{cases} \underline{k} & \text{for} \quad q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad 1 \le q \le n-1 \\ \mathtt{lin}_k^* \otimes \mathrm{H}_{q+1}(X; k) & \text{for} \quad n \le q \le 2n-1 \end{cases}$$

where $\mathtt{lin}_k$ is the linearization functor:

$$\mathtt{lin}_k : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k \ , \quad \langle m \rangle \mapsto \langle m \rangle_{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k = k^{\oplus m} \ , \tag{4.12}$$

and $\mathtt{lin}_k^* : \mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$ denotes its composition with linear duality. Thus, for X n-connected, the E^2-terms of the spectral sequence (4.9) can be identified as

$$\begin{aligned} E^2_{00} &\cong k \ , \quad E^2_{p,0} \cong \mathrm{Tor}_p^{\mathfrak{G}}(\underline{k}, \, \underline{\mathcal{H}}) \quad \text{for } p > 0 \ , \\ E^2_{pq} &= 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \le q \le n-1 \ , \ p \ge 0 \ , \\ E^2_{pq} &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_p^{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathtt{lin}_k^*, \, \underline{\mathcal{H}}) \otimes \mathrm{H}_{q+1}(X; k) \quad \text{for } n \le q \le n-1 \ , \ p \ge 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

By Lemma 4.3, the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{k} = k\langle 0 \rangle$ is projective. Hence, $E^2_{p,0} = 0$ for $p > 0$. On the other hand, by Proposition 6.4 (see Section 6.3 below), $\mathtt{lin}_k^* \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$, while $\mathrm{Tor}_p^{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathtt{lin}_k^*, \, \underline{\mathcal{H}}) = 0$ for $p > 0$. Hence, for $n \le q \le 2n-1$, we have

$$E^2_{0,q} = \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathrm{H}_{q+1}(X; k) \cong \mathrm{H}_{q+1}(X; \mathfrak{g}^*) \ , \qquad E^2_{pq} = 0 \quad \text{for } p > 0 \ . \tag{4.14}$$

The vanishing of E^2_{pq} for all $p > 0$ in the range $0 \le q \le 2n-1$ shows that the spectral sequence (4.9) collapses on the q-axis for these values of q. Thus, we have $\mathrm{HR}_q(X, \underline{\mathcal{H}}) \cong E^2_{0,q}$ for $0 \le q \le 2n-1$. By (4.13) and (4.14), these are the desired isomorphisms (4.11). □

Remark. For an arbitrary (pointed connected) topological space X, the 5-term exact sequence associated to the spectral sequence (4.9) reads

$$\mathrm{HR}_2(X,\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Tor}_2^{\mathfrak{G}}(k[\pi_1(X)],\,\underline{\mathcal{H}}) \to \underline{\mathrm{H}}_1(\Omega X;k)\otimes_{\mathfrak{G}}\underline{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathrm{HR}_1(X,\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathfrak{G}}(k[\pi_1(X)],\,\underline{\mathcal{H}}) \to 0$$

If the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is free, then by Lemma 4.3, the corresponding right $\mathfrak{G}$-module is flat, and hence in that case, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{HR}_1(X,\mathcal{H}) \cong \underline{\mathrm{H}}_1(\Omega X;k)\otimes_{\mathfrak{G}}\underline{\mathcal{H}}\ .$$

It would be interesting to give an explicit interpretation of $\mathrm{HR}_1(X,\mathcal{H})$ for an arbitrary X similar to (4.11) in the case of simply-connected spaces.

4.3. **Realization of representation homology.** In this section, we give another construction of representation homology that does not use the Kan equivalence (4.1). Our starting point is a general categorical principle which asserts that any left adjoint functor on the category of simplicial sets with values in a (cocomplete) category $\mathscr{C}$ arises from a cosimplicial object in $\mathscr{C}$. Specifically, a cosimplicial object $F:\Delta\to\mathscr{C}$ gives rise to the simplicial adjunction

$$-\otimes_\Delta F:\ \mathtt{sSet}\ \rightleftarrows\ \mathscr{C}\ :\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(F,-)\,,$$

where $(-\otimes_\Delta F)$ denotes the left Kan extension of F along the Yoneda embedding $Y:\Delta\to\mathtt{sSet}$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(F,-)$ is the functor assigning to $A\in\mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C})$ the simplicial set $\{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(F([n]),A)\}_{n\geq 0}$. This gives an equivalence between the category $\mathscr{C}^\Delta$ of cosimplicial objects in $\mathscr{C}$ and the category of simplicial adjunctions with values in $\mathscr{C}$ (see, e.g., [48, Prop. 3.1.5]).

The fundamental example is the cosimplicial space $\Delta^*\in\mathtt{Top}^\Delta$ defined by the geometric simplices $\{\Delta^n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Under the above equivalence, it corresponds to the classical adjunction between simplicial sets and topological spaces:

$$|-|:\ \mathtt{sSet}\ \rightleftarrows\ \mathtt{Top}\ :\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Top}}(\Delta^*,-)\ ,$$

where $|-|=-\otimes_\Delta\Delta^*$ is the geometric realization functor defined in Section 2.2. In general, it is therefore natural to think of functors of the form $(-\otimes_\Delta F):\mathtt{sSet}\to\mathscr{C}$ as *realization functors* of simplicial sets in $\mathscr{C}$.

Now, let us take an affine algebraic group G and consider its classifying space BG. This is naturally a simplicial affine k-scheme, and its coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(BG):\Delta\to\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$ is a cosimplicial commutative k-algebra. The next proposition shows that the 'realization' functor corresponding to $\mathcal{O}(BG)$ is just the classical representation functor $(-)_G$ (see Section 4.2.1).

Proposition 4.5. *For any $X\in\mathtt{sSet}_0$, there is a natural isomorphism of commutative algebras*

$$X\otimes_\Delta\mathcal{O}(BG)\cong\pi_1(X)_G=\mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Rep}_G(\pi_1(X))]$$

In particular, if Γ is a discrete group, then $\mathrm{B}\Gamma\otimes_\Delta\mathcal{O}(BG)\cong\Gamma_G$.

Proof. For any $A\in\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k}(X\otimes_\Delta\mathcal{O}(BG),A) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{sSet}}(X,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k}(\mathcal{O}(BG),A))\\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{sSet}_0}(X,BG(A))\\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{sGr}}(\mathbb{G}X,G(A))\\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Gr}}(\pi_1(X),G(A))\\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k}(\pi_1(X)_G,A)\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the third and fourth isomorphisms follow from the fact that $G(A)$ is a *discrete* simplicial group, so that $BG(A)=\overline{W}G(A)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{sGr}}(\mathbb{G}X,G(A))=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Gr}}(\pi_0(\mathbb{G}X),G(A))$. The desired proposition follows now from Yoneda Lemma. □

Proposition 4.5 suggests the possibility of defining representation homology of spaces in terms of the (non-abelian) derived tensor product $\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_\Delta$. We briefly outline this construction and refer the reader to [93] for more details and proofs. We will need some technical results from abstract homotopy theory for which we will refer to [46] and [82].

From now on, we let $\mathscr{C} = s\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$ denote the category of simplicial commutative k-algebras. This is a simplicial model category, tensored and cotensored over $\mathtt{sSet}$. Given two functors $X : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{sSet}$ and $F : \Delta \to \mathscr{C}$, we recall that the functor tensor product $X \otimes_\Delta F$ is defined by the coequilizer (*cf.* [82, (4.1.1)])

$$X \otimes_\Delta F := \mathrm{coeq}\left(\coprod_{f:[n]\to[m]} X([m]) \otimes F([n]) \overset{f^*}{\underset{f_*}{\rightrightarrows}} \coprod_{[n]\in\Delta} X([n]) \otimes F([n]) \right) .$$

The assignment $(X, F) \mapsto X \otimes_\Delta F$ defines a bifunctor $(-\otimes_\Delta -) : \mathtt{sSet}^{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \times \mathscr{C}^\Delta \to \mathscr{C}$, which we would like to replace by a homotopy invariant derived functor. To this end, we equip the categories $\mathtt{sSet}^{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}$ and $\mathscr{C}^\Delta$ with Reedy model structures, where the weak equivalences are given by the pointwise weak equivalences of diagrams in the underlying model categories $\mathtt{sSet}$ and $\mathscr{C}$. Relative to the Reedy product model structure on $\mathtt{sSet}^{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \times \mathscr{C}^\Delta$ and the natural (simplicial) model structure on $\mathscr{C}$, the functor tensor product $(-\otimes_\Delta -)$ then becomes a Quillen bifunctor (see [46, Theorem 19.7.2 and Corollary 19.7.4]). This means, in particular, that $(-\otimes_\Delta -)$ is a left Quillen functor with respect to each of its arguments, provided the other argument is chosen to be a Reedy cofibrant object. Moreover, there is a well-defined total left derived functor

$$- \overset{\boldsymbol{L}}{\underset{\Delta}{\otimes}} - : \mathtt{Ho}\Big(\mathtt{sSet}^{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}\Big) \times \mathtt{Ho}\big(\mathscr{C}^\Delta\big) \to \mathtt{Ho}(\mathscr{C}) .$$

Now, it is known (see [46, Corollary 15.8.8]) that the category $\mathtt{sSet}^{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}$ is Reedy cofibrant, *i.e.* every simplicial object in $\mathtt{sSet}$ is cofibrant with respect to the Reedy model structure on $\mathtt{sSet}^{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}$. Hence, to compute the derived tensor product $X \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_\Delta F$ it suffices only to replace the diagram F by its Reedy cofibrant model in $\mathscr{C}^\Delta$. If $F : \Delta \to \mathscr{C}$ is a pointwise cofibrant diagram (with respect to the natural model structure) in $\mathscr{C}$, then F has a *canonical* Reedy cofibrant model in $\mathscr{C}^\Delta$ given by its bar construction (see [82, Sec. 4.2]).

Theorem 4.4. *For any $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$, there is a natural isomorphism in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathscr{C})$:*

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G(\mathbb{G}X) \;\cong\; X \overset{\boldsymbol{L}}{\underset{\Delta}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(BG) \; . \tag{4.15}$$

Sketch of proof. The cosimplicial commutative algebra $\mathcal{O}(BG) \in \mathscr{C}^\Delta$ is given (in cosimplicial degree m) by the commutative algebra

$$\mathcal{O}(BG)^m = \mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes(m+1)}$$

This cosimplicial commutative algebra has a canonical resolution by a cosimplicial simplicial commutative algebra, denoted $\mathcal{O}(\overline{W}RG) \in \mathscr{C}^\Delta$, which is given (in cosimplicial degree m) by the simplicial commutative algebra

$$\mathcal{O}(\overline{W}RG)^m = (\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \Delta[m]) \otimes (\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \Delta[m-1]) \otimes \ldots \otimes (\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \Delta[0])$$

We refer the reader to [93] for a precise definition of $\mathcal{O}(\overline{W}RG)$. One can show that there is an isomorphism of simplicial commutative algebras

$$(\mathbb{G}(X))_G \;\cong\; X \otimes_\Delta \mathcal{O}(\overline{W}RG) \; . \tag{4.16}$$

Although the cosimplicial simplicial commutative algebra $\mathcal{O}(\overline{W}RG) \in \mathscr{C}^\Delta$ is not Reedy cofibrant, using (4.16), one can show that it is Reedy smooth in the sense of Appendix B, Definition B.3. Theorem 4.4 is therefore a consequence of Proposition B.4. □

Remark 1. Formula (4.15) may be thought of as an analogue of the Loday construction for higher Hochschild homology (see Section 3.2). Indeed, the Loday construction can be interpreted as follows. Given a commutative algebra $A \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C})$, consider the functor $\underline{A} : \Delta \overset{Y}{\to} \mathtt{sSet} \overset{-\otimes A}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{C}$, defining a cosimplicial object in $\mathscr{C}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A) \cong \pi_*[X \overset{\boldsymbol{L}}{\underset{\Delta}{\otimes}} \underline{A}]$ for any smooth commutative algebra A. The results of the next section suggest that, for any space X, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma(X_+) \overset{\boldsymbol{L}}{\underset{\Delta}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(BG) \;\simeq\; X \overset{\boldsymbol{L}}{\underset{\Delta}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(G) \; .$$

Remark 2. Instead of resolving $\mathcal{O}(BG)$ by a cosimplicial simplicial commutative algebra, one could resolve it by a cosimplicial commutative DG algebra $\mathcal{O}(RBG) \in (\mathtt{DGCA}_k^+)^\Delta$. The resulting tensor product $X \otimes_\Delta \mathcal{O}(RBG) \in \mathtt{DGCA}_k^+$ will then correspond to the derived representation scheme under the Quillen equivalence $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k) \simeq \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGCA}_k^+)$ (see Theorem A.1).

As in the case of simplicial commutative algebras, it suffices to require the resolution $\mathcal{O}(RBG)$ to be Reedy smooth in $(\mathtt{DGCA}_k^+)^\Delta$, meaning that all the latching maps are required to be smooth extensions of commutative DG algebras in an appropriate sense (see Definition B.3). The simplicial DG scheme X corresponding to a Reedy smooth cosimplicial commutative DG algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is called *injective* in [54]. Thus, the proof of Theorem 4.4 shows that the homotopy type of the derived representation scheme coincides with the homotopy type of the derived space $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Loc}(X)$ of local systems defined in [54].

5. Relation between representation homology and Hochschild homology

In Section 4.2.2, we defined representation homology by analogy with Hochschild homology, using Kan's simplicial loop group construction. In this section, we establish a direct relation between these two homology theories using another classical construction in simplicial homotopy theory due to J. Milnor [70].

5.1. **Main theorems.** We begin by recalling a standard simplicial model for a (reduced) suspension ΣX of a space X. The *suspension functor* on pointed simplicial sets is defined by

$$\Sigma : \mathtt{sSet}_* \to \mathtt{sSet}_0\,, \quad X \mapsto C(X)/X\,,$$

where $C(X) \in \mathtt{sSet}_*$ is the reduced cone over X. For a pointed simplicial set $X = \{X_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, the set of n-simplices in $C(X)$ is given by

$$C(X)_n := \{(x,m) : x \in X_{n-m}\,,\, 0 \leq m \leq n\}\,,$$

with all $(*,m)$ being identified to $*$. The face and degeneracy maps in $C(X)$ are defined by

$$d_i : C(X)_n \to C(X)_{n-1}\,, \qquad (x,m) \mapsto \begin{cases} (x, m-1) & \text{if } \ 0 \leq i < m \\ (d^X_{i-m}(x), m) & \text{if } \ m \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

$$s_j : C(X)_n \to C(X)_{n+1}\,, \qquad (x,m) \mapsto \begin{cases} (x, m+1) & \text{if } \ 0 \leq j < m \\ (s^X_{j-m}(x), m) & \text{if } \ m \leq j \leq n \end{cases}$$

where $d_1(x,1) = *$ for all $x \in X_0$.

The embedding $X \hookrightarrow C(X)$ is given by $x \mapsto (x,0)$, and ΣX is defined to be the corresponding quotient set. Note that, unlike $C(X)$, the simplicial set ΣX is reduced, since $(x,0) = *$ in ΣX for all $x \in X$ (in particular, we have $C(X)_0 = \{(x,0) : x \in X_0\} \sim \{*\}$). Now, for any pointed simplicial set X, there is a homotopy equivalence $|\Sigma X| \simeq \Sigma |X|$, where $\Sigma|X|$ is reduced suspension of the geometric realization of X in the usual topological sense.

The next two theorems constitute the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.1. *For any commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ and any pointed simplicial set X, there is a natural isomorphism of graded commutative algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X, \mathcal{H}) \;\cong\; \mathrm{HH}_*(X, \mathcal{H}; k)\,.$$

To state the next theorem, we recall that there is a natural way to make an arbitrary simplicial set pointed by adding to it a disjoint basepoint. To be precise, the forgetful functor $\mathtt{sSet}_* \to \mathtt{sSet}$ has a left adjoint $(-)_+ : \mathtt{sSet} \to \mathtt{sSet}_*$ obtained by extending to simplicial sets the obvious functor $X \mapsto X \sqcup \{*\}$ on the category of sets. Explicitly, if $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a simplicial set, then $(X_+)_n = X_n \sqcup \{*\}$ for all n, and the

face and degeneracy maps of X_+ are the (unique) basepoint-preserving extensions of the corresponding maps of X. Being a left adjoint, the functor $(-)_+$ commutes with colimits; in particular, we have

$$|X_+| \,\cong\, |X|_+\,,$$

where $|X|_+$ is the space obtained from $|X|$ by adjoining a basepoint.

Theorem 5.2. *For any commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ and any simplicial set X, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma(X_+),\mathcal{H}) \,\cong\, \mathrm{HH}_*(X,\mathcal{H})\,.$$

The proofs of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 are based on a classical simplicial group model of the spaces $\Omega\Sigma X$, which we now briefly review.

5.2. **Milnor's FK-construction.** For a pointed simplicial set $K \in \mathtt{sSet}_*$, we define $FK := \mathbb{G}\Sigma K$. Then, by Kan's Theorem 4.1, there is a homotopy equivalence of spaces

$$|FK| \,\simeq\, \Omega\Sigma|K|\,.$$

The following observation is due to J. Milnor [70] (see also [41, Theorem V.6.15]).

Lemma 5.1 (Milnor)**.** *For any $K \in \mathtt{sSet}_*$, FK is a semi-free simplicial group generated by the simplicial set K with basepoint identified with 1, i.e.*

$$FK_n \,=\, (\mathbb{G}\Sigma K)_n \,\cong\, \langle K_n\rangle/\langle s_0^n(*)=1\rangle \,\cong\, \langle K_n \setminus s_0^n(*)\rangle\,.$$

The face and degeneracy maps are induced by the face and degeneracy maps of K.

Proof. By definition of the reduced suspension, we have $(x,0) = *$ for all $x \in K$ and $s_0(x,m) = (x,m+1)$ for all $m>0$. Hence, $(\Sigma K)_{n+1}/s_0(\Sigma K_n) = \{(x,1)\,|\,x \in K_n\}$, with $(*,1)$ being the basepoint. It follows that

$$(\mathbb{G}\Sigma K)_n \,=\, \langle (\Sigma K)_{n+1}/s_0(\Sigma K_n)\rangle \,\cong\, \langle K_n\rangle/(*=1)\,.$$

To calculate the face and degeneracy maps, we recall from Section 4.1 that

$$d_0^{\mathbb{G}\Sigma K}(x,1) \,=\, d_1(x,1)\,d_0(x,1)^{-1} \,=\, (d_0x,1)\,(x,0)^{-1} \,=\, (d_0x,1)\,,$$

and $d_i^{\mathbb{G}\Sigma K}(x,1) = d_{i+1}(x,1) = (d_ix,1)$ for $i>0$. Similarly, $s_j^{\mathbb{G}\Sigma K}(x,1) = s_{j+1}(x,1) = (s_jx,1)$ for all $j \geq 0$. This proves the desired lemma. □

5.2.1. *Proofs of Theorems 5.1 and Theorem 5.2.* Recall that, for a commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$, we denote by $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$ the functor $\mathtt{FGr} \to \mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$ on the category of based free groups obtained from the $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\langle n\rangle \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ by taking its left Kan extension along the inclusion $\mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathtt{FGr}$ (see Section 4.2.2).

Proposition 5.1. *There is an isomorphism of functors from $\mathtt{sSet}$ to $\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$:*

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}} \circ \mathbb{G} \circ \Sigma \circ (\,-)_+ \,\cong\, (\,-\otimes \mathcal{H})\,,$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ in the right-hand side is regarded as a commutative k-algebra.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, for any simplicial set $X = \{X_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, there are natural isomorphisms of groups $[\mathbb{G}\Sigma(X_+)]_n \,\cong\, \langle X_n\rangle\,,\ n \geq 0$, with structure maps on $\mathbb{G}\Sigma(X_+)$ being compatible with those of X. By applying the functor $\mathcal{H}$, we thus get isomorphisms of simplicial commutative algebras

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}}([\mathbb{G}\Sigma(X_+)]_*) \,\cong\, \underline{\mathcal{H}}[\langle X_*\rangle] \,\cong\, X_*\otimes\mathcal{H}\ ,$$

which are obviously functorial in X. This proves the proposition. □

Theorem 5.2 is an immediate consequence of the above proposition. To prove Theorem 5.1, we first note that, although the unreduced cone on a space X coincides with the reduced cone on X_+, the corresponding suspensions differ. Instead, for any pointed space X, there is a homotopy equivalence (see [68, p. 106])

$$\Sigma(X_+) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \mathbb{S}^1\,. \tag{5.1}$$

From this we can deduce the following

Lemma 5.2. *For a pointed topological space X, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma(X_+),\mathcal{H}) \;\cong\; \mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X,\mathcal{H})\otimes\mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 4.2 to (5.1), we have $\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma(X_+),\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X,\mathcal{H})\otimes \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^1,\mathcal{H})$. Now, since $\mathbb{S}^1 \cong \Sigma(\mathrm{pt}_+)$, Theorem 5.2 implies $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^1,\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathrm{pt},\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is concentrated in degree 0. It follows that $\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma(X_+),\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X,\mathcal{H})\otimes\mathcal{H}$ as desired. □

Lemma 5.2 shows that $\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X,\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma(X_+),\mathcal{H})\otimes_{\mathcal{H}} k$. Combining this last isomorphism with that of Theorem 5.2, we now conclude

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X,\mathcal{H}) \;\cong\; \mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma(X_+),\mathcal{H})\otimes_{\mathcal{H}} k \;\cong\; \mathrm{HH}_*(X,\mathcal{H})\otimes_{\mathcal{H}} k \;\cong\; \mathrm{HH}_*(X,\mathcal{H};k)\ .$$

This proves Theorem 5.1.

5.3. **Examples.** We conclude this section with a few simple examples illustrating the use of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2. More examples will be given in the next two sections. In what follows, G denotes an arbitrary affine algebraic group and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ stands for its Lie algebra.

5.3.1. *Spheres.* The representation homology of the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ is given by $\mathrm{HR}_0(\mathbb{S}^1,G) \cong \mathcal{O}(G)$ and $\mathrm{HR}_i(\mathbb{S}^1,G) = 0$ for $i>0$. This follows, for example, from Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 4.3 (since $\mathbb{S}^1 \cong B\mathbb{Z}$). Now, for higher dimensional spheres, we have

Proposition 5.2. $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^n,G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{g}^*[n-1])$ *for all* $n\geq 2$.

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{S}^n \simeq \Sigma\,\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ for all $n\geq 2$. By Theorem 5.1, we conclude

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^n,G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathbb{S}^{n-1},\mathcal{O}(G);k) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}(G)}(\Omega^1(G)[n-1])\otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{g}^*[n-1])\,,$$

where the second isomorphism follows from [75, Section 5.5]. □

5.3.2. *Suspensions.* We now generalize the previous example to arbitrary suspensions.

Proposition 5.3. *Let ΣX be the suspension of a pointed connected space X of finite type. Then*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X,G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_k\,\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X;\mathfrak{g}^*)\ ,$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X;\mathfrak{g}^*)$ stands for the reduced (singular) homology of X with constant coefficients in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Consequently, by induction,

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma^n X,G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_k\left(\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X;\mathfrak{g}^*)[n-1]\right)\ ,\quad \forall\, n\geq 1\ .$$

Proof. It is known (see [28, Theorem 24.5]) that ΣX is rationally homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of spheres: $\Sigma X \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} \bigvee_{i\in I}\mathbb{S}^{n_i}$, where each $\mathbb{S}^{n_i}$ have dimension $n_i\geq 2$. By Proposition 4.3, it thus suffices to compute $\mathrm{HR}_*(S,G)$ for $S := \bigvee_{i\in I}\mathbb{S}^{n_i}$. Note that the reduced homology $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(S;k)$ of S is isomorphic to $\oplus_{i\in I} k\cdot v_i$ with trivial coproduct, where v_i is a basis element of homological degree $\deg(v_i)=n_i$. Now, by Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma X,G) \;&\cong\; \mathrm{HR}_*(S,G) \;\cong\; \bigotimes_{i\in I}\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^{n_i},G) \;\cong\; \bigotimes_{i\in I}\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{g}^*[n_i-1])\\
&\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_k\Big(\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathfrak{g}^*[n_i-1]\Big) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_k\Big(\bigoplus_{n\geq 2}\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes \mathrm{H}_n(\Sigma X;k)[n-1]\Big)\\
&\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_k\Big(\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes \mathrm{H}_n(X;k)[n]\Big) \cong \mathrm{Sym}_k\left[\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X;k)\right] \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_k\,\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X;\mathfrak{g}^*)\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is a consequence of the Universal Coefficient Theorem. □

Remark. Proposition 5.3 actually holds at the chain level: namely, the derived representation schemes of the suspensions ΣX of connected spaces are formal, *i.e.* DG algebra models representing these derived schemes are quasi-isomorphic to their homology.

As a consequence of Theorem 5.2, Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, we have the following general formula for the higher Hochschild homology with coefficients in commutative Hopf algebras.

Proposition 5.4. *For any pointed connected topological space X of finite type,*

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(X, \mathcal{O}(G)) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}(G)}\left[\,\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X;k)\otimes\Omega^1(G)\,\right]\,. \tag{5.2}$$

We remark that the isomorphism (5.2) is a refinement of Pirashvili's generalization of the classical HKR Theorem which (in our notation) asserts that $\mathrm{HH}_*(X,A)\cong \underline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X;k)\otimes_{\mathfrak{F}}\underline{A}$ for any smooth commutative algebra A (*cf.* [75, Theorem 4.6]). Since both sides of (5.2) make sense with $\mathcal{O}(G)$ replaced by any smooth commutative algebra A, it is natural ask when

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(X, A) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Sym}_{A}\left[\,\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X;k)\otimes\Omega^1(A)\,\right] \quad \text{for any connected space } X\,? \tag{5.3}$$

Note that, by Proposition 5.4, (5.3) holds for any polynomial algebra $A = k[x_1,\dots,x_n],\ n\geq 1$.

Remark. In recent years, there have been a number of interesting *topological* generalizations of higher Hochschild homology, such as factorization homology (see, e.g., [3, 38, 39]) and higher topological Hochschild homology (see [14]). It seems natural to expect that representation homology admits similar topological refinements, and the relation between higher Hochschild homology and representation homology established in this section extends to this more general framework.

6. Representation homology of simply-connected spaces

If X is a simply-connected topoloical space of finite type, the rational homotopy type can be described by a differential graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_X$ called a Lie model of X. In this section, we address the natural question: What is the relation between the representation homology of X and that of the Lie model $\mathcal{L}_X$? Our main theorem, which we call Comparison Theorem, asserts that the two homology theories are isomorphic.

6.1. **Representation homology of Lie algebras.** We begin by reviewing the definition of derived representation schemes of Lie algebras and associated character maps, which we call the Drinfeld traces. For details and proofs, we refer the reader to [8].

6.1.1. *Representation functor.* Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a fixed finite-dimensional Lie algebra over k. Given an (arbitrary) Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}\in \mathtt{Lie\,Alg}_k$, we are interested in classifying the representations of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. The corresponding moduli scheme $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$ is defined by its functor of points:

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}) : \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k \to \mathtt{Sets}\ , \quad A\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Lie}}(\mathfrak{a},\,\mathfrak{g}(A))$$

assigning to a commutative k-algebra A the set of families of representations of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ parametrized by the k-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$. The functor $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$ is represented by a commutative algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, which has the following canonical presentation (*cf.* [8, Prop. 6.1]):

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}} \;=\; \frac{\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{a}\otimes\mathfrak{g}^*)}{\langle\!\langle\, (x\otimes\xi_1^*)\cdot(y\otimes\xi_2^*) - (y\otimes\xi_1^*)\cdot(x\otimes\xi_2^*) - [x,y]\otimes\xi^*\,\rangle\!\rangle}\ , \tag{6.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is the vector space dual to $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\xi^*\mapsto \xi_1^*\wedge\xi_2^*$ is the linear map $\mathfrak{g}^*\to\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*$ dual to the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$. The universal representation $\varrho_{\mathfrak{g}}:\mathfrak{a}\to\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is given by the natural map

$$\mathfrak{a}\to\mathfrak{a}\otimes\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\mathfrak{g}\;\hookrightarrow\;\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{a}\otimes\mathfrak{g}^*)\otimes\mathfrak{g}\;\twoheadrightarrow\;\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}})\ ,\quad x\mapsto\sum_i [x\otimes\xi_i^*]\otimes\xi_i\ , \tag{6.2}$$

where $\{\xi_i\}$ and $\{\xi_i^*\}$ are dual bases in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$. The algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a canonical augmentation $\varepsilon:\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}\to k$ induced by the zero map $\mathfrak{a}\otimes\mathfrak{g}^*\to 0$. Thus the assignment $\mathfrak{a}\mapsto\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ defines a functor with values in the category of augmented commutative algebras:

$$(-)_{\mathfrak{g}}\ :\ \mathtt{Lie\,Alg}_k\to\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_{k/k}\ . \tag{6.3}$$

We call (6.3) the *representation functor* in $\mathfrak{g}$. Geometrically, one can think of $(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}},\varepsilon)$ as a coordinate ring $k[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})]$ of the based affine scheme $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$, with the basepoint corresponding to the trivial representation.

Next, let G be an affine algebraic group over k associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Observe that for any $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathtt{Lie\,Alg}_k$, G acts naturally on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{g}$ by automorphisms: this action is functorial in $\mathfrak{a}$. We write $(-)^G_\mathfrak{g} : \mathtt{Lie\,Alg}_k \to \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_{k/k}$ for the subfunctor of $(-)_\mathfrak{g}$ defined by taking the G-invariants:

$$\mathfrak{a}^G_\mathfrak{g} := \{x \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{g} \,:\, g(x) = x\,, \forall\, g \in G\}\ .$$

The algebra $\mathfrak{a}^G_\mathfrak{g}$ represents the affine quotient scheme $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})//G$ parametrizing the closed orbits of G in $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})$.

6.1.2. *Derived functors.* The functor (6.3) can be extended to the category of DG Lie algebras

$$(-)_\mathfrak{g} : \mathtt{DGLA}_k \to \mathtt{DGCA}_{k/k}\ , \quad \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{g}\ . \tag{6.4}$$

It is shown in [8] that, for a fixed $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathtt{DGLA}_k$, the corresponding commutative DG algebra $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{g}$ represents an affine DG scheme parametrizing the DG Lie representations of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Now, although the functor (6.4) is not homotopy invariant (it does not preserve quasi-isomorphisms and hence does not descend to $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGLA}_k)$), it is a left Quillen functor and hence has a well-behaved left derived functor (see [8, Theorem 6.4])

$$\boldsymbol{L}(-)_\mathfrak{g} : \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGLA}_k) \to \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGCA}_{k/k})\ .$$

For a fixed DG Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathtt{DGLA}_k$, we then define $\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}) := \boldsymbol{L}(\mathfrak{a})_\mathfrak{g}$.

In a similar fashion, we construct the derived functor of the invariant functor $(-)^G_\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\boldsymbol{L}(-)^G_\mathfrak{g} : \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGLA}_k) \to \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGCA}_{k/k})\ ,$$

and define $\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})^G := \boldsymbol{L}(\mathfrak{a})^G_\mathfrak{g}$. Note that, abusing notation, we write $\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})^G$ for the commutative DG algebras in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGCA}_{k/k})$ instead of the eponymous derived schemes. To compute $\boldsymbol{L}(-)_\mathfrak{g}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}(-)^G_\mathfrak{g}$ we use the cofibrant resolutions in $\mathtt{DGLA}_k$, which, in practice, are given by semi-free DG Lie algebras. Thus, we have isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}) \cong (Q\mathfrak{a})_\mathfrak{g}\ , \quad \mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})^G \cong (Q\mathfrak{a})^G\ ,$$

where $Q\mathfrak{a}$ is a(ny) cofibrant resolution of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathtt{DGLA}_k$.

Finally, for any DG Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$, we define the *representation homology* of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}) := \mathrm{H}_*[\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})]\ .$$

This is a graded commutative k-algebra, which depends on $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ (but not on the choice of resolution of $\mathfrak{a}$). If $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathtt{Lie\,Alg}_k$ is an ordinary Lie algebra, then there is an isomorphism of commutative algebras $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{g}$ which justifies the name 'derived representation scheme' for $\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})$. In addition, if G is reductive, we have

$$\mathrm{HR}_*[\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})^G] \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g})^G.$$

Thus, the homology of $\mathrm{DRep}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})^G$ can be viewed as the invariant part of the representation homology of $\mathfrak{a}$.

6.1.3. *Drinfeld traces.* Our next goal is to describe certain natural maps with values in representation homology. These maps can be viewed as (derived) characters of finite-dimensional Lie representations. From now on, we assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a reductive Lie algebra over k. We let $I(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$ denote the space of invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{g}$, and for each $d \geq 0$, we write $I^d(\mathfrak{g}) \subset I(\mathfrak{g})$ for the subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree d.

Consider the symmetric ad-invariant k-multilinear forms on $\mathfrak{a}$ of degree $d \geq 1$. Every such form is induced from the universal one: $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a} \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{a} \to \lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a})$, which takes its values in the space $\lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a})$ of coinvariants of the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathfrak{a})$. The assignment $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a})$ defines a (non-additive) functor on the category of Lie algebras that extends in a natural way to the category of DG Lie algebras:

$$\lambda^{(d)} : \mathtt{DGLA}_k \to \mathtt{Com}_k\ , \quad \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathfrak{a})/[\mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathfrak{a})]\ . \tag{6.5}$$

It can be shown (see [8, Thm. 7.1]) that the functor $\lambda^{(d)}$ has a (left) derived functor

$$\boldsymbol{L}\lambda^{(d)} : \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGLA}_k) \to \mathscr{D}(k) \ , \tag{6.6}$$

which takes its values in the derived category $\mathscr{D}(k)$ of k-complexes. We write $\mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a})$ for the homology of $\boldsymbol{L}\lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a})$ and call it the *Lie-Hodge homology* of $\mathfrak{a}$. This terminology is justified by the fact that there is a natural direct sum decomposition (see [8, Sec. 7.2] and [12, Sec. 1])

$$\overline{\mathrm{HC}}_*(U\mathfrak{a}) \cong \bigoplus_{d=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a}) \ , \tag{6.7}$$

that is a Koszul dual of the classical Hodge decomposition of cyclic homology of commutative algebras.

Now, observe that, for any commutative algebra B, there is a natural symmetric invariant d-linear form $\mathfrak{a}(B) \times \mathfrak{a}(B) \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{a}(B) \to \lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes B$ on the current Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(B)$. Hence, by the universal property of $\lambda^{(d)}$, we have a canonical map

$$\lambda^{(d)}[\mathfrak{a}(B)] \to \lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes B \, . \tag{6.8}$$

Applying $\lambda^{(d)}$ to the universal representation (6.2) and composing with (6.8), we define

$$\lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a}) \longrightarrow \lambda^{(d)}[\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{g})] \longrightarrow \lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{g} \, . \tag{6.9}$$

On the other hand, for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we have a canonical (nondegenerate) pairing

$$I^d(\mathfrak{g}) \times \lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{g}) \to k \tag{6.10}$$

induced by the linear pairing between $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}$. Replacing the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ in (6.9) by its cofibrant resolution $\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{a}$ and using (6.10), we define the morphism of complexes

$$I^d(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \lambda^{(d)}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{(6.9)} I^d(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \lambda^{(d)}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{(6.10)} \mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{g} \ . \tag{6.11}$$

For a fixed polynomial $P \in I^d(\mathfrak{g})$, this morphism induces a map on homology $\mathrm{Tr}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}) : \mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g})$, which we call the *Drinfeld trace* associated[6] to P. It is easy to check that the image of (6.11) is contained in the invariant subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{g}^G$ of $\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{g}$, hence the Drinfeld trace is actually a map

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}) : \mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g})^G \, . \tag{6.12}$$

6.2. **Comparison Theorem.** In this section for simplicity, we assume that $k = \mathbb{Q}$ to use directly results from Quillen's rational homotopy paper [79]. However, as explained in Remark 6.3.6, the results of this section extend to an arbitrary field of characteristic 0 by a universal coefficient argument.

6.2.1. Let X be a 1-connected topological space of finite rational type. Recall (*cf.* [28]) that one can associate to X a commutative cochain DG algebra $\mathcal{A}_X$, called a *Sullivan model* of X, and a connected (chain) DG Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_X$, called a *Quillen model* of X. Each of these algebras is uniquely determined up to homotopy and each encodes the rational homotopy type of X. The relation between them is given by a DG algebra quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{L}_X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_X \ , \tag{6.13}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{L}_X; \mathbb{Q})$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex of $\mathcal{L}_X$. The homology of $\mathcal{L}_X$ is the homotopy Lie algebra $L_X = \pi_*(\Omega X)_\mathbb{Q}$, while the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_X$ is the rational cohomology algebra $\mathrm{H}^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ of X. Among Quillen models of X, there is a *minimal* one given by a semi-free DG Lie algebra $(\mathbb{L}_X(V), d)$ generated by a graded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space V with differential d satisfying $d(V) \subset [\mathbb{L}(V), \mathbb{L}(V)]$. Such a minimal model is determined uniquely up to (noncanonical) isomorphism. In particular, $V \cong \overline{\mathrm{H}}_*(X; \mathbb{Q})[-1]$ (see [28], p. 326).

Now, given an algebraic group G, one can associate to a 1-connected space X two representation homologies: the representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G)$ of X with coefficients in G (in sense of Section 4.2) and the representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g})$ of a Lie model $\mathcal{L}_X$ of X with coefficients in the Lie algebra

[6] The map $\mathrm{Tr}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a})$ does depend on the choice of P but we suppress this in our notation.

of G (in sense of Section 6.1). The following theorem, which is one of the main results of the paper, shows that the two constructions agree.

Theorem 6.1. *For any affine algebraic group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \,\cong\, \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X,\mathfrak{g}).$$

Theorem 6.1 can be restated in terms of the Sullivan model of X. Recall that for any commutative (DG) algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the tensor product $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}) := \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathcal{A}$ has a natural DG Lie algebra structure with Lie bracket defined by $[\xi\otimes a\,,\,\eta\otimes b] \,=\, [\xi\,,\,\eta]\otimes ab$. In particular, if X is a pointed 1-connected topological space of finite rational type, its Sullivan model $\mathcal{A}_X$ is an augmented commutative DG algebra, and we can consider the DG Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X)$ both of which are cohomologically graded. We write $\mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X);\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_X),\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{Q})$ for the classical (absolute and relative) Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomologies of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ equipped with *homological* grading. The following is a consequence of Theorem 6.1 and one of the main results of [8] (see *loc. cit.*, Theorem 6.5).

Theorem 6.2. *For any affine algebraic group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras,*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \,\cong\, \mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X);\mathbb{Q})\ . \tag{6.14}$$

Moreover, if G is reductive, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G)^G \,\cong\, \mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_X),\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{Q})\ .$$

Proof. Since the Sullivan model of X is uniquely determined up-to homotopy, it suffices to prove the desired theorem for a particular choice of Sullivan model of X. Let $\mathbb{L}_X := (\mathbb{L}_X(V),d)$ be the minimal Quillen model of X. Then, $\mathbb{L}_X$ is connected, i.e, concentrated in positive homological degree and finite dimensional in each homological degree. Hence, $C := \mathcal{C}_*(\mathbb{L}_X;\mathbb{Q})$ is 2-connected (i.e, its coaugmentation coideal is concentrated in degrees ≥ 2) and finite dimensional in each homological degree. The graded $\mathbb{Q}$-linear dual of C is $\mathcal{A}_X \,:=\, \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{L}_X;\mathbb{Q})$, which is a Sullivan model of X. Moreover, C is Koszul dual to $\mathbb{L}_X$[7]. It follows from Theorem 6.1 and [8, Theorem 6.5 (b)] (also see *loc. cit.*, Theorem 6.3 and the subsequent remark) that

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \,\cong\, \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{L}_X,\mathfrak{g}) \,\cong\, \mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X);\mathbb{Q})\ .$$

If, moreover, G is reductive, we have

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G)^G \,\cong\, \mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X);\mathbb{Q})^G \,\cong\, \mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_X);\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{ad}\,\mathfrak{g}} \,=\, \mathrm{H}^{-*}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_X),\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{Q})\ .$$

The first isomorphism above follows from the fact that all (quasi-)isomorphisms in the proof of Theorem 6.1 are G-equivariant. Indeed, every G-action involved is induced by the G-action on the left $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\mathcal{O}(G)$ coming from the conjugation action of G on itself. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Before proving Theorem 6.1, we record one useful consequence that gives an explicit DG algebra model for the representation homology of X in terms of the minimal Quillen model of X.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $(\mathbb{L}_X(V),d)$ be the minimal Quillen model of X. Then, $(\mathbb{L}_X)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a canonical DG $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra whose homology is isomorphic to $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G)$. Thus, as graded algebras,*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \,\cong\, \mathrm{H}_*[\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes V),\partial]\,,$$

where the differential ∂ is given on generators by

$$\partial(\xi^*\otimes v) \,=\, \langle\xi^*,\varrho(dv)\rangle\,,\ \forall\,\xi\in\mathfrak{g}^*,\,v\in V\,,$$

where $\varrho\,:\,\mathbb{L}_X(V)\to\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes V)\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ is the universal representation (6.2).

[7]It is well known that if $\mathrm{char}(k)=0$, there is a Quillen equivalence $\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathtt{comm}}\,:\,\mathtt{DGCC}_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}}\rightleftarrows\mathtt{DGLA}_{\mathbb{Q}}\,:\,\mathcal{C}_*(-;\mathbb{Q})$ between the category of $\mathtt{DGCC}_{k/k}$ of (coaugmented, conilpotent) DG Lie coalgebras and the category $\mathtt{DGLA}_k$ of DG Lie algebras (see [45, Theorem 3.1 and 3.2]). Thus, there is a quasi-isomorphism of DG Lie algebras $\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathtt{comm}}(C)\,\xrightarrow{\sim}\,\mathbb{L}_X$, which shows that C is Koszul dual to $\mathbb{L}_X$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{L}_X$ is a semi-free (hence, cofibrant) DG Lie algebra, $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{L}_X, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[(\mathbb{L}_X)_\mathfrak{g}]$. The first assertion is then immediate from Theorem 6.1. The algebra isomorphism $(\mathbb{L}_X)_\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V)$ follows easily from formula (6.1). The formula for the differential ∂ can follows easily from the fact that the universal representation $\rho : \mathbb{L}_X \to (\mathbb{L}_X)_\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a *differential graded* Lie algebra homomorphism. $\square$

Example 1. Recall (see Example 5, [28, Ch. 24]) that the minimal Lie model for the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^r, r \geq 2$ is given by the free Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_r := \mathbb{L}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r)$ generated by $v_1, \ldots, v_r$, where the degree of v_i is $2i-1$, and the differential is defined on generators by $dv_1 = 0$, $dv_i = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j+k=i}[v_j, v_k]$ for all $i \geq 2$. By Corollary 6.1, we have

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^r, G) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[(\mathcal{L}_r)_\mathfrak{g}] \cong \mathrm{H}_*\big[\mathrm{Sym}\big(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{g}^* \cdot v_i\big), \partial\big]\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^* \cdot v_i$ denotes a copy of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ in degree $2i-1$ indexed by v_i and where the differential d is given on generators by

$$\partial(\xi^* \cdot v_i) = \sum_{j+k=i} (\xi_1^* \cdot v_j)(\xi_2^* \cdot v_k)\,.$$

Here, the cobracket on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by $\xi^* \mapsto \xi_1^* \wedge \xi_2^*$ in Sweedler notation.

Example 2. As another application of Corollary 6.1, we can easily recover the result of Proposition 4.4. Indeed, let X be an n-connected space for some $n \geq 1$. Consider the minimal Quillen model $\mathbb{L}_X(V)$ of X. Then $V_i \cong \mathrm{H}_{i+1}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ for all $i \geq 0$. By the Rational Hurewicz Theorem, $\mathrm{H}_i(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_i(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. Hence, $V_i = 0$ for $i \leq n-1$. Then the (nonzero) elements of $[V, V]$ must have homological degree $\geq 2n$, and therefore, by minimality of $\mathbb{L}_X$, $d(V_i) = 0$ for $n \leq i \leq 2n$. The differential ∂ on $(\mathbb{L}_X)_\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V)$ then vanishes on chains of degree $\leq 2n$, and the isomorphisms (4.11) are immediate from Corollary 6.1.

6.3. **Proof of Comparison Theorem.**

6.3.1. *Outline of the proof.* The proof of Theorem 6.1 is based on several technical results. As observed in Section 6.3.4, $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[N(L_\mathfrak{g})]$ for any semi-free simplicial Lie model L of X. Now, $L_\mathfrak{g} = \underline{R} \otimes_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G)$, where $\underline{R}$ is the simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-module associated with the simplicial cocommutative Hopf algebra $R := UL$.

Our first step is to prove Theorem 6.3, which states that $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G)$ is isomorphic to the homology of the derived tensor product $N(\underline{R}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)$. Recall that Quillen's rational homotopy theory gives a zig-zag of maps

$$\mathbb{QG}X \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X \xrightarrow{\ g\ } \widehat{R} \longleftarrow R\,,$$

where $\widehat{(-)}$ stands for completion with respect to the canonical augmentation. Here, the map g (which is by no means unique) is a weak equivalence in the model category of simplicial complete cocommutative Hopf algebras (sCHA's). The first and third arrows in the above zig-zag induce isomorphisms on all homotopy groups (see [79, Sec.3, Part I]). In Section 6.3.2, we use a relatively straightforward extension of the arguments of *loc. cit.* to verify (in a series of propositions) that the above zig-zag gives a zig-zag of the associated simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules where every arrow induces isomorphisms on all homotopy groups. The only subtlety here is that the notion of weak-equivalence in sCHA is *a priori* different from that of a map inducing an isomorphism on all homotopy groups (see [79, Sec.4, Part II]). This makes it necessary to argue that the map on simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules induced by g indeed induces isomorphisms on all homotopy groups. The proof of Theorem 6.3 follows easily from the verifications in Section 6.3.2 as well as Theorem 4.2.

Starting from Theorem 6.3, we proceed to argue in Section 6.3.4 that $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g})$ as graded vector spaces. The crucial ingredient is Proposition 6.4 (stated and proven in Section 6.3.3), which may well be of independent interest. This proposition states that if V is a vector space, then $\mathrm{Tor}^\mathfrak{G}_p(\underline{TV}, \mathcal{O}(G)) = 0$ for $p > 0$, where $\underline{TV}$ is the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module corresponding to the cocommutative Hopf algebra $TV = ULV$, the universal enveloping algebra of the free Lie algebra generated by V.

Surprisingly, the proof of Proposition 6.4 relies on topological arguments: it uses Theorem 6.3 as well as earlier computations of the representation homology of wedges of spheres (Proposition 5.3). We do not currently know a completely algebraic proof of this result. Proposition 6.4 also implies (see Proposition 6.5) another result that could be of independent interest: for any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{g}) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathfrak{G}}(\underline{U\mathfrak{a}},\mathcal{O}(G))\,.$$

Finally, in Section 6.3.5, we show that the isomorphism $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X,\mathfrak{g})$ of graded vector spaces constructed in Section 6.3.4 is indeed an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras. We do this by exhibiting for any $q\in\mathbb{N}$ a morphism of simplicial commutative algebras inducing the isomorphism $\mathrm{HR}_i(X,G) \cong \mathrm{H}_i[N(L_{\mathfrak{g}})]$ for $i\le q$. To show this, we first note that the canonical filtration (by powers of the augmentation ideal) on R induces a filtration on the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{R}$. Then we use a generic connectivity argument due to Curtis [23, Sec. 4] to show that $\pi_q(F^r\underline{R})=0$ for $r>q$ (Proposition 6.6). This allows us to replace $\underline{R}$ with $\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}, r\gg 0$ when computing homologies in degree $\le q$ of $N(\underline{R})\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathcal{O}(G)$ (i.e, $\mathrm{HR}_i(X,G)$ for $i\le q$). Again as a consequence of Proposition 6.4, the n-simplices of $\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}$ are right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules whose higher Tor's with $\mathcal{O}(G)$ vanish. It follows from these facts that the composite map

$$\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X}\otimes_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathcal{O}(G)\longrightarrow \underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X}\otimes_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathcal{O}(G)\longrightarrow \underline{\widehat{R}}\otimes_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathcal{O}(G)\longrightarrow \underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}\otimes_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathcal{O}(G)$$

induces the isomorphism $\mathrm{HR}_i(X,G)\cong \mathrm{H}_i[L_{\mathfrak{g}}]$ for $i\le q$ (on π_i's). It is not difficult to check that the maps above are morphisms of simplicial commutative algebras. This concludes our argument.

6.3.2. By Theorem A.1 there are Quillen equivalences refining the Dold-Kan correspondence

$$N^*:\mathtt{DGLA}^+_{\mathbb{Q}}\rightleftarrows \mathtt{sLie}_{\mathbb{Q}}:N\,,\qquad N^*:\mathtt{DGA}^+_{\mathbb{Q}}\rightleftarrows \mathtt{sAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}:N\qquad N^*:\mathtt{DGCA}^+_{\mathbb{Q}}\rightleftarrows \mathtt{scAlg}_{\mathbb{Q}}:N\,,$$

where $\mathtt{s}\mathscr{C}$ denotes the category of simplicial objects in a category $\mathscr{C}$. By Proposition A.2, applying the functor N^* to a semi-free Quillen model of X gives a reduced semi-free simplicial Lie model of X. Let $L:=L_X$ be a reduced semi-free simplicial Lie model of X. Consider the simplicial cocommutative Hopf algebra $R:=U(L)$ as well as the simplicial complete cocommutative Hopf algebra $\widehat{R}\cong\widehat{U}(L)$ (where the completion is with respect to the canonical augmentation). These correspond to the right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules $\underline{R}$ and $\underline{\widehat{R}}$, which assign to $\langle m\rangle$ the the tensor product $R^{\otimes m}$ and the completed tensor product $\widehat{R}^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ respectively.

Theorem 6.3. $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G)\cong \mathrm{H}_*[N(\underline{R})\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathcal{O}(G)]$.

The proof of Theorem 6.3 relies on several propositions from rational homotopy theory. These propositions, in turn, are based on the following lemma. Let V be a filtered reduced simplicial vector space. Let $\widehat{V}$ denote the completion of V with respect to the given filtration. More generally, for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$, one has the simplicial vector spaces $V^{\otimes m},\widehat{V}^{\otimes m}$ and $V^{\widehat{\otimes} m}=\widehat{V}^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$, where $V^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ denotes the completed tensor product $\varprojlim_r (V/F^rV)^{\otimes m}$. Let $\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V)$ denote the image in $V^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ of the symmetrization idempotent $e_m:=\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_m}\sigma$. Let $\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}(V):=\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V)$. Recall that a π_*-equivalence (see [67]) is a morphism inducing isomorphisms on all homotopy groups.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that for each $q>0$, $\pi_q(F^rV)=0$ for r sufficiently large. Then,*

(i) For each $q>0$, $\pi_q(F^r\widehat{V})=0$ for r sufficiently large.
(ii) The map $V^{\otimes m}\to\widehat{V}^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ is a π_*-equivalences for all m.
(iii) The map $\mathrm{Sym}(V)\to\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}(V)$ is a π_*-equivalence.

Proof. By a long exact sequence of homotopy groups (LESH) argument, the natural map $\pi_q(V)\to\pi_q(V/F^rV)$ is an isomorphism for r sufficiently large. Thus, the inverse system $\{\pi_q(V/F^rV)\}$ is eventually constant. Thus, $\lim^1\{\pi_q(V/F^rV)\}=0$. It follows from [79, Part I, Prop. 3.8] that $\pi_q(\widehat{V})\cong\pi_q(V/F^rV)$ for r sufficiently large. Since $V/F^rV\cong\widehat{V}/F^k\widehat{V}$, we see that $\pi_q(\widehat{V})\cong\pi_q(\widehat{V}/F^r\widehat{V})$ for r sufficiently large. Again by a LESH argument, $\pi_q(F^r\widehat{V})=0$ for r sufficiently large. This proves (i).

Moreover, by the Eilenberg-Zilber and Künneth Theorems, $\pi_q(V^{\otimes m}) \cong \pi_q[(V/F^rV)^{\otimes m}]$ for r sufficiently large (since the same is true for $m = 1$). It follows that the inverse system $\{\pi_q[(V/F^rV)^{\otimes m}]\}$ is eventually constant. Arguing as for the case when $m = 1$, we see that $\pi_q(\widehat{V^{\otimes m}}) \cong \pi_q[(V/F^rV)^{\otimes m}]$ for r sufficiently large. This proves that the natural map $V^{\otimes m} \to \widehat{V}^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ induces an isomorphism on π_q for any fixed q. This proves (ii).

Since the map $V^{\otimes m} \to V^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ is S_m-equivariant and since $\mathrm{Sym}^m(V)$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V)$ are the images of the symmetrization idempotent e_m acting on $V^{\otimes m}$ and $V^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ respectively, the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^m(V) \to \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V)$ is a π_*-equivalence. Thus, the map $\mathrm{Sym}(V) \to \oplus_m \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V)$ is a π_*-equivalence. Since V is reduced and by (ii), $\pi_q(V^{\widehat{\otimes} r}) = 0$ for $r > q$ (by the Eilenberg-Zilber and Künneth Theorems). It follows that $\pi_q(\oplus_{m \geq r} \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V) = 0$ for $r > q$. Applying (ii) to $W := \oplus_m \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V)$ with filtration given by $F^rW := \oplus_{m \geq r} \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V)$, we see that the map $\oplus_m \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}^m(V) \to \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}(V)$ is a π_*-equivalence. This proves (iii). □

Proposition 6.1. *The canonical map of $\mathfrak{G}$-modules $\underline{R} \to \widehat{\underline{R}}$ is a π_*-equivalence.*

Proof. It needs to be shown that the map $R^{\otimes m} \to \widehat{R}^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ is a π_*-equivalence. By [79, Part I, Thm. 3.7], for any fixed q, $\pi_q(F^rR)$ vanishes for r sufficiently large. Lemma 6.1 (ii) then implies that the map $R^{\otimes m} \to \widehat{R}^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ is a π_*-equivalence, as desired. □

Recall that $\mathbb{G}X$ denotes the Kan loop group functor applied to a reduced simplicial/cellular model of X. Then, $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X$ is a simplicial cocommutative Hopf algebra equipped with a canonical augmentation. The completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X$ of $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X$ with respect to its canonical augmentation is a simplicial complete cocommutative Hopf algebra (sCHA). $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X$ as well as $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X$ correspond to simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, which we denote by $\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X}$ and $\underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X}$ respectively.

Proposition 6.2. *The map $\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X} \to \underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X}$ is a π_*-equivalence.*

Proof. We need to show that for each m, the map $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X^{\otimes m} \to \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ is a π_*-equivalence. By Lemma 6.1 (ii), this follows one we verify that for any fixed q, $\pi_q(F^r\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X) = 0$ for r sufficiently large. This is immediate from [67, Thm. 4.72]. □

We recall that the category `sCHA` of reduced sCHA's is a model category, whose cofibrant objects are retracts of semi-free sCHA's. The definition of semi-free sCHA is the obvious extension to the simplicial setting of the definition of a free complete cocommutative Hopf algebra: the free comple cocommutative Hopf algebra generated by a vector space V is $\widehat{TV}$, where V is primitive. We now apply Quillen's rational homotopy theory: in [79], Quillen proves several equivalences of homotopy categories (see *loc. cit*, pg. 211, Fig. 2) from which it follows that there is an isomorphism in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sCHA})$ $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X \cong \widehat{R}$. By Theorem 4.7 of *loc. cit*, there is a morphism $g : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X \to \widehat{R}$ that is a simplicial homotopy equivalence. Denote the corresponding map of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules by $\underline{g} : \underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X} \to \underline{\widehat{R}}$.

Proposition 6.3. *$\underline{g}$ is a π_*-equivalence.*

Proof. By [79, Part I, Theorem 3.7] and Lemma 6.1, the completion map $\widehat{R}^{\otimes m} \to \widehat{R}^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ is a π_*-equivalence. Similarly, it can be shown that the map $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X^{\otimes m} \to \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$ is a π_* equivalence. To prove the desired lemma, we need to show that $\underline{g}^{\widehat{\otimes} m} : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X^{\widehat{\otimes} m} \to \widehat{R}^{\otimes m}$ is a π_* equivalence for each m. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X^{\otimes m} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X^{\widehat{\otimes} m} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^{\otimes m}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^{\widehat{\otimes} m}} \\ \widehat{R}^{\otimes m} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{R}^{\widehat{\otimes} m} \end{array}$$

commutes, it suffices (by the Eilenberg-Zilber and Künneth Theorems) to show that g is a π_*-equivalence. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the functor of primitive elements. By [79, Appendix A, Cor. 2.16], there is an isomorphism

of simplicial vector spaces $\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}(\mathcal{P}\widehat{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{R}$. For the same reason, $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X)$ as simplicial vector spaces. Since $\mathcal{P}\widehat{R}$ is a canonical retract of $\widehat{R}$, $\pi_q(F^r\mathcal{P}\widehat{R}) = 0$ for r large enough (since the same holds for $\widehat{R}$) and for the same reason, $\pi_q(F^r\mathcal{P}\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X) = 0$ for r sufficiently large. By Lemma 6.1 (iii), the horizontal arrows in the commutative diagram below are π_*-equivalences.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{P}g)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{P}\widehat{R}) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathrm{Sym}}(\mathcal{P}\widehat{R}) \cong \widehat{R} \end{array}$$

By [79, Part II, Theorem 4.7], $\mathcal{P}g$ is a π_*-equivalence. Thus, the left vertical arrow in the above diagram is a π_*-equivalence. It follows that g is a π_*-equivalence, as desired. □

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 6.3) By Proposition 6.1, Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3, there is a diagram of complexes of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules in which each arrow is a quasi-isomorphism

$$N(\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X}) \longrightarrow N(\underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X}) \xrightarrow{N(g)} N(\underline{\widehat{R}}) \longleftarrow N(\underline{R})\,.$$

Hence, there is an isomorphism in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q})$ of complexes of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces

$$N(\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \cong N(\underline{R}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)\,.$$

The desired result now follows from Theorem 4.2. □

6.3.3. Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space and let $\underline{TV}$ denote the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module corresponding to the cocommutative Hopf algebra $TV \cong U(LV)$. The module $\underline{TV}$ has a weight grading induced by the weight grading on TV in which V has weight 1. Let $(\underline{TV})_q$ denote the component of $\underline{TV}$ of weight q. For example, $\underline{V} := \underline{TV}_1$ is the $\mathfrak{G}$-module defined by $\mathtt{lin}^*_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes V$, see (4.12). The following proposition may be of independent interest.

Proposition 6.4. *Let G be an affine algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_i(\underline{TV}, \mathcal{O}(G)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{G}}_i[(\underline{TV})_q, \mathcal{O}(G)] = 0$ *for all* $i > 0$ *and* $q \geq 0$.

The following lemma (see [55, Prop. 4.3]) is essential for the proof of Proposition 6.4. For the benefit of the reader, we outline a proof of this lemma that is different from that given in *loc. cit.*.

Lemma 6.2. *For any $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathtt{Lie\,Alg}_k$, there is a natural isomorphism of commutative algebras*

$$U\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \cong \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the representation algebra defined in (6.1).

Proof. Let $B \in \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$. From the left $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\mathcal{O}(G)$, one can form the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G), B)$, which assigns $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes m}, B)$ to $\langle m \rangle$. Since B is a commutative k-algebra and since $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is a strictly monoidal left $\mathfrak{G}$-module, $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\underline{\mathcal{O}(G)}, B)$ acquires the structure of a lax monoidal right $\mathfrak{G}$-module. This structure is given by the maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes m}, B) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes n}, B) \xrightarrow{\mu_B \circ (- \otimes -)} \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes (m+n)}, B)\,,$$

where μ_B is the product on B. By the standard $\mathrm{Hom} - \otimes$ adjunction, there is a natural isomorphism of k-vector spaces

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(U\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G), B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Mod}\text{-}\mathfrak{G}}(U\mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G), B))\,.$$

It is a routine verification to check that under this isomorphism, the commutative k-algebra homomorphisms from $U(LV) \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)$ to B correspond to the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module homomorphisms from $U\mathfrak{a}$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G), B)$ that respect the (lax) monoidal structure. Since $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is a coalgebra and B is an algebra,

$\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G), B)$ has an algebra structure (with product given by convolution). Another routine verification shows that the set of right $\mathfrak{G}$-module homomorphisms from $U\mathfrak{a}$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G), B)$ that respect the (lax) monoidal structure is in (natural) bijection with the set of k-algebra homomorphisms φ from $U\mathfrak{a}$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G), B)$ that satisfy the following additional conditions:

$$\varphi(x)(fg) \,=\, \varphi(x^{(1)})(f)\varphi(x^{(2)})(g)\,,\ \varphi(x)(1_{\mathcal{O}(G)}) \,=\, \varepsilon(x)1_B\,,\ \varphi(Sx)(f) \,=\, \varphi(x)(Sf)$$

for all $x \in U\mathfrak{a}$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(G)$. Here, ε and S stand for the counit and antipode of $U\mathfrak{a}$ respectively the coproduct in $U\mathfrak{a}$ is given by $x \mapsto x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(2)}$ in Sweedler notation. It is not difficult to verify that the third condition above follows from the first two. As shown in [71, Example 3.4], the algebra homomorphisms from $U\mathfrak{a}$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}(G), B)$ satisfying the above conditions are in natural bijection with Lie algebra homomorphisms from $\mathfrak{a}$ to $\mathfrak{g}(B)$. Indeed, φ satisfies these conditions for all x in $U\mathfrak{a}$ iff it satisfies these conditions for $x \in \mathfrak{a}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, these conditions are equivalent to the assertion that $\varphi(x)$ is a k-linear derivation on $\mathcal{O}(G)$ with respect to the homomorphism $1_B \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}(G)}$, where $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}(G)}$ denotes the canonical augmentation on $\mathcal{O}(G)$. Such derivations are indeed in bijection with elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{g}^*, B) \cong \mathfrak{g}(B)$. We thus, have a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k}(U\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G), B) \,\cong\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathtt{Lie\,Alg}_k}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}(B))\ .$$

The desired lemma now follows from the Yoneda lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 6.4. Since $\underline{TV} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \,\cong\, U(LV) \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)$, the required isomorphism for $i = 0$ follows from Lemma 6.2. To prove the vanishing of $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathfrak{G}}(\underline{TV}, \mathcal{O}(G))$ for $i > 0$, we assign V (homological) degree 2. Then $\underline{TV}$ is a graded right $\mathfrak{G}$-module, whose component in degree $2q$ is $(\underline{TV})_q$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{H}_n[\underline{TV} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)] \,\cong \bigoplus_{2q+i=n} \mathrm{Tor}^i_{\mathfrak{G}}[(\underline{TV})_q, \mathcal{O}(G)]\,.$$

The desired proposition will follow once we show that

$$\mathrm{H}_*[\underline{TV} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)] \,\cong\, \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V)\,. \tag{6.15}$$

Equip $N^*TV \,\cong\, T(N^{-1}V)$ (see formula A.4 in Appendix A) with the simplicial cocommutative Hopf algebra structure given by its identification with $UL(N^{-1}V)$. This gives N^*TV the structure of a simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-module (which we denote by $\underline{N^*TV}$). This module assigns to the free group $\langle m\rangle$ the simplicial vector space $N^*TV^{\otimes m}$. Note that since V has degree 2, $N^*LV \,\cong\, L(N^{-1}V)$ is a semi-free simplicial Lie model for the space X given by a wedge of $(\dim_k V)$ 3-spheres. By Theorem 6.3 and Proposition 5.3,

$$\mathrm{H}_*[N(\underline{N^*TV}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)] \,\cong\, \mathrm{HR}_*(X, G) \,\cong\, \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V)\,.$$

The desired proposition is therefore, a consequence of Lemma 6.3 below.

□

Lemma 6.3. *The unit of the adjunction $\varepsilon : TV \to N(N^*TV)$ induces a quasi-isomorphism of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules $\underline{\varepsilon} : \underline{TV} \to N(\underline{N^*TV})$.*

Proof. The morphism $\underline{\varepsilon} : \underline{TV} \to N(\underline{N^*TV})$ is defined by the family of maps

$$\underline{\varepsilon}(\langle m\rangle) :\ TV^{\otimes m} \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{\otimes m}} N(N^*TV)^{\otimes m} \to N(N^*TV^{\otimes m})\ ,$$

where the last arrow is the Eilenberg-Zilber map (which is well-defined for $m > 2$ because of the associativity of the Eilenberg-Zilber map for $m = 2$). That this is a quasi-isomorphism follows from the Künneth Theorem and the fact that $\varepsilon : TV \to N(N^*TV)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. It follows from the associativity of the Eilenberg-Zilber map that the maps $\underline{\varepsilon}(\langle m\rangle)$ indeed assemble into a morphism of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules. □

6.3.4. We now show that $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g})$ as graded vector spaces. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{L}_X$ is a semi-free DG Lie model of X. Since the representation functor $(-)_\mathfrak{g}$ is left adjoint, it commutes with N^*, i.e, there is a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathtt{DGLA}_\mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{N^*} & \mathtt{sLie}_\mathbb{Q} \\ {\scriptstyle (-)_\mathfrak{g}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)_\mathfrak{g}} \\ \mathtt{DGCA}_\mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{N^*} & \mathtt{scAlg}_\mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[N(L_\mathfrak{g})]$, where $L := N^*\mathcal{L}_X$. By Lemma 6.2, $L_\mathfrak{g} \cong \underline{R} \otimes_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G)$, where $R := UL$. Thus, $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[\mathrm{C}(\underline{R} \otimes_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G))] \cong \mathrm{H}_*[\mathrm{C}(\underline{R}) \otimes_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G)]$, where C stands for associated chain complex. Since, L is a semi-free simplicial Lie model of X, the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module of n-simplices in the simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{R}$ is of the form $\underline{TV}$ for some vector space V. It follows from Proposition 6.4 that $\mathrm{C}(\underline{R})$ is a complex of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules whose higher Tor's with $\mathcal{O}(G)$ vanish. Thus, the map $\mathrm{C}(\underline{R}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G) \to \mathrm{C}(\underline{R}) \otimes_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Note that there is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules $N(\underline{R}) \to \mathrm{C}(\underline{R})$. That $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g})$ as graded vector spaces follows from Theorem 6.3, by which $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[N(\underline{R}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G)]$.

As yet another consequence of Proposition 6.4, we have the following result which may be of independent interest.

Proposition 6.5. *For any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathtt{LieAlg}_\mathbb{Q}$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^\mathfrak{G}_*(\underline{U\mathfrak{a}}, \mathcal{O}(G)) \ .$$

Proof. As argued above, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \pi_*[\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{g}]$ for any semi-free simplicial resolution $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$. By Lemma 6.2, $\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{g} \cong \underline{U\mathcal{L}} \otimes_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G)$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is semi-free, the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module of n-simplices in the simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{U\mathcal{L}}$ is of the form $\underline{TV}$ for some vector space V. It follows from Proposition 6.4 that the map $\mathrm{C}(\underline{U\mathcal{L}}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G) \to \mathrm{C}(\underline{U\mathcal{L}}) \otimes_\mathfrak{G} \mathcal{O}(G)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The desired proposition then follows once we establish that $\underline{U\mathcal{L}}$ is a simplicial resolution of $\underline{U\mathfrak{a}}$. For this, we need to check that for any m, $U\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ resolves $U\mathfrak{a}^{\otimes m}$. This follows from the Eilenberg-Zilber and Künneth Theorems. □

6.3.5. To complete the proof of Theorem 6.1, it remains to show that $\mathrm{HR}_*(X,G) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g})$ as graded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras. For this, given any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall produce a morphism of simplicial commutative algebras that induces the isomorphism $\mathrm{HR}_q(X,G) \cong \mathrm{H}_q[N(L_\mathfrak{g})]$ for $q < r$.

Recall that $R := UL$ is a semi-free simplicial associative algebra filtered by powers of its augmentation ideal. This filtration induces a filtration on the simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{R}$: if the algebra of n-simplices of R is TV for some vector space V, then the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module of n-simplices of $F^r\underline{R}$ is $\oplus_{q \geq r}(\underline{TV})_q$. The following connectivity result holds for the filtered right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{R}$.

Proposition 6.6. *For $r > q$, we have $\pi_q(F^r\underline{R}) = 0$.*

Proof. It needs to be shown that for all $\langle m \rangle$, $\pi_q(F^r\underline{R}(\langle m \rangle)) = 0$ for $r > q$. For $m = 0$, this is obvious. For $m = 1$, this is [79, Part I, Thm. 3.7]. For arbitrary m, we generalize the argument in *loc. cit.*. The functor $\mathtt{Lie}_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathtt{Vect}_\mathbb{Q}$, $L \mapsto F^r\underline{UL}(\langle m \rangle)$ takes 0 to 0 and commutes with direct limits. By [23, Remark 4.10], the arguments in Section 4 of *loc. cit.* proving Lemma (2.5) therein apply to this functor as well. It therefore, suffices to verify the desired proposition for $R = U\mathfrak{l}$, where $\mathfrak{l}$ is the free simplicial Lie algebra generated by $V := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}K$, where K is a finite wedge sum of simplicial circles. Note that in this case, $R = TV$, and V is a *connected* simplicial vector space. In this case, $F^r\underline{R}(\langle m \rangle) = \oplus_{r_1+\ldots+r_m \geq r} V^{\otimes r_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V^{\otimes r_m}$. That π_q of each summand vanishes for $q < r$ follows from the Eilenberg-Zilber and Künneth Theorems. This proves the desired proposition. □

Proposition 6.7. *For r sufficiently large, all arrows in the following commutative diagram induce isomorphisms on the homology groups $\mathrm{H}_i[\,-\,]$, $i \leq q$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{C}(\underline{R}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{C}(\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{C}(\underline{R}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{C}(\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \end{array}$$

Proof. Both $\mathrm{C}(\underline{R})$ and $\mathrm{C}(\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R})$ are complexes of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules whose higher Tors with $\mathcal{O}(G)$ vanish by Proposition 6.4. It follows that the vertical arrows induce isomorphisms on all homology groups. It therefore, suffices to show that the horizontal arrow on top of the above diagram induces isomorphisms on $\mathrm{H}_i[\,-\,]$, $i \leq q$ for r sufficiently large.

Consider the good truncation $\tau_{\geq q+1}\mathrm{C}$ (see [94, Sec. 1.2.7]) of a chain complex C of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules. The exact sequence $0 \to \tau_{\geq q+1}\mathrm{C} \to \mathrm{C} \to \tau_{<q+1}\mathrm{C} \to 0$ of complexes of right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules gives a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q})$ for any right $\mathfrak{G}$-module N.

$$\tau_{\geq q+1}\mathrm{C} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} N \to \mathrm{C} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} N \to \tau_{<q+1}\mathrm{C} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} N \to \tau_{\geq q+1}\mathrm{C} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} N[1]$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{H}_i(\tau_{\geq q+1}\mathrm{C} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} N) = 0$ for $i < q+1$. The long exact sequence of homologies associated with the above distinguished triangle then implies that

$$\mathrm{H}_i(\mathrm{C} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} N) \cong \mathrm{H}_i(\tau_{<q+1}\mathrm{C} \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} N) \text{ for } i \leq q\,. \tag{6.16}$$

By Proposition 6.6, the map $\tau_{<q+1}\mathrm{C}(\underline{R}) \to \tau_{<q+1}\mathrm{C}(\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R})$ is a quasi-isomorphism for $r > q$. Thus, the map $\mathrm{H}_*[\tau_{<q+1}\mathrm{C}(\underline{R}) \otimes^{L}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)] \to \mathrm{H}_*[\tau_{<q+1}\mathrm{C}(\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}) \otimes^{L}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)]$ is an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces. The desired proposition now follows from (6.16). □

Note that the filtration on $\widehat{R}$ induces a filtration on the right $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\underline{\widehat{R}}$. Clearly, $\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R} \cong \underline{\widehat{R}}/F^r\underline{\widehat{R}}$. The following corollary is immediate from Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.7.

Corollary 6.2. *For r sufficiently large, all arrows in the following commutative diagram induce isomorphisms on the homology groups $\mathrm{H}_i[\,-\,]$, $i \leq q$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{C}(\underline{R}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{C}(\underline{\widehat{R}}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ \mathrm{C}(\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) & & \end{array}$$

Recall that there is a weak equivalence between cofibrant objects in $\mathtt{sCHA}$ $g : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X \to \widehat{R}$ inducing a map of simplicial right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules $\underline{g}$ (see Proposition 6.3). Consider the following commutative diagram, where the second arrow on the top and bottom rows is induced by $\underline{g}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{C}(\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{C}(\underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{C}(\underline{\widehat{R}}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{C}[\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{C}[\underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{C}[\underline{\widehat{R}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)] \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}[\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)] \end{array} \tag{6.17}$$

By Proposition 4.2 the left vertical arrow in (6.17) induces isomorphisms on all homologies. The two arrows on the top row of (6.17) induce isomorphisms on all homologies by Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 respectively. The diagonal arrow induces isomorphisms on $\mathrm{H}_i[\,-\,]$, $i \leq q$ for r sufficiently large by Proposition 6.7 and Corollary 6.2. An isomorphism $\mathrm{HR}_i(X, G) \cong \mathrm{H}_i[N(L_{\mathfrak{g}})]$, $i \leq q$ is thus induced on homologies (for sufficiently large r) by the composition of the maps on the bottom row of (6.17). That the composition of maps in the bottom row is a map of DG commutative algebras follows from the fact that each of the maps

$$\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \to \underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \to \underline{\widehat{R}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G) \to \underline{R}/F^r\underline{R} \otimes_{\mathfrak{G}} \mathcal{O}(G)$$

is a morphism of simplicial commutative algebras. Indeed, this last fact follows from [55, Prop. 3.4] and the facts that $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is a lax-monoidal left $\mathfrak{G}$-module, the n-simplices of the right $\mathfrak{G}$-modules $\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X}$, $\underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X}$, $\underline{\widehat{R}}$ and $\underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}$ are lax-monoidal for each n, and the morphisms

$$\underline{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}X} \to \underline{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X} \to \underline{\widehat{R}} \to \underline{R}/F^r\underline{R}$$

are natural transformations of lax-monoidal functors on n-simplices for each n. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

6.3.6. *Remark.* The results of this section go through with $\mathbb{Q}$ replaced by any field k of characteristic 0. Indeed, the proofs of Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 work for any such field k. For Proposition 6.3, we work with a semi-free simplicial Lie model L of X over $\mathbb{Q}$. The corresponding Lie model over k is $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k$. The corresponding sCHA over k is $\widehat{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k}$. The π_*-equivalence of sCHA's (over $\mathbb{Q}$) $f : \widehat{R} \to \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}X$ extends to a π_*-equivalence of sCHA's over k $f : \widehat{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k} \to \widehat{k}\mathbb{G}X$. This proves Proposition 6.3 over k. Theorem 6.3, Proposition 6.4, Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.5 can then be proven over k as done above (over $\mathbb{Q}$).

6.4. **Complex projective spaces.** In this section, we assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$, and G is a complex *reductive* group of finite rank l. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. Recall that for any homogeneous invariant polynomial $P \in I^d(\mathfrak{g})$, one has the associated Drinfeld trace map (see formula (6.12))

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{L}) : \mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{g})^G .$$

The Drinfeld trace maps associated with a set $\{P_1, \ldots, P_l\}$ of homogeneous generators of $I(\mathfrak{g})$ assemble to a homomorphism of graded commutative algebras

$$\mathrm{Sym}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{L}) : \mathrm{Sym}_k\Big(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{HC}_*^{(d_i)}(\mathcal{L})\Big) \to \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{g})^G , \tag{6.18}$$

where $d_i = \deg(P_i)$ are the fundamental degrees of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

If $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_X$ is a Quillen Lie model of a simply connected space X, we refer to the map (6.18) as a *Drinfeld homomorphism* for X. With this terminology, we can now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.4. *For $X = \mathbb{CP}^r$ $(r \geq 2)$, the Drinfeld homomorphism* (6.18) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. As shown in Example 1, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^r, G) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[(\mathcal{L}_r)_{\mathfrak{g}}]$, where $\mathcal{L}_r$ is the minimal Lie model of $\mathbb{CP}^r$. Further, all (quasi)-isomorphisms in the proof of Theorem 6.1 are G-equivariant. Indeed, the G-action on all complexes involved in the proof of Theorem 6.1 is induced by the G-action on the left $\mathfrak{G}$-module $\mathcal{O}(G)$ coming from the conjugation action of G on itself. Since G is reductive, it follows that $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^r, G)^G \cong \mathrm{H}_*[(\mathcal{L}_r)_{\mathfrak{g}}^G]$ (as graded vector spaces). On the other hand, $\mathcal{L}_r$ is Koszul dual to the graded linear dual of the *cohomologically graded* commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}[u]/(u^{r+1})$, where u has cohomological degree 2. By [8, Thm. 6.5 (b)], $\mathrm{H}_*[(\mathcal{L}_r)_{\mathfrak{g}}^G] \cong \mathrm{H}^{-*}[\mathfrak{g}[u]/(u^{r+1}), \mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{C}]$.

A related cohomology is computed in [30, Thm. A.], which states that if z has cohomological degree 0, then $\mathrm{H}^{-*}[\mathfrak{g}[z]/(z^{r+1}), \mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{C}]$ is the free exterior algebra on $r \cdot l$ generators of cohomological degree $2m+1$ and z-weights $-m(r+1)-1$, $-m(r+1)-2$, …, $-m(r+1)-r$, where m runs over the exponents $m = 1, \ldots, m_l$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Here. the (relative) Lie algebra cohomology being considered in 'continuous' Lie algebra cohomology. In fact, setting $\mathfrak{a}$ to be the semi-free DG Lie algebra Koszul dual to $\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^{r+1})$, the results of *loc. cit.* imply that the Drinfeld trace $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is an isomorphism for $\mathfrak{a}$. In fact, for $d = d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l$, $\mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a vector space in homological degree $-2m-1$ (where $m = d-1$) having a basis of r elements with z-weights $-m(r+1)-1$, $-m(r+1)-2$, …, $-m(r+1)-r$.

The claim then follows from the observation that the Drinfeld trace for $\mathcal{L}_r$ and the Drinfeld trace for $\mathfrak{a}$ are identical as morphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative algebras, though they differ as morphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded commutative algebras. This argument is identical to that deducing [8, Thm 9.1] from the corresponding result in [30]. □

Remark. It follows from [9, Thm. 3.2 and Prop. A.3] that under the identification $\mathrm{H}_*[(\mathcal{L}_r)^G_{\mathfrak{g}}] \cong \mathrm{H}^{-*}[\mathfrak{g}[u]/(u^{r+1}), \mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{C}]$ mentioned in the above proof, the Drinfeld trace map is identified with the map [89, Equation (3.1)] given by Teleman with an explicit formula (see *loc. cit.*, Equation (2.2)). The latter map is implicitly described in the work of Feigin [27].

As a consequence of Theorem 6.4, we get the following explicit description of (the invariant part of) representation homology of complex projective spaces.

Corollary 6.3. *Let G be a complex reductive Lie group of rank l. Let $m_1, \dots, m_l$ denote the exponents of the Lie algebra of G. Then, for $r \geq 2$, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^r, G)^G \;\cong\; \Lambda_k(\xi_1^{(i)},\, \xi_3^{(i)},\, \dots,\, \xi_{2r-1}^{(i)} \,:\, i = 1, 2, \dots, l) \;,$$

where the generator $\xi_{2s-1}^{(i)}$ has homological degree $2rm_i + 2s - 1$. In the limit, we have

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty, G)^G \;\cong\; \mathbb{C} \;.$$

Proof. Note that, under the isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector spaces $\mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathfrak{a}) \cong \mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathcal{L}_r)$, a basis element of homological degree $-2m-1$ and z-weight $-m(r+1)-i$ corresponds to a basis element of homological degree $2[m(r+1)+i]-2m-1$ and u-weight $-m(r+1)-i$ (since u has homological degree -2. This shows that $\mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathcal{L}_r)$ has r basis elements of homological degree $2mr+1,\ 2mr+3,\ \dots,\ 2mr+2r-1$ for each exponent m of $\mathfrak{g}$. Hence, the first statement follows from Theorem 6.4. The second statement follows from the first, if we notice that the map $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^r, G)^G \to \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^s, G)^G$ induced by any map of spaces $\mathbb{CP}^r \to \mathbb{CP}^s$ vanishes for $s \gg r$ for degree reasons. □

6.5. **Relation to $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant homology.** If $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_X$ is a Lie model of a simply-connected space X of finite rational type, the Lie-Hodge decomposition (6.7) has a natural topological interpretation described in [12]. This interpretation is based on a well-known theorem of Jones [51] that identifies the (reduced) cyclic homology $\overline{\mathrm{HC}}_*(U\mathcal{L}_X)$ of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{L}_X$ with the (reduced) $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant homology of the free loop space $\mathscr{L}X$ of X

$$\overline{\mathrm{HC}}_*(U\mathcal{L}_X) \;\cong\; \overline{\mathrm{H}}_*^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathscr{L}X; \mathbb{C}) \;. \tag{6.19}$$

Recall that $\mathscr{L}X$ is the space of all continuous maps $\mathbb{S}^1 \to X$ equipped with compact open topology, and as such, it carries a natural circle action (induced by the action of $\mathbb{S}^1$ on itself). Using the finite self-covering maps $\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1,\ e^{i\theta} \mapsto e^{in\theta}$, we may define, for all $n \geq 0$, the graded linear endomorphisms on the $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant homology of $\mathscr{L}X$:

$$\Psi_X^n :\; \overline{\mathrm{H}}_*^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathscr{L}X; \mathbb{C}) \to \overline{\mathrm{H}}_*^{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathscr{L}X; \mathbb{C}) \;,$$

which are called the *Frobenius operations*. Under the Jones isomorphism (6.19), the d-th Hodge summand $\mathrm{HC}_*^{(d)}(\mathcal{L}_X)$ of $\overline{\mathrm{HC}}_*(U\mathcal{L}_X)$ corresponds to the common eigenspace $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_*^{\mathbb{S}^1,\,(d-1)}(\mathscr{L}X; \mathbb{C})$ of Frobenius operations Ψ_X^n with the eigenvalues n^{d-1} for all $n \geq 0$ (see [12, Theorem 1.2]). On the other hand, by our Theorem 6.1, the representation homology of the Lie model $\mathcal{L}_X$ can be identified with the representation homology of X. Thus, for any simply-connected space, the Drinfeld homomorphism (6.18) can be rewritten in purely topological terms

$$\mathrm{Sym}\big[\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \overline{\mathrm{H}}_*^{\mathbb{S}^1,\,(m_i)}(\mathscr{L}X;\, \mathbb{C})\big] \;\to\; \mathrm{HR}_*(X, G)^G \;, \tag{6.20}$$

which exhibits an interesting relation between representation homology and $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant homology of simply-connected spaces. Note that the domain and the target of the map (6.20) make sense for an arbitrary connected space X. It would be interesting to see if there is a direct construction of (6.20) that does not pass through the above identifications and works for all (not necessarily simply-connected) spaces.

Now, for $X = \mathbb{CP}^r$, the result of Theorem 6.4 reads

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{CP}^r, G)^G \cong \mathrm{Sym}\big[\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \overline{\mathrm{H}}_*^{\mathbb{S}^1,(m_i)}(\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{CP}^r);\mathbb{C})\big]\ . \tag{6.21}$$

Modulo Theorem 6.1, the isomorphism (6.21) is equivalent to the Strong Macdonald Conjecture. As we mentioned in the Introduction, this famous conjecture proposed by Feigin and Hanlon in the early 80s was recently proved in [30] by an algebraic *tour de force*. The above reformulation suggests that there might exist a more conceptual argument using topological means.

6.6. **Lie models of non-simply connected spaces.** In a series of recent papers [15, 16, 17], Buijs, Félix, Murillo and Tanré associated a free DG Lie algebra model $(\mathfrak{L}_X, d)$ to *any* finite simplicial complex X. Unlike Quillen models, the DG Lie algebras $\mathfrak{L}_X$ are assumed, in general, to be not connected but *complete* with respect to the canonical decreasing filtrarion $\mathfrak{L}^1 \supseteq \mathfrak{L}^2 \supseteq \dots$ defined by $\mathfrak{L}^1 := \mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^n := [\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}^{n-1}]$. The 0-simplices of X correspond to the degree -1 generators of $\mathfrak{L}_X$ that satisfy the Maurer-Cartan equation, while the n-simplices of X correspond to generators in degree $n-1$. For any connected, finite simplicial complex X, the DG Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}_X$ itself is acyclic (i.e., $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathfrak{L}_X, d) = 0$). The topological information about X is contained in a DG Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{L}_X, d_v)$ obtained from $\mathfrak{L}_X$ by twisting its differential by Maurer-Cartan elements corresponding to the vertices of X, i.e. $d_v := d + [v\,, -]$, where v denotes (the Maurer-Cartan element corresponding to) a vertex of X. Now, the main result of [15] (see *loc. cit.*, Theorem A) says that, if X is simply-connected, then $(\mathfrak{L}_X, d_v)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a Quillen model of X. This motivates the following conjectural generalization of our Theorem 6.1.

Let $(\mathfrak{L}_X, d)$ be a complete free DG Lie algebra model associated to a reduced simplicial set X. Let $d_v := d + [v\,, -]$ be the twisted differential on $\mathfrak{L}_X$ corresponding to the (unique) basepoint of X. Note that $\mathrm{HR}_0[(\mathfrak{L}_X, d_v), \mathfrak{g}]$ has a canonical augmentation ε corresponding to the trivial (zero) representation. Let $\widehat{\mathrm{HR}}_*[(\mathfrak{L}_X, d_v), \mathfrak{g}]$ denote the adic completion of $\mathrm{HR}_*[(\mathfrak{L}_X, d_v), \mathfrak{g}]$ with respect to the augmentation ideal of ε. Similarly, $\mathrm{HR}_0(X, G)$ has a canonical augmentation corresponding to the trivial (identity) representation of $\pi_1(X, v)$. Let $\widehat{\mathrm{HR}}_*(X, G)$ denote the corresponding completion of $\mathrm{HR}_*(X, G)$.

Conjecture 1. *There is an isomorphism of completed graded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HR}}_*(X, G) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{HR}}_*[(\mathfrak{L}_X, d_v), \mathfrak{g}]\,.$$

Note that Conjecture 1 holds for X simply-connected: indeed, in this case, $(\mathfrak{L}_X, d_v)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a Quillen model $\mathcal{L}_X$ of X and $\mathrm{HR}_0[(\mathfrak{L}_X, d_v), \mathfrak{g}] \cong \mathbb{Q}$. Thus, the right-hand side of the conjectured isomorphism is $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{L}_X, \mathfrak{g})$. Similarly, $\mathrm{HR}_0(X, G) = \mathbb{Q}$, which implies that $\widehat{\mathrm{HR}}_*(X, G) \cong \mathrm{HR}_*(X, G)$. Thus, Conjecture 1 is equivalent to Theorem 6.1 for simply-connected spaces.

7. Examples of non-simply connected spaces

In this section, using standard topological decompositions, we compute representation homology of some classical non-simply connected spaces. Our examples include closed surfaces (both orientable and non-orientable) as well as some three-dimensional spaces (link complements in $\mathbb{R}^3$, lens spaces and general closed orientable 3-manifolds). The representation homology of surfaces and link complements is given in terms of classical Hochschild homology of $\mathcal{O}(G)$ (or $\mathcal{O}(G^n)$ for some $n \geq 2$) with twisted coefficients. The representation homology of a closed 3-manifold M is expressed in terms of a differential 'Tor', which gives rise to an (Eilenberg-Moore) spectral sequence converging to $\mathrm{HR}_*(M, G)$.

7.1. **Surfaces.**

7.1.1. *The torus.* As a cell complex, the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ can be constructed as the homotopy cofibre (the mapping cone) of the map $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^1_c \to \mathbb{S}^1_a \vee \mathbb{S}^1_b$, where the subscripts on the circles indicate the generators of the respective fundamental groups, and the map itself is specified, up to homotopy, by its effect on these generators:

$$\alpha(c) = [a, b] := aba^{-1}b^{-1}\ . \tag{7.1}$$

Thus $\mathbb{T}^2 \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}[\,* \leftarrow \mathbb{S}^1_c \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{S}^1_a \vee \mathbb{S}^1_b]$, where the homotopy colimit is taken in the category $\mathtt{Top}_{0,*}$ of connected pointed spaces. Applying to this the Kan loop group functor $\mathbb{G}$ (more precisely, the composition[8] of $\mathbb{G}$ with the Eilenberg subcomplex functor, see Section 2.3), we get a simplicial group model for $\mathbb{T}^2$:

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{T}^2) \cong \mathrm{hocolim}[\,1 \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_2\,]\ . \tag{7.2}$$

Here $\mathbb{F}_1$ and $\mathbb{F}_2$ are the free groups on the generators c and $\{a,b\}$ respectively; the map α is given by (7.1), and the homotopy colimit is taken in the category $\mathtt{sGr}$ of of simplicial groups.

Now, by Lemma 4.2, the derived representation functor DRep_G preserves homotopy pushouts for any algebraic group G. Hence, it follows from (7.2) that

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{T}^2)] \cong \mathrm{hocolim}[\,k \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \mathcal{O}(G\times G)\,]\ , \tag{7.3}$$

where the homotopy colimit is taken in $s\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$, and the map $\alpha_* : \mathcal{O}(G) \to \mathcal{O}(G\times G)$ is induced by (7.1) (explicitly, $\alpha_*(f)(x,y) = f([x,y])$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$). Since

$$\mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,k \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \mathcal{O}(G\times G)\,] \ \cong\ \mathcal{O}(G\times G) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k\ ,$$

by Proposition 4.2, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2, G) \ \cong\ \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathcal{O}(G)}_*(\mathcal{O}(G\times G),\, k)\ , \tag{7.4}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(G\times G)$ is viewed as a (right) $\mathcal{O}(G)$-module via the algebra map α_*.

By standard homological algebra (see [18, Theorem 2.1, p. 185]), we can identify the Tor-groups in (7.4) as the classical Hochschild homology of $\mathcal{O}(G)$ with coefficients in the bimodule $\mathcal{O}(G\times G)$, where the right $\mathcal{O}(G)$-module structure is given via the map α_* and the left module structure via the augmentation map $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O}(G) \to k$:

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2, G) \ \cong\ \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{O}(G),\, {}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{O}(G\times G)_{\alpha})\ . \tag{7.5}$$

Alternatively, for classical (matrix) groups G, we can give an explicit 'small' DG algebra model for the representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2, G)$. Specifically, let $\mathfrak{m} := \mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ denote the maximal (augmentation) ideal of $\mathcal{O}(G)$ corresponding to the identity element $e \in G$. Assume that $\mathfrak{m}$ is generated by a *regular* sequence of elements $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_d)$ in $\mathcal{O}(G)$, so that $d = \dim G$. Consider the free module $E := \mathcal{O}(G)^{\oplus d}$ and define the $\mathcal{O}$-module map $\pi : E \to \mathcal{O}(G)$ by $\pi(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_d) := \sum_{i=1}^d r_i f_i$. Then, associated to (E,π) is the (global) Koszul complex $K_*(G) := (\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{O}(G)}(E), \delta_K)$ with differential

$$\delta_K(e_0 \wedge e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i\, \pi(e_i)\ e_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n\ .$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is generated by a regular sequence, the canonical projection $K_*(G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)/\mathfrak{m} \cong k$ is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes, and therefore $K_*(G)$ is a free resolution of k over $\mathcal{O}(G)$. It follows from (7.4) that

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathcal{O}(G)}_*(\mathcal{O}(G\times G),\, k) \ \cong\ \mathrm{H}_*[\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^2, G)]\ , \tag{7.6}$$

where $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^2, G) := \mathcal{O}(G\times G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} K_*(G)$ is a commutative DG algebra with differential $d = \mathrm{Id} \otimes \delta_K$. In particular, $\mathrm{HR}_i(\mathbb{T}^2, G) = 0$ for all $i > \dim G$.

We conclude this example with a conjectural description of the G-invariant part of representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2, G)^G$. Our conjecture can be viewed as a multiplicative analogue of the derived Harish-Chandra conjecture proposed in [8].

Assume that G is a connected reductive algebraic group of rank $l \geq 1$ defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Let $T \subset G$ be a Cartan subgroup (i.e., a maximal torus) in G, and let W be the corresponding Weyl group. Note that, since T is commutative, the map $\alpha_* : \mathcal{O}(T) \to \mathcal{O}(T\times T)$

[8]Note that, being Quillen equivalences, both functors $\mathbb{G}$ and ES preserve homotopy colimits, and hence so does their composition.

associated to T factors through the augmentation $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O}(T) \to k$. Hence, by (7.4), we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2, T) &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathcal{O}(T)}(\mathcal{O}(T\times T),\, k) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}(T\times T) \otimes \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathcal{O}(T)}(k,\, k) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}(T\times T) \otimes \Lambda_k^*(\mathfrak{m}_T/\mathfrak{m}_T^2) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}(T\times T) \otimes \Lambda_k^*(\mathfrak{h}^*)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_T$ is the augmentation ideal for T and $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{m}_T/\mathfrak{m}_T^2)^*$ is the Lie algebra of T (i.e., a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$).

Now, by functoriality, the natural inclusion $T \hookrightarrow G$ induces a map of simplicial commutative algebras

$$\Phi_G(\mathbb{T}^2) :\ \mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{T}^2)]^G \ \to\ \mathrm{DRep}_T[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{T}^2)]^W\ , \tag{7.8}$$

which is (a multiplicative analogue of) the derived Harish-Chandra homomorphism constructed in [8]. Then, the multiplicative version of the derived Harish-Chandra conjecture states

Conjecture 2. *Assume that G is one of the classical groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, $\mathrm{SL}_n(k)$, $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$, $n \geq 1$, or any simply-connected, semi-simple*[9] *affine algebraic group. Then the derived Harish-Chandra homomorphism* (7.8) *is a weak equivalence in $s\mathtt{Comm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$. Hence, by* (7.7)*, there is an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2, G)^G \ \cong\ [\mathcal{O}(T\times T) \otimes \Lambda_k^*(\mathfrak{h}^*)]^W\ . \tag{7.9}$$

We illustrate Conjecture 2 for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$. Since $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_n) \cong k[x_{ij}, \det(x_{ij})^{-1}]_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$, the elements $\{x_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\}_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_n)$ generating the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, so we have a canonical commutative DG algebra representing $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathrm{GL}_n)$:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathrm{GL}_n) \cong k[x_{ij},\, y_{ij},\, \theta_{ij};\, \det(X)^{-1},\, \det(Y)^{-1}]_{1\leq i,j\leq n}\ .$$

Here the variables x_{ij} and y_{ij} have homological degree 0, θ_{ij} have homological degree 1, and $\det(X)$ and $\det(Y)$ denote the determinants of the generic matrices $X := \|x_{ij}\|$ and $Y := \|y_{ij}\|$. The differential on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathrm{GL}_n)$ can be written in matrix terms as

$$d\,\Theta = XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} - I_n\ ,$$

where $\Theta := \|\theta_{ij}\|$ and I_n is the identity $n\times n$-matrix. The Harish-Chandra homomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{T}^2) :\ \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathrm{GL}_n)^{\mathrm{GL}_n} \to k\left[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1},\, y_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, y_n^{\pm 1},\, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\right]^{S_n}$$

is given explicitly (on generators) by the following map

$$x_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij} x_i\ , \quad y_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij} y_i\ , \quad \theta_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij}\theta_i\ ,$$

and the derived Harish-Chandra conjecture asserts that $\Phi_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ induces an isomorphism (*cf.* (7.9))

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathrm{GL}_n)^{\mathrm{GL}_n} \ \xrightarrow{\sim}\ k\left[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1},\, y_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, y_n^{\pm 1},\, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\right]^{S_n}\ , \tag{7.10}$$

where $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$ have homological degree 1 and the symmetric group S_n acts diagonally by permuting the variables. Note that, in the case of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, unlike for other algebraic groups, Conjecture 2 follows from the derived Harish-Chandra conjecture for the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ stated in [8]. This is because the Harish-Chandra map $\Phi_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ can be obtained by formally localizing the derived Harish-Chandra map for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ (*cf.* [8, Sect. 4]). In particular, the evidence collected in [8] for $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ also supports Conjecture 2 for $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. we list some of this evidence here.

1) Conjecture 2 holds for $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(k)$. This follows from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2(ii) of [8].
2) For all $n \geq 1$, the map (7.10) is degreewise surjective. This follows from [8, Theorem 4.2(i)].

[9] It is known that every simply-connected reductive affine algebraic group is automatically semi-simple. This follows from two classical facts: (1) every reductive Lie algebra is a product of a semi-simple one and an abelian one; (2) there are no nontrivial simply-connected abelian reductive algebraic groups.

3) For all $n \geq 1$, $\mathrm{HR}_i(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathrm{GL}_n)^{\mathrm{GL}_n} = 0$ for $i > n$. This follows from [10, Theorem 27].
4) For any G as in Conjecture 2, the map (7.10) is an isomorphism in homological degree *zero*, i.e. $\mathrm{HR}_0(\mathbb{T}^2, G)^G \cong \mathcal{O}(T \times T)^W$. This follows from a theorem of Thaddeus [90] (see also [88]).

Finally, we remark that, for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, $\mathrm{SL}_n(k)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$, the Harish-Chandra map is known to be an isomorphism in homological degree 0: $\mathrm{HR}_0(\mathbb{T}^N, G)^G \cong \mathcal{O}(T^N)^W$ for all tori $\mathbb{T}^N$, $N \geq 2$ (see [88]). However, by results of [8, Sect. 5.2], the above isomorphism does not extend to higher homological degrees when $N \geq 3$. In other words, the derived Harish-Chandra homomorphism $\Phi_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{T}^N)$ is not a week equivalence for higher dimensional tori $\mathbb{T}^N$, $N \geq 3$.

7.1.2. *Riemann surfaces.* The above computation of representation homology of the 2-torus naturally generalizes to Riemann surfaces of an arbitrary genus. To be precise, let Σ_g denote a closed connected orientable surface of genus $g \geq 1$. As a 2-dimensional cell complex, Σ_g can be described as the homotopy cofibre of the map $\alpha^g : \mathbb{S}^1_c \to \vee_{i=1}^g \left(\mathbb{S}^1_{a_i} \vee \mathbb{S}^1_{b_i}\right)$ defined by

$$\alpha^g(c) = [a_1, b_1]\,[a_2, b_2]\,\ldots\,[a_g, b_g]\ , \tag{7.11}$$

where $a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g$ denote the a- and b-cycles on Σ_g generating the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma_g, *)$. This gives the simplicial group model $\mathbb{G}(\Sigma_g) \cong \mathrm{hocolim}[\,1 \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha^g} \mathbb{F}_{2g}\,]$ of Σ_g, which, in turn, implies

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\Sigma_g)] \cong \mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,k \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha^g_*} \mathcal{O}(G^{2g})\,] \cong \mathcal{O}(G^{2g}) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k\ ,$$

where the map $\alpha^g_* : \mathcal{O}(G) \to \mathcal{O}(G^{2g})$ is defined by

$$\alpha^g_*(f)(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_g, y_g) := f([x_1, y_1]\,[x_2, y_2]\,\ldots\,[x_g, y_g])\ , \quad f \in \mathcal{O}(G)\ .$$

By Proposition 4.2, we conclude

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma_g, G) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathcal{O}(G)}_*(\mathcal{O}(G^{2g}),\, k) \cong \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{O}(G),\, {}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}(G^{2g})_\alpha) \tag{7.12}$$

where ${}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}(G^{2g})_\alpha$ is the bimodule with left and and right $\mathcal{O}(G)$-module structure given by the maps ε and α^g_*, respectively.

In case when $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}(G)$ is generated by a regular sequence, we can also express the representation homology of Σ_g as the homology of the commutative DG algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_g, G) := \mathcal{O}(G^{2g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} K_*(G)$, where $K_*(G)$ is the global Koszul complex constructed in Section 7.1.1:

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma_g, G) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[\,\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_g, G)\,]\ .$$

As in the torus case, for a reductive group G with a Cartan subgroup T, there is an algebra map induced by the derived Harish-Chandra homomorpism $\Phi_G(\Sigma_G)$:

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma_g, G)^G \to [\mathcal{O}(T^{2g}) \otimes \Lambda^*_k(\mathfrak{h}^*)]^W$$

where W operates diagonally on the target. However, for $g \geq 2$, this map seems far from being an isomorphism even for classical groups G as in Conjecture 2.

7.1.3. *Non-orientable surfaces.* Let $\mathcal{N}_g$ denote a closed connected non-orientable surface of genus g. For example, $\mathcal{N}_1 \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ and $\mathcal{N}_2$ is the Klein bottle. It is known that $\mathcal{N}_g$ is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy cofibre of the map $\beta^g : \mathbb{S}^1_c \to \vee_{i=1}^g \mathbb{S}^1_{a_i}$:

$$\beta^g(c) = a_1^2\, a_2^2\, \ldots\, a_g^2\ , \tag{7.13}$$

where c, a_1, $\ldots$, a_g denote generators of the corresponding fundamental groups. This gives the following simplicial group model for $\mathcal{N}_g$:

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{N}_g) \cong \mathrm{hocolim}[\,1 \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\beta^g} \mathbb{F}_g\,]\ ,$$

which, in turn, gives the presentation

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{N}_g)] \cong \mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,k \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\beta^g_*} \mathcal{O}(G^g)\,] \cong \mathcal{O}(G^g) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k\ ,$$

where $\beta^g_* : \mathcal{O}(G) \to \mathcal{O}(G^g)$ is defined by $\beta^g_*(f)(x_1, \ldots, x_g) = f(x_1^2\, x_2^2\, \ldots\, x_g^2)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathcal{N}_g, G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathcal{O}(G)}(\mathcal{O}(G^g),\, k) \;\cong\; \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{O}(G),\, {}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}(G^g)_\beta)\ .$$

7.2. 3-Manifolds.

7.2.1. *Link complements in* $\mathbb{R}^3$. By a link L in $\mathbb{R}^3$ we mean a smooth (oriented) embedding of the disjoint union $\mathbb{S}^1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathbb{S}^1$ of (a finite number of) copies of $\mathbb{S}^1$ into $\mathbb{R}^3$. The link complement $X := \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L$ is then defined to be the complement of an (open) tubular neighborhood of the image of L in $\mathbb{R}^3$. To describe a simplicial group model for X we recall two classical facts from geometric topology (*cf.* [13]). First, by a well-known theorem of J. W. Alexander, every link L in $\mathbb{R}^3$ can be obtained geometrically as the closure of a braid β in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (we write $L = \hat{\beta}$ to indicate this relation). Second, for each $n \geq 1$, the braids on n strands in $\mathbb{R}^3$ form a group B_n (the Artin braid group), which admits a faithful representation by automorphisms of the free group $\mathbb{F}_n$ (the Artin representation). Specifically, the group B_n is generated by $n-1$ elements ("flips") $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ subject to the relations

$$\sigma_i\, \sigma_j = \sigma_j\, \sigma_i \quad (\text{if } |i-j| > 1)\ , \qquad \sigma_i\, \sigma_j\, \sigma_i = \sigma_j\, \sigma_i\, \sigma_j \quad (\text{if } |i-j| = 1)\ ,$$

and in terms of these generators, the Artin representation $B_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is given by

$$\sigma_i \;:\; \begin{cases} x_i & \mapsto & x_i\, x_{i+1}\, x_i^{-1} \\ x_{i+1} & \mapsto & x_i \\ x_j & \mapsto & x_j \quad (j \neq i, i+1) \end{cases} \tag{7.14}$$

To simplify the notation we will identify B_n with its image in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_n)$ under (7.14).

The next proposition can be viewed as a refinement of a classical theorem of Artin and Birman [13, Theorem 2.2] describing the fundamental group of the link complement $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus L$ in terms of the Artin representation (see Remark below).

Proposition 7.1. *Let $L = \hat{\beta}$ be a link in $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by the closure of a braid $\beta \in B_n$. Then*

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L) \;\cong\; \mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,\mathbb{F}_n \xleftarrow{(\beta,\,\mathrm{Id})} \mathbb{F}_n \amalg \mathbb{F}_n \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Id},\,\mathrm{Id})} \mathbb{F}_n\,]\ , \tag{7.15}$$

where β acts on $\mathbb{F}_n$ via the Artin representation (7.14).

Remark. Note that the homotopy pushout in (7.15) coincides with the homotopy coequalizer $\boldsymbol{L}\mathrm{coeq}[\,\mathbb{F}_n \underset{\beta}{\overset{\mathrm{Id}}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathbb{F}_n\,]$ of the two endomorphisms Id and β of $\mathbb{F}_n$. Hence, (7.15) implies

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L, *) \cong \pi_0[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L)] \cong \mathrm{coeq}[\,\mathbb{F}_n \underset{\beta}{\overset{\mathrm{Id}}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathbb{F}_n\,] \cong \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid \beta(x_1) = x_1, \ldots, \beta(x_n) = x_n \rangle\ ,$$

which is the Artin-Birman presentation of the link group $\pi(L) := \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L, *)$.

Proof. The proof is based on a simple van Kampen type argument (*cf.* [13]). Let's place the n-braid β in a regular position in the region $x < 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, so that its starting points $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$ and end points $\{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n\}$ are located on the z-axis with coordinates $q_1 < q_2 < \ldots < q_n < p_n < p_{n-1} < \ldots < p_1$. The link L is the closure of β obtained by joining the points p_i to q_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ by simple arcs in

the region $x > 0$, as shown in the picture



Now, let $X := \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L$ denote the complement of L. Define

$$X_{\geq 0} := \{(x,y,z) \in X \,:\, x \geq 0\} \;, \quad X_{\leq 0} := \{(x,y,z) \in X \,:\, x \leq 0\} \;, \quad X_0 := X_{\geq 0} \cap X_{\leq 0} \;.$$

with a (common) basepoint $*$ in X_0. It is easy to see that $X_{\geq 0}$ is homeomorphic to the cylinder over $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$, which is, in turn, homotopic to $\mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$, where $\mathbb{D}^2$ is a two-dimensional disk in (the yz-plane) $\mathbb{R}^2$ encompassing the points $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. Similarly, we have $X_{\leq 0} \cong (\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}) \times [0,1] \simeq \mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$, and

$$X_0 \;\simeq\; \mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n\} \;\simeq\; \mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \;\vee\; \mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\} \;.$$

Under these identifications, the natural inclusions $X_{\leq 0} \hookleftarrow X_0 \hookrightarrow X_{\geq 0}$ can be identified with

$$\mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\} \xleftarrow{(f_\beta, \mathrm{Id})} \mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \;\vee\; \mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\} \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Id}, f_e)} \mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \;, \tag{7.16}$$

where the map f_β is determined (uniquely up to homotopy) by the braid β and the map f_e is determined by the trivial braid connecting the points p_i and q_i. Thus, we can represent X in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{Top}_{0,*})$ as the homotopy pushout of the diagram (7.16).

Next, recall that B_n can be identified with the mapping class group of $\mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ comprising (the isotopy classes of) orientation-preserving homeomorphisms that fix pointwise the boundary of $\mathbb{D}^2$. As a mapping class group, B_n acts naturally on the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}, *)$ and the latter can be identified with the free group $\mathbb{F}_n$ on generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ represented by small loops in $\mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ around the points p_i. It is well-known (see [13]) that the action of B_n on $\mathbb{F}_n$ arising from this construction is precisely the Artin representation (7.14). Now, using the map f_e we identify $\mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ with $\mathbb{D}^2 \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ in (7.16) and apply the loop group functor to this diagram of spaces. As a result, we get the equivalence (7.15), which completes the proof of the proposition. □

To state our main theorem we introduce some notation. First, observe that, for any algebraic group G, the Artin representation $B_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_n)$ induces naturally a braid group action $B_n \to \mathrm{Aut}[\mathcal{O}(G^n)]$, which we denote by $\beta \mapsto \beta_*$. On the standard generators, this action is defined by

$$(\sigma_i)_* : \; \mathcal{O}(G^n) \to \mathcal{O}(G^n) \;, \quad f(g_1, \ldots, g_i, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n) \mapsto f(g_1, \ldots, g_i g_{i+1} g_i^{-1}, g_i, \ldots, g_n) \;.$$

Now, for a braid $\beta \in B_n$, we let $O(G^n)_\beta$ denote the $\mathcal{O}(G^n)$-bimodule whose underlying vector space is $\mathcal{O}(G^n) = \mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes n}$, the left action of $\mathcal{O}(G^n)$ is given by multiplication, while the right action is twisted by the automorphism β_*.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $L = \hat{\beta}$ be a link in $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by the closure of a braid $\beta \in B_n$. Then*

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L)] \cong \mathcal{O}(G^n) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G^{2n})} \mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta \;.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash L, G) \;\cong\; \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{O}(G^n),\, \mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta) \;. \tag{7.17}$$

Proof. By Proposition 7.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L)] &\cong \mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,\mathcal{O}(G^n) \xleftarrow{(\beta_*,\,\mathrm{Id})} \mathcal{O}(G^n)\otimes_k \mathcal{O}(G^n) \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Id},\,\mathrm{Id})} \mathcal{O}(G^n)\,]\\ &\cong \mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,\mathcal{O}(G^n) \xleftarrow{(\beta_*,\,\mathrm{Id})} \mathcal{O}(G^{2n}) \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Id},\,\mathrm{Id})} \mathcal{O}(G^n)\,]\\ &\cong \mathcal{O}(G^n)\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G^{2n})} \mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta\ .\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark. Theorem 7.1 exhibits an interesting analogy between the representation homology of link complements in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and their Legendrian contact homology in the sense of L. Ng (see [73, 74]). This analogy is explained in the recent paper [11], where a new algebraic construction of link contact homology is given. Roughly speaking, in terminology of [11], $\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L)]$ represents the algebraic 'homotopy closure' of the braid $\beta\in B_n$ in the category of simplicial commutative algebras, while the Legendrian contact homology of $\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L$ can be computed from a certain DG category $\mathscr{A}_L$ that represents the homotopy braid closure of $\beta\in B_n$ in the category of (small pointed) DG k-categories.

7.2.2. *Link complements in* $\mathbb{S}^3$. Note that Theorem 7.1 computes the representation homology of the topological *space* $\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L$, not of the *link group* $\pi(L)$, which is the fundamental group of $\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L$. Even when L is a knot in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (i.e., a link with one component), the representation homologies $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L, G)$ and $\mathrm{HR}_*(\pi(L), G)$ differ, because $\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L$ is not a $K(\pi,1)$-space[10]. In knot theory, one is usually interested in representation varieties of the knot group $\pi(L)$, so it is important to understand the relation between $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L, G)$ and $\mathrm{HR}_*(\pi(L), G)$. A natural way to approach this problem is to consider L as a link in $\mathbb{S}^3$ by adding to $\mathbb{R}^3$ one point at infinity. If $L\subset\mathbb{R}^3\subset\mathbb{S}^3$ is a knot, by Papakyriakopoulos' Sphere Theorem, the complement $\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L$ is an aspherical space, and $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L,*)\cong\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L,*)=\pi(L)$. Hence, for any knot L, $\mathrm{HR}_*(\pi(L),G)\cong\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L, G)$, so it suffices to clarify the relation between $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L,G)$ and $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L,G)$.

To this end, we observe that the natural inclusion $\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L\hookrightarrow\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L$ fits into the cofibration sequence $\mathbb{S}^2\xhookrightarrow{i}\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L\hookrightarrow\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L$, so that

$$\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L\cong\mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,*\leftarrow\mathbb{S}^2\xrightarrow{i}\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L\,]\ , \tag{7.18}$$

where $\mathbb{S}^2\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ is chosen in such a way that it encloses L in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Applying the Kan functor to (7.18), we get

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L)\cong\mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,1\leftarrow\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{S}^2)\xrightarrow{i_*}\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L)\,]\ . \tag{7.19}$$

To describe the induced map i_*, we note that $\mathbb{S}^2\cong\Sigma\,\mathbb{S}^1\cong\mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,*\leftarrow\mathbb{S}^1\to *\,]$, hence

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{S}^2)\cong\mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,1\leftarrow\mathbb{F}_1\to 1\,]\ . \tag{7.20}$$

Now, if we identify $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L)$ as in Proposition 7.1, then i_* is determined by the morphism of diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & 1\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ \mathbb{F}_n & \xleftarrow{(\beta,\mathrm{Id})} & \mathbb{F}_n\amalg\mathbb{F}_n & \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Id},\mathrm{Id})} & \mathbb{F}_n\end{array} \tag{7.21}$$

where the map in the middle is given (on free generators) by $x\mapsto(x_1\,x_2\,\ldots\,x_n)\,(y_1\,y_2\,\ldots\,y_n)^{-1}$. Note that the left square in (7.21) commutes because the product $x_1x_2\ldots x_n\in\mathbb{F}_n$ stays fixed under the Artin representation for any $\beta\in B_n$.

The map $i_*:\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{S}^2)\to\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L)$ induces a map of simplicial commutative algebras

$$i_*:\ \mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{S}^2)]\to\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L)]\ , \tag{7.22}$$

which (to simplify the notation) we denote by the same symbol. By Theorem 7.1,

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L)]\ \cong\ \mathcal{O}(G^n)\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G^{2n})}\mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta\ .$$

[10] In fact, $\pi_2(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash L,*)\neq 0$.

On the other hand, by (7.20),

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{S}^2)] \;\cong\; k \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k \;\cong\; \Lambda_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) \cong \Lambda_k(\mathfrak{g}^*)\ ,$$

where the exterior algebra $\Lambda_k(\mathfrak{g}^*) := \mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{g}^*[1])$ is regarded as a DG algebra with trivial differential. With these identifications, the map (7.22) is induced by the algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}(G) \to \mathcal{O}(G^{2n})\ ,\quad f(x) \mapsto f((x_1\, x_2\, \ldots\, x_n)\,(y_1\, y_2\, \ldots\, y_n)^{-1})\ . \tag{7.23}$$

Now, we can regard $\mathcal{O}(G^n) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G^{2n})} \mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta$ as a DG module over the DG algebra $k \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k \cong \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. As a consequence of (7.19) and Theorem 7.1, we have then the following

Theorem 7.2. *Let $L = \hat{\beta}$ be a link in $\mathbb{S}^3$ given by the closure of a braid $\beta \in B_n$. Then*

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L)] \;\cong\; k \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}^*)} [\mathcal{O}(G^n) \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{O}(G^{2n})} \mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta]\ .$$

Consequenlty, there is a natural spectral sequence

$$E^2_{*,*} = \mathrm{Tor}^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}^*)}_*(k,\, \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{O}(G^n),\, \mathcal{O}(G^n)_\beta) \implies \mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L,\, G)\ ,$$

converging to the representation homology of $\mathbb{S}^3\backslash L$.

7.2.3. *Lens spaces.* Recall that, for coprime integers p and q, the lens space $L(p,q)$ of type (p,q) is defined as the quotient $\mathbb{S}^3/\mathbb{Z}_p$ of the 3-sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ viewed as the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^2$ modulo the (free) action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ given by $(z,w) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i/p}\, z,\, e^{2\pi i q/p}\, w)$. This definition shows that $L(p,q)$ is a compact connected 3-manifold, whose universal cover is $\mathbb{S}^3$ and the fundamental group is $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Special cases include $L(1,0) \cong \mathbb{S}^3$, $L(0,1) \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and $L(2,1) \cong \mathbb{RP}^3$.

To compute the representation homology of $L(p,q)$ we will use a well-known topological construction of these spaces in terms of Dehn surgery in $\mathbb{S}^3$ (see, e.g., [83, Chap. 3B]). Recall that if $K \subset \mathbb{S}^3$ is a knot in $\mathbb{S}^3$ and p, q are two integer numbers, the p/q Dehn surgery on K is a 3-dimensional space obtained by removing from $\mathbb{S}^3$ the interior $\mathring{N}(K)$ of a regular tubular neighborhood $N(K)$, which is a 3-dimensional solid torus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$, and then gluing $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$ back to $\mathbb{S}^3\backslash\mathring{N}(K)$ in such a way that the meridional curve of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$ is identified with a (p,q)-curve on the boundary of $\mathbb{S}^3\backslash\mathring{N}(K)$. For the trivial knot $K \subset \mathbb{S}^3$, it is easy to see that the p/q Dehn surgery on K gives precisely the lens space $L(p,q)$. In this case, the knot complement $\mathbb{S}^3\backslash\mathring{N}(K)$ is homeomorphic to the solid torus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$, so the space $L(p,q)$ can be obtained by gluing together two solid tori along their boundary.

To describe this in more precise terms, we consider the solid torus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$ as a subset in $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2 = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,:\, |z| = 1\,,\, |w| \le 1\}\ .$$

We identify $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ as the boundary of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and denote by $i : \mathbb{T}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$ the natural inclusion.

Now, for the given pair (p,q) of coprime numbers, we choose $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $mq - np = 1$, and define the 'gluing' map $\gamma : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$ by[11]

$$\gamma(z,\, w) := (z^m w^p,\, z^n w^q)\ . \tag{7.24}$$

Then the p/q Dehn surgery construction of $L(p,q)$ can be described as the pushout in $\mathtt{Top}_{0,*}$:

$$L(p,q) \cong \mathrm{colim}\,[\,\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2 \xleftarrow{\;i\;} \mathbb{T}^2 \xhookrightarrow{\;\gamma\;} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2\,]\ . \tag{7.25}$$

Since i is a cofibration in $\mathtt{Top}_{0,*}$, we can replace the colimit in (7.25) by a homotopy colimit and then replace the diagram of solid tori by a homotopy equivalent diagram of circles:

$$L(p,q) \;\cong\; \mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,\mathbb{S}^1 \xleftarrow{\;\pi\;} \mathbb{T}^2 \xrightarrow{\;\bar{\gamma}\;} \mathbb{S}^1\,]\ . \tag{7.26}$$

[11]Note that the map γ factors as $\gamma = i \circ \gamma_A$, where $\gamma_A : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is a homeomorphism of the torus defined by formula (7.24) that depends on the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} m & p \\ n & q \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The assignment $A \mapsto \gamma_A$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ to the mapping class group of $\mathbb{T}^2$. Formula (7.26) shows that $L(p.q)$ can be obtained as a join of two circles, $\mathbb{S}^1 \star_\gamma \mathbb{S}^1$, twisted by γ_A.

In this diagram, the map π is given by the canonical projection $(z,w)\mapsto z$ and $\bar\gamma$ is the composition $\pi\circ\gamma$ defined by $(z,w)\mapsto z^m w^p$. Now, applying the Kan loop group functor, we get a simplicial group model for $L(p,q)$:

$$\mathbb{G}[L(p,q)] \;\cong\; \mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,\mathbb{F}_1 \xleftarrow{\pi} \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{T}^2) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{F}_1\,]\;. \tag{7.27}$$

Recall (see (7.2)) that $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is given in `sGr` by the homotopy cofibre of the commutator map $\alpha:\mathbb{F}_1\to\mathbb{F}_2$, $c\mapsto[a,b]$, where a and b are the generators of $\mathbb{F}_2$ corresponding to the meridian and longitude in $\mathbb{T}^2$. In terms of these generators, the maps π and γ in (7.27) are induced by

$$\pi:\,\mathbb{F}_2\to\mathbb{F}_1\;,\;(a,b)\mapsto(z,1)\;,\qquad \gamma:\,\mathbb{F}_2\to\mathbb{F}_1\;,\;(a,b)\mapsto(z^m,z^p)\;, \tag{7.28}$$

where z is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_1$.

Now, assume that G admits a global Koszul resolution $K_*(G)$ described in Section 7.1. Then, we have am explicit DG algebra model for $\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2,G)$ given by $\mathcal{A}_*(\mathbb{T}^2,G)=\mathcal{O}(G\times G)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)}K_*(G)$. Applying to (7.27) the derived representation functor, we get

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(L(p,q))] \;\cong\; \mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,\mathcal{O}(G) \xleftarrow{\pi_*} \mathcal{A}_*(\mathbb{T}^2,G) \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} \mathcal{O}(G)\,]\;, \tag{7.29}$$

The maps π_* and γ_* in (7.29) are determined by (7.28); on the degree 0 component of the DG algebra $\mathcal{A}_*(\mathbb{T}^2,G)$, they are given by

$$\pi_*:\,\mathcal{O}(G\times G)\to\mathcal{O}(G)\;,\quad f(x,y)\mapsto f(z,e)\;, \tag{7.30}$$

$$\gamma_*:\,\mathcal{O}(G\times G)\to\mathcal{O}(G)\;,\quad f(x,y)\mapsto f(z^m,z^p)\;. \tag{7.31}$$

Using π_* and γ_*, we can make $\mathcal{O}(G)$ into (left and right) DG modules over the DG algebra $\mathcal{A}_*(\mathbb{T}^2,G)$, which we denote by $\mathcal{O}(G)_\pi$ and $\mathcal{O}(G)_\gamma$ respectively. With this notation, we have the following result that completes our calculation.

Theorem 7.3. *The representation homology of a 3-dimensional lens space $L(p,q)$ is given by*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(L(p,q),G)\;\cong\;\mathbf{Tor}^{\mathcal{A}_*}_*(\mathcal{O}(G)_\pi,\,\mathcal{O}(G)_\gamma)\;,$$

where $\mathbf{Tor}^{\mathcal{A}_*}_*$ denotes the differential Tor *taken over the DG algebra $\mathcal{A}_*=\mathcal{A}_*(\mathbb{T}^2,G)$. In particular, there is an Eilenberg-Moore homology spectral sequence*

$$E^2_{*,*}=\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{HR}_*(\mathbb{T}^2,G)}_*(\mathcal{O}(G)_\pi,\,\mathcal{O}(G)_\gamma)\;\Longrightarrow\;\mathrm{HR}_*(L(p,q),G)$$

converging to the representation homology of $L(p,q)$.

7.2.4. *Closed 3-manifolds.* The above construction of lens spaces generalizes to arbitrary closed 3-manifolds. Specifically, it is well known (see, e.g., [85]) that every closed connected orientable 3-manifold M admits a Heegaard decomposition $H_g\cup_\gamma H_g$ which can be written as

$$M\;\cong\;\mathrm{colim}\,[\,H_g \xleftarrow{i} \Sigma_g \xhookrightarrow{\gamma} H_g\,]\;, \tag{7.32}$$

where H_g is a handlebody of genus $g\geq 0$, i is the natural inclusion identifying $\Sigma_g=\partial H_g$ and γ is a gluing map defined as the composition $\Sigma_g\xrightarrow{\gamma_A}\Sigma_g\xhookrightarrow{i}H_g$, where γ_A is an (orientation-preserving) diffeomorphism of Σ_g representing an element in the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g):=\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+\Sigma_g)$. In particular, for $g=1$, the Heegaard diagram (7.32) becomes (7.25); in fact, the lens spaces can be characterized as (closed) 3-manifolds that admit Heegaard decompositions of genus 1.

Since H_g is homotopy equivalent as a cell complex to the bouquet of g circles $\vee_{i=1}^g\mathbb{S}^1$, we can represent the homotopy type of M by

$$M\;\cong\;\mathrm{hocolim}\left[\bigvee_{i=1}^g\mathbb{S}^1\;\leftarrow\;\Sigma_g\;\rightarrow\;\bigvee_{i=1}^g\mathbb{S}^1\right]\;.$$

This gives the simplicial group model $\mathbb{G}(M)\cong\mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,\mathbb{F}_g\xleftarrow{\pi}\mathbb{G}(\Sigma_g)\xrightarrow{\gamma}\mathbb{F}_g\,]$, and hence

$$\mathrm{DRep}_G[\mathbb{G}(M)]\;\cong\;\mathrm{hocolim}\,[\,\mathcal{O}(G^g)\xleftarrow{\pi_*}\mathcal{A}_*(\Sigma_g,G)\xrightarrow{\gamma_*}\mathcal{O}(G^g)\,]\;\cong\;\mathcal{O}(G^g)\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathcal{A}_*}\mathcal{O}(G^g)\;,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_* = \mathcal{A}_*(\Sigma_g, G)$ is an explicit DG algebra model for the representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma_g, G)$ (see Section 7.1.2). As a result, we have the following generalization of Theorem 7.3 to 3-manifolds of higher genus.

Theorem 7.4. *Let M a closed connected orientable 3-manifold. Assume that M has a Heegaard decomposition* (7.32) *determined by an element $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ in the mapping class group of Σ_g. Then the representation homology of M is given by*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(M, G) \;\cong\; \mathbf{Tor}_*^{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathcal{O}(G^g)_\pi,\, \mathcal{O}(G^g)_\gamma) \;,$$

where $\mathbf{Tor}_*^{\mathcal{A}_*}$ is the differential Tor *taken over the DG algebra $\mathcal{A}_* = \mathcal{A}_*(\Sigma_g, G)$. In particular, there is an Eilenberg-Moore homology spectral sequence*

$$E^2_{*,*} = \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathrm{HR}_*(\Sigma_g, G)}(\mathcal{O}(G^g)_\pi,\, \mathcal{O}(G^g)_\gamma) \implies \mathrm{HR}_*(M, G)$$

converging to the representation homology of M.

8. Representation cohomology and a non-abelian Dennis trace map

In this section, we identify representation homology as the Hochschild-Mitchell (hyper)homology of a certain bifunctor on the category of finitely generated free groups $\mathfrak{G}$ with values in chain complexes of k-vector spaces.

8.1. Representation cohomology.

8.1.1. *(Co)homology of small categories.* Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a small category. By a *$\mathscr{C}$-bimodule*, we mean a bifunctor $D : \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathtt{Vect}_k$, which is contravariant in the first argument and covariant in the second. We write $\mathtt{Bimod}(\mathscr{C})$ for the category of $\mathscr{C}$-bimodules. For any $D \in \mathtt{Bimod}(\mathscr{C})$, one can define the (Hochschild-Mitchell) homology $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathscr{C}, D)$ and cohomology $\mathrm{HH}^*(\mathscr{C}, D)$ of $\mathscr{C}$ with coefficients in D. For a precise definition and basic properties of these classical (co)homology theories we refer to [72, 4, 34, 35] (a good summary can also be found in [63, Appendix C]). Here, we only recall that $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathscr{C}, -)$ and $\mathrm{HH}^*(\mathscr{C}, -)$ are functors (covariant and contravariant, respectively) on the category of $\mathscr{C}$-bimodules, such that $\{\mathrm{HH}_n(\mathscr{C}, -)\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{\mathrm{HH}^n(\mathscr{C}, -)\}_{n\geq 0}$ are universal δ-sequences, with $\mathrm{HH}_0(\mathscr{C}, D)$ and $\mathrm{HH}^0(\mathscr{C}, D)$ being canonically isomorphic to the coend $\int^{c\in\mathscr{C}} D(c,c)$ and the end $\int_{c\in\mathscr{C}} D(c,c)$ of the bifunctor D. Moreover, the (co)homology theories $\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathscr{C}, D)$ and $\mathrm{HH}^*(\mathscr{C}, D)$ have good functorial properties with respect to the first argument: in particular, any functor $F : \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{C}$ between small categories induces a natural map on homology $F_* : \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathscr{C}', F^*D) \to \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathscr{C}, D)$, where $F^* : \mathtt{Bimod}(\mathscr{C}) \to \mathtt{Bimod}(\mathscr{C}')$ is the restriction functor on bimodules defined by $F^*D := D \circ (F^{\mathrm{op}} \times F)$.

8.1.2. *Representation cohomology.* To express representation homology in terms of Hochschild-Mitchell homology, we need to slightly extend the above classical setting. Specifically, we will consider chain complexes of $\mathscr{C}$-bimodules, which are simply bifunctors $D : \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$ with values in the category of chain complexes of k-vector spaces, and define $\mathbb{HH}_*(\mathscr{C}, D)$ and $\mathbb{HH}^*(\mathscr{C}, D)$ to be the Hochschild-Mitchell *hyperhomology* and the Hochschild-Mitchell *hypercohomology* of D, respectively. Now, given two chain complexes of right and left $\mathscr{C}$-modules, say $M : \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$ and $N : \mathscr{C} \to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$, we define the chain complex of $\mathscr{C}$-bimodules $M \boxtimes_k N : \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$ by assigning to $(c, c') \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathscr{C})$ the tensor product $M(c) \otimes_k N(c')$ of the corresponding chain complexes. With this notation, we have

Lemma 8.1. *For any $X \in \mathtt{sSet}_0$ and any commutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(X, \mathcal{H}) \;\cong\; \mathbb{HH}_*(\mathfrak{G},\, \underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X]) \boxtimes_k \underline{\mathcal{H}}) \;. \tag{8.1}$$

Proof. For any small category $\mathscr{C}$ and any $\mathscr{C}$-modules M and N with values in $\mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$, where k is a commutative ring, there is a natural (Grothendieck) spectral sequence (see, e.g., [63, (C.10.1)]):

$$E^2_{pq} = \mathbb{HH}_p(\mathscr{C},\, \mathrm{H}_q[M \boxtimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_k N]) \implies \mathrm{H}_{p+q}[M \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathscr{C}} N] \;.$$

When k is a field, this spectral sequence degenerates giving an isomorphism $\mathbb{HH}_*(\mathscr{C},\, M\boxtimes_k N) \cong \mathrm{H}_*[M\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathscr{C}} N]$. In our situation, we have

$$\mathbb{HH}_*(\mathfrak{G},\, \underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])\boxtimes_k \underline{\mathcal{H}}) \;\cong\; \mathrm{H}_*[\underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])\otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\mathfrak{G}} \underline{\mathcal{H}}]\ ,$$

which in composition with the isomorphism of Theorem 3.1 gives (8.1). □

Lemma 8.1 motivates the following definition.

Definition 8.1. The *representation cohomology* of X in $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{HR}^*(X,\mathcal{H}) \;:=\; \mathbb{HH}^*(\mathfrak{G},\, \underline{N}(k[\mathbb{G}X])\boxtimes_k \underline{\mathcal{H}})$$

More generally, for any $\mathfrak{G}$-bimodule $D : \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}}\times\mathscr{C}\to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$, we define the *representation homology* and the *representation cohomology* of D by

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(D) := \mathbb{HH}_*(\mathfrak{G},\, D)\ ,\quad \mathrm{HR}^*(D) := \mathbb{HH}^*(\mathfrak{G},\, D)\ .$$

In the case when D is an ordinary $\mathfrak{G}$-bimodule (with values in $\mathtt{Vect}_k$), this definition says that the representation (co)homology of D is just the classical Hochschild-Mitchell (co)homology of D.

8.1.3. *Relation to topological Hochschild homology.* For an arbtirary (associative unital) ring R, denote by $F(R)$ the full subcategory of R-$\mathtt{Mod}$ whose objects are the free modules $R^n,\ n\geq 0$. For any R-bimodule N, consider the bifunctor $\mathrm{Hom}(I,N) :\ F(R)^{\mathrm{op}}\times F(R)\to \mathtt{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by $(X,Y)\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, N\otimes_R Y))$. Then, a theorem of Pirashvili and Waldhausen [77] asserts that the Hochschild-Mitchell homology $\mathrm{HH}_*(F(R),\, \mathrm{Hom}(I,N))$ is naturally isomorphic to the *topological Hochschild homology* $\mathrm{THH}_*(R,N)$ of the ring R with coefficients in the bimodule N. It is therefore natural to *define* the topological Hochschild homology of R with coefficients in an arbitrary bifunctor $B :\ F(R)^{\mathrm{op}}\times F(R)\to \mathtt{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$ by (*cf.* [63, Chap. 13])

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(R,B) := \mathrm{HH}_*(F(R),\, B)\ .$$

For $R=\mathbb{Z}$, the category $F(\mathbb{Z})$ is equivalent to the category $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ of finitely generated free abelian groups, which (as our notation suggests) is the abelianization of the category $\mathfrak{G}$. The abelianization functor $\alpha : \mathfrak{G}\to\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ induces a natural map $\mathrm{HR}_*(\alpha^*B)\to \mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{Z},B)$ for any $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{ab}}$-bimodule $B\in \mathtt{Bimod}(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{ab}})$, and conversely, for any $\mathfrak{G}$-bimodule $D\in\mathtt{Bimod}(\mathfrak{G})$, associated to the functor α, there is an André-type spectral sequence (see [34, Theorem 1.20]):

$$E^2_{pq} = \mathrm{THH}_p(\mathbb{Z},\, \boldsymbol{L}_q(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}\times\alpha)_*D) \implies \mathrm{HR}_{p+q}(D)\ ,$$

converging to the representation homology of D.

Thus, representation homology may be viewed as a non-abelian analogue of topological Hochschild homology, and it is natural to ask for 'non-abelian' analogues of various constructions known for topological Hochschild homology. In the next section, we outline one such construction which may be thought of as a non-abelian version of the Dennis trace map.

8.2. **Non-abelian Dennis trace map.** Recall[12] that the classical Dennis trace maps the stable homology of the general linear groups of a ring R to topological Hochschild homology of R:

$$\mathrm{DTr}_\infty(R,B) :\ \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{GL}_\infty(R),\, B_\infty)\ \to\ \mathrm{THH}_*(R,B)\ , \tag{8.2}$$

where B is an arbitrary bimodule over $F(R)$. We generalize this map to the non-abelian setting.

Let $\mathrm{Aut}_n := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_n)$ denote the automorphism group of the free group on generators $x_1,\dots,x_n$. We will regard Aut_n as the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\langle n\rangle)$ of the object $\langle n\rangle$ in the category $\mathfrak{G}$. There are obvious inclusions $\mathrm{Aut}_n\hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{n+1}$ defined by $g\mapsto \tilde{g}$, where $\tilde{g}(x_i) := g(x_i)$ for $i\leq n$ and $\tilde{g}(x_{n+1}) = x_{n+1}$. We set $\mathrm{Aut}_\infty := \varinjlim \mathrm{Aut}_n$.

Now, consider an arbitrary bimodule D on the category $\mathfrak{G}$, i.e. a bifunctor $D : \mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{op}}\times\mathfrak{G}\to\mathtt{Vect}_k$. For each $n\geq 1$, let $D_n := D(\langle n\rangle,\langle n\rangle)$ and define the linear maps

$$p_n^*\circ (i_n)_* :\ D_n\to D(\langle n\rangle,\langle n+1\rangle)\to D_{n+1}\ , \tag{8.3}$$

[12] See [63, Sect. 13.1.8] for the case $B=\mathrm{Hom}(I,N)$ and [31] for an extension to arbitrary $F(R)$-bimodules.

where $(i_n)_* := D(\mathrm{Id}, i_n)$ and $p_n^* := D(p_n, \mathrm{Id})$ are induced by the natural inclusion $i_n : \langle n \rangle \hookrightarrow \langle n+1 \rangle$ and the natural projection $p_n : \langle n+1 \rangle \twoheadrightarrow \langle n \rangle$, respectively. Put

$$D_\infty := \varinjlim D_n \ ,$$

where the inductive limit is taken with respect to (8.3).

Next, observe that each D_n carries a natural Aut_n-module structure: namely, $\mathrm{Aut}_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(D_n)$, $g \mapsto g^* \circ g_*$, where $g^* := D(g, \mathrm{Id})$ and $g_* := D(\mathrm{Id}, g)$. Moreover, for all $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_n$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_n & \xrightarrow{g^*\, g_*} & D_n \\ {\scriptstyle p_n^*\,(i_n)_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_n^*\,(i_n)_*} \\ D_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}^*\, \tilde{g}_*} & D_{n+1} \end{array} \ .$$

As a consequence, the k-vector space D_∞ carries a natural (inductive) Aut_∞-module structure. Thus, we can consider the homology groups $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_n, D_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty)$. Since homology commutes with direct limits, we can identify

$$\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty) \cong \varinjlim \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_n, D_n) \ . \tag{8.4}$$

Next, we construct natural maps relating $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_n, D_n)$ and $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty)$ to representation homology $\mathrm{HR}_*(D)$. We proceed as in [63, 13.1.8], but with one important modification. Instead of using the Mac Lane Isomorphism, which identifies group homology with classical Hochschild homology in the case of GL_n and which is not available in our non-abelian setting, we will use the Baues-Wirsching Isomorphism, which identifies group homology with Baues-Wirsching homology [34]. Specifically, regarding each group Aut_n as a category with a single object, we consider the natural functors

$$\gamma_n : \ \mathrm{Aut}_n \ \to \ \mathfrak{G} \ ,$$

mapping the single object of Aut_n to $\langle n \rangle \in \mathfrak{G}$. Then, by [34, Remark 1.10], we have natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_n, D_n) \ \cong \ \mathrm{H}_*^{\mathrm{BW}}(\mathrm{Aut}_n, \, \gamma_n^* D) \ \cong \ \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathcal{F}\mathrm{Aut}_n^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{Z}, \gamma_n^* D) \ ,$$

where $\mathcal{F}\mathscr{C}$ denotes the Baues-Wirsching factorization category of a small category $\mathscr{C}$ (see [4]). Similarly, by [34, Proposition 1.13], there are natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{HR}_*(D) := \mathrm{HH}_*(\mathfrak{G}, D) \ \cong \ \mathrm{H}_*^{\mathrm{BW}}(\mathfrak{G}, D) \ \cong \ \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{Z}, D) \ .$$

Now, observe that, for each $n \geq 0$ and any $\mathfrak{G}$-bimodule D, there is a canonical map $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Aut}_n, D_n) \to \mathrm{HR}_0(D) \cong \int^{n \geq 0} D_n$ induced by embedding each D_n into the coend diagram of the bifunctor D. Since γ_n^* is an exact functor from the category of left $\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules to the category of left Aut_n-modules, the sequence $\{\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{F}\mathrm{Aut}_n^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{Z}, \gamma_n^*(-))\}_{i \geq 0}$ forms a covariant left δ-functor. Since $\{\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{F}\mathrm{Aut}_n^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{Z}, -)\}_{i \geq 0}$ is a universal δ-functor, the map $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Aut}_n, D_n) \to \mathrm{HR}_0(D)$ extends to canonical linear maps

$$\mathrm{DTr}_n^{\mathfrak{G}}(D) : \ \mathrm{H}_i(\mathrm{Aut}_n, D_n) \to \mathrm{HR}_i(D) \ , \quad \forall \, i \geq 0 \ . \tag{8.5}$$

As in [63, 13.1.8], it is easy to check that the maps (8.5) are compatible when passing from n to $n+1$. Hence, we can stabilize (8.5) by passing to the inductive limit as $n \to \infty$. With identification (8.4), the resulting stable map reads

$$\mathrm{DTr}_\infty^{\mathfrak{G}}(D) : \ \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty) \ \to \ \mathrm{HR}_*(D) \ . \tag{8.6}$$

This is a non-abelian analogue of the classical Dennis trace map (8.2). As in the classical case, it is natural to ask: When is (8.6) an isomorphism? Motivated by a theorem of Scorichenko [87] (see also [31]), we propose a conjectural answer:

Conjecture 3. *The map* (8.6) *is an isomorphism if D is a polynomial*[13] *bifunctor.*

We conclude this section a few remarks related to Conjecture 3.

1. A famous theorem of Galatius [33] asserts that natural maps from the symmetric group S_n to Aut_n (defined by permuting the generators) induce isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}_i(\mathrm{Aut}_n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_i(S_n, \mathbb{Z}) , \quad \forall\, n > 2i+1 .$$

This implies that $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, A) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, where A is any constant k-module provided k has characteristic 0 (which we always assume in this paper). Conjecture 3 implies [24, Theorem 1], which says that $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, when D is a polynomial bifunctor, *constant* with respect to its contravariant argument. Indeed, for such bifunctors, we have $\mathrm{HR}_i(D) = \mathrm{HH}_i(\mathfrak{G}, D) = 0$ for $i > 0$ because $\mathfrak{G}$ has a terminal object.

2. The direct analogue of Conjecture 3 is false in the abelian case. Indeed, if B is a constant bifunctor on $F(R)$, then $\mathrm{THH}_*(R, B)$ vanishes in positive degrees (since $F(R)$ has terminal object), but $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{GL}_\infty(R), B)$ may be highly nontrivial (see [31]). The correct version of Conjecture 3 proved in [87] replaces the stable group homology with Waldhausen's stable K-theory. In the non-abelian case, one case also state a version of Conjecture 3 for the stable K-theory of automorphism groups Aut_n instead of group homology; however, we expect that in this case, the two theories are actually isomorphic. We briefly outline an argument behind this expectation.

Let E_∞ denote the commutator subgroup of Aut_∞. It is known that E_∞ is a perfect normal subgroup, hence we can form the 'plus construction'

$$\Psi : B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty \to B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty^+ .$$

Let $F\Psi$ denote the homotopy fiber of the map Ψ. We have a canonical group homomorphism $\pi_1(F\Psi) \to \pi_1(B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_\infty$ that equips any Aut_∞-module with a $\pi_1(F\Psi)$-action. In particular, the Aut_∞-module D_∞ arising from a $\mathfrak{G}$-bimodule D may be viewed as a $\pi_1(F\Psi)$-module, and hence defines a local system on $F\Psi$. The *stable K-theory* $K^s_*(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty)$ is then defined to be $\mathrm{H}_*(F\Psi, D_\infty)$, the homology of $F\Psi$ with coefficients in the local system D_∞. Now, consider the Serre spectral sequence associated to the homotopy fibration $F\Psi \to B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty \to B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty^+$:

$$E^2_{pq} = \mathrm{H}_p(B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty^+, \mathrm{H}_q(F\Psi, D_\infty)) \implies \mathrm{H}_n(B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty) .$$

If Aut_∞ acts *trivially* on $K^s_q(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty) = \mathrm{H}_q(F\Psi, D_\infty)$ (as it happens in the classical case, see [63, 13.3.2]), then, since $B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty \to B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty^+$ is a homology equivalence for trivial coefficients, the above spectral sequence becomes

$$E^2_{pq} = \mathrm{H}_p(B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, K^s_q(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty)) \implies \mathrm{H}_n(B\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty) .$$

However, by Galatius' Theorem [33], we know that $\mathrm{H}_p(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, A) = 0$ for $p > 0$ for any constant coefficients over k. Hence, the above spectral sequence must collapse on the p-axis, giving a desired isomorphism $K^s_*(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty) \cong \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{Aut}_\infty, D_\infty)$.

Appendix A. Monoidal Dold-Kan correspondence

The Dold-Kan correspondence is a classical result that establishes an equivalence between the category $\mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathscr{A})$ of non-negatively graded chain complexes in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$ and the category $s\mathscr{A}$ of simplicial objects in $\mathscr{A}$. In this Appendix, we will describe a monoidal enrichment of this correspondence relating the category of (nonnegatively graded) DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebras to the category of simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebras for an arbitrary k-linear operad $\mathcal{P}$. For simplicity, we will fix a commutative ring k with unit, and consider only the abelian category $\mathscr{A} = \mathtt{Mod}_k$.

[13] Strictly speaking, the notion of a polynomial functor is usually defined in the literature for functors $T : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{A}$ between two additive categories (see, e.g., [63, E.13.1.3]). However, the definition of the n-th cross-effect, in terms of which one defines polynomial degrees, makes sense for functors T whose domain $\mathscr{C}$ is any pointed cocartesian monoidal category; in particular, it applies to the category $\mathfrak{G}$ (see [42]).

A.1. **The Dold-Kan correspondence.** To any simplicial k-module $X_* \in \mathtt{sMod}_k$ we can associate the chain complex

$$N(X) = [\dots \to N(X)_n \xrightarrow{\partial} N(X)_{n-1} \to \dots]$$

with $N(X)_n := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Ker}(d_i : X_n \to X_{n-1})$ for $n \geq 0$ and the differential ∂ given by d_0. The assignment $X \mapsto N(X)$ defines a functor $N : \mathtt{sMod}_k \to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$ from the category of simplicial k-modules to the category of connective chain complexes of k-modules. The functor N is called the *normalization functor*. A classical theorem due to Dold and Kan (see [94, Theorem 8.4.1]) asserts that N is an equivalence of categories.

For any simplicial k-module $X \in \mathtt{sMod}_k$, the homology groups of the chain complex $N(X)$ are naturally isomorphic to the homotopy groups $\pi_*(|X|)$ of the geometric realization of X (see [68, Theorem 22.1]). This justifies the notation $\pi_*(X) := \mathrm{H}_*[N(X)]$, which we use throughout the paper.

The inverse $N^{-1} : \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k) \to \mathtt{sMod}_k$ of the normalization functor is defined as follows. For any chain complex $V \in \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$, the degree n part of the simplicial k-module $N^{-1}(V)$ is given by

$$N^{-1}(V)_n = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\sigma : [n] \twoheadrightarrow [r]} V_r \tag{A.1}$$

We think of $N^{-1}(V)$ as adjoining to V the degeneracies of all elements in V. We write an element $x \in V_r$ in the summand corresponding to σ as $\sigma^*(x)$. When $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$, we simply write this as x, or $\eta(x)$ if we want to emphasize that we consider x to be an element in $N^{-1}(V)$ rather than V. As suggested by the notation, this determines the degeneracy maps in $N^{-1}(V)$: namely, $s_j(\sigma^*(x)) := (\sigma \circ \sigma^j)^*(x)$ (recall from Section 2.1 that $s_j := [\sigma^j]^*$). The face maps in $N^{-1}(V)$ are determined by the requirement that $d_i(\eta(x)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, and the canonical map

$$\eta : V \to N[N^{-1}(V)], \qquad x \mapsto \eta(x) = x$$

commutes with differentials, *i.e.* $d_0(\eta(x)) = \eta(d(x))$. Since all elements of $N^{-1}(V)$ other than $\eta(x)$ are sums of degenerations of $\eta(x)$, specifying the face maps on these elements determines all the face maps in $N^{-1}(V)$. This defines a simplicial k-module $N^{-1}(V)$ and hence the functor $N^{-1} : \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k) \to \mathtt{sMod}_k$ (see [41] for more details). It is easy to check that this functor is indeed the inverse of the normalization functor N.

There is an alternative way to define the normalization functor. For each simplicial k-module $X \in \mathtt{sMod}_k$, we can take the chain complex $\overline{N}(X)$ defined by

$$\overline{N}(X)_n := \frac{X_n}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} s_j(X_{n-1})} \qquad d = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i d_i : \overline{N}(X)_n \to \overline{N}(X)_{n-1} \tag{A.2}$$

Then one can show (see [41]) that the canonical map $N(X) \to \overline{N}(X)$ of chain complexes given by the composition $N(X)_n \hookrightarrow X_n \twoheadrightarrow \overline{N}(X)_n$ is an isomorphism.

Notice that the inverse (A.1) of the normalization functor has an important feature: the collection of k-modules $N^{-1}(V)_n$, as well as the degeneracy maps between them, depends only on the graded module V and not on its differential. In other words, (A.1) defines a functor $N^{-1} : \mathtt{grMod}_k \to \mathtt{Mod}_k^{\Delta_{\mathrm{surj}}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ from the category $\mathtt{grMod}_k$ of graded k-modules to the category $\mathtt{Mod}_k^{\Delta_{\mathrm{surj}}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ of $\Delta_{\mathrm{surj}}^{\mathrm{op}}$-systems of k-modules. Similarly, (A.2) gives a functor $\overline{N} : \mathtt{Mod}_k^{\Delta_{\mathrm{surj}}^{\mathrm{op}}} \to \mathtt{grMod}_k$. This will play a role in our construction of the monoidal Dold-Kan correspondence in the next section.

A.2. **Monoidal Dold-Kan correspondence.** It is a classical fact that the Dold-Kan normalization functor $N : \mathtt{sMod}_k \to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$ can be endowed with a symmetric lax monoidal structure. To describe it, we first introduce some notations. Given two simplicial modules $X, Y \in \mathtt{sMod}_k$ over a commutative ring k, we denote by $X \bar{\otimes} Y \in \mathtt{sMod}_k$ the result of applying the tensor product levelwise, *i.e.*, $(X \bar{\otimes} Y)_n := X_n \otimes_k Y_n$. Then, there is a *quasi-isomorphism* of chain complexes

$$\mathrm{sh} : N(X \bar{\otimes} Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} N(X) \otimes N(Y)$$

called the *Eilenberg-Zilber shuffle map*, which is natural (in X and Y), symmetric, associative and unital in the obvious sense (see, e.g., [68, 86] for details).

This shuffle map allows one to transfer algebraic structures from a simplicial module A to its normalization $N(A)$. For instance, if A is a simplicial associative algebra, then $N(A)$ is a DG algebra; if A is a simplicial commutative algebra, then $N(A)$ is a commutative DG algebra, *etc.* In general, for any k-linear operad $\mathcal{P}$, one can consider the category $\mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P})$ of simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebras as well as the category $\mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P})$ of non-negatively graded DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebras. If $A \in \mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P})$ is a simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebra, then each n-ary operation $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ gives a map

$$\alpha_A(\mu) \,:\, A \,\bar{\otimes}\, \overset{n}{\cdots} \,\bar{\otimes}\, A \to A$$

One can then use the Eilenberg-Zilber shuffle maps to construct the maps

$$\alpha_{N(A)}(\mu) \,:\, N(A) \otimes \overset{n}{\cdots} \otimes N(A) \xrightarrow{\text{sh}} N(\, A \,\bar{\otimes}\, \overset{n}{\cdots} \,\bar{\otimes}\, A\,) \xrightarrow{N(\alpha_A(\mu))} N(A)$$

which form the structure maps for a DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebra on $N(A)$. This defines a functor

$$N : \mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P}) \,. \tag{A.3}$$

In the special case when $\mathcal{P}$ is the Lie operad, this last functor has already appeared in [79]. Quillen showed that it has a left adjoint in that case. His proof generalizes directly to an arbitrary operad.

Proposition A.1. *The functor* (A.3) *has a left adjoint* $N^* : \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P})$.

Proof. As in [79], for any $A \in \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P})$, we define $N^*(A)$ as the following (degreewise) coequalizer of simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebras

$$N^*(A) \,=\, \text{coeq}\left[\, T_{\mathcal{P}}(N^{-1}(T_{\mathcal{P}}(A))) \underset{\text{sh}_*}{\overset{\alpha_*}{\rightrightarrows}} T_{\mathcal{P}}(N^{-1}(A)) \,\right]$$

where α_* and sh_* are induced by $N^{-1}(\alpha) \,:\, N^{-1}(T_{\mathcal{P}}(A)) \to N^{-1}(A)$ and the Eilenberg-Zilber maps $\text{sh} \,:\, N^{-1}(T_{\mathcal{P}}(A)) \to T_{\mathcal{P}}(N^{-1}(A))$ respectively. □

Notice that the proof shows that the underlying $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{surj}}$-system of $\mathcal{P}$-algebra of $N^*(A)$ depends only on the graded algebra structure of A (see the discussion at the end of the previous subsection). This observation will allow us to describe the simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebra $N^*(A)$ in the case when A is semi-free.

We first consider the commutative diagrams of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \mathtt{sMod}_k \\ {\scriptstyle N}\downarrow & (1) & \downarrow{\scriptstyle N} \\ \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k) \end{array} \qquad\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P}) & \xleftarrow{T_{\mathcal{P}}} & \mathtt{sMod}_k \\ {\scriptstyle N^*}\uparrow & (2) & \uparrow{\scriptstyle N^{-1}} \\ \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P}) & \xleftarrow{T_{\mathcal{P}}} & \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k) \end{array}$$

where we denote by $T_{\mathcal{P}}$ the free algebra functors in both simplicial and DG contexts. The square (1) obviously commutes up to isomorphism of functors. The square (2) is obtained by replacing every functor on the square (1) by its left adjoint. Therefore, it also commutes up to isomorphism of functors. The commutativity (up to isomorphism) of the square (2) can be written as

$$N^*(T_{\mathcal{P}}(V)) \cong T_{\mathcal{P}}(N^{-1}(V)) \,. \tag{A.4}$$

In other words, N^* of a free DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebra is free. The same is true for semi-free algebras. Recall that a DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebra is said to be *semi-free* if its underlying graded algebra is free over a degreewise free graded k-module V. Similarly, a simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebra A is said to be *semi-free*[14] if its underlying $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{surj}}$-system of $\mathcal{P}$-algebras is of the form $A = T_{\mathcal{P}}(N^{-1}(V))$ for a degreewise free graded k-module V.

[14]By standard definition (cf. [41]), a simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebra is called semi-free if there is a collection of subsets $B_n \subset A_n$, called a *basis*, that is closed under degeneracies and that $A_n = T_{\mathcal{P}}(B_n)$ for each n. It is clear that our definition implies this. To see the converse, notice that any basis element that is not the degeneracy of any other basis element is in fact non-degenerate in the underlying simplicial set of A. Let V be the graded k-module with a basis given by these non-degenerate basis elements. Then an application of [36, Lemma I.2.11] shows that $A = T_{\mathcal{P}}(N^{-1}(V))$ as a $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{surj}}$-system of $\mathcal{P}$-algebras.

The above discussion leads to the following

Proposition A.2. *The functor $N^* : \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P})$ sends semi-free DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebras to semi-free simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebras.*

Proof. We have seen that N^* sends free algebras to free algebras. Since the underlying $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}_{\mathrm{surj}}$-system of $N^*(A)$ depends only on the graded algebra structure of A, the result follows. □

Next, we consider the adjunction map $A \to N(N^*(A))$ in the case when $A = T_{\mathcal{P}}(V)$ is semi-free over a graded complex V. To describe this map, we first give a different interpretation of the Eilenberg-Zilber shuffle map. Namely, we view it a collection of maps that connect two symmetric monoidal structures on the category $\mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$ of chain complexes on k. We will use the "quotient" form (A.2) of the normalization functor. Thus, we consider the equivalence of categories $\overline{N} : \mathtt{sMod}_k \to \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$. One can use this equivalence to transport the symmetric monoidal structure $\bar{\otimes}$ on $\mathtt{sMod}_k$ to a symmetric monoidal structure $\underline{\otimes}$ on $\mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$. Precisely, we define $V \underline{\otimes} W := \overline{N}(N^{-1}(V) \bar{\otimes} N^{-1}(W))$ for $V, W \in \mathtt{Ch}_{\geq 0}(k)$. Then the Eilenberg-Zilber shuffle maps can be written as

$$\mathrm{sh} : V \otimes W \to V \underline{\otimes} W, \qquad x \otimes y \mapsto x \times y := \mathrm{sh}(x, y) \tag{A.5}$$

Now, suppose that a DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebra $A \in \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P})$ is semi-free over a graded k-module V, *i.e.,*

$$A \,=\, T_{\mathcal{P}}(V) \,:=\, \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes_{S_n} V^{\otimes n}$$

then by (A.4) as well as the discussion that follows, the DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebra $\overline{N}(N^*(A)) \in \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P})$ has a similar description

$$\overline{N}(N^*(A)) \,=\, \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \underline{\otimes}_{S_n} V^{\underline{\otimes} n}$$

Moreover, the adjunction map $A \to \overline{N}(N^*(A))$ is given by

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes_{S_n} V^{\otimes n} \to \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \underline{\otimes}_{S_n} V^{\underline{\otimes} n}, \qquad (\mu \,,\, x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n) \,\mapsto\, (\mu \,,\, x_1 \times \ldots \times x_n) \tag{A.6}$$

This description of the adjunction map will be useful in the next subsection when we compare the model structures on simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebras and DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebras.

A.3. **Quillen equivalence.** By [80, Section II.4, Theorem 4], there is a model structure on the category $\mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P})$ of simplicial $\mathcal{P}$-algebras where a map $f : A \to B$ is a weak equivalence (resp., fibration) if and only if the map of the underlying simplicial sets is a weak equivalence (resp., fibration). Moreover, it is shown in [44] that if k is a field of characteristic 0, then the category $\mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P})$ of DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebras also has a model structure in which a map $f : A \to B$ is a weak equivalence (resp., fibration) if and only if the map of the underlying (connective) chain complexes is a weak equivalence (resp., fibration).

From now on, we assume that k is a field of characteristic 0, and the categories $\mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P})$ are equipped with the model structures described above. Then the normalization functor $N : \mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P})$ preserves fibrations and weak equivalences. Therefore, the adjunction

$$N^* \,:\, \mathtt{DGA}(\mathcal{P}) \rightleftarrows \mathtt{sAlg}(\mathcal{P}) \,:\, N \tag{A.7}$$

is a Quillen pair. In fact, we have the following theorem, which is the main result of this appendix.

Theorem A.1. *The Quillen pair* (A.7) *is a Quillen equivalence.*

Proof. It suffices to show that, for any semi-free DG $\mathcal{P}$-algebra $A = T_{\mathcal{P}}(V)$, unit of the adjunction (A.7) is a weak equivalence. Composing this adjunction map with the isomorphism $N(N^*(A)) \to \overline{N}(N^*(A))$, we can consider the map

$$A \to \overline{N}(N^*(A)) \,, \tag{A.8}$$

which depends only on the underlying graded $\mathcal{P}$-algebra structure of A.

If A is free, *i.e.,* when the differential on $A = T_{\mathcal{P}}(V)$ is induced by the differential on a chain complex V, then for each $n \geq 0$, the map

$$\mathrm{sh} : \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{P}(n) \underline{\otimes} V^{\underline{\otimes} n}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism because it is induced by the Eilenberg-Zilber shuffle map (which is always a quasi-isomorphism). Since k is a field of characteristic 0, the same is true when we pass to S_n-coinvariants

$$\mathrm{sh} : \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes_{S_n} V^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{P}(n) \underline{\otimes}_{S_n} V^{\underline{\otimes} n}$$

This shows that the map (A.8) is a quasi-isomorphism in the case when A is free.

In the general case, when $A = T_{\mathcal{P}}(V)$ is semi-free over a graded k-module V, choose a homogeneous basis of V, and assign a weight grading $\mathrm{wt}(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ for each such basis element x. This induces a grading on A, where an element $(\mu\,, x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes_{S_n} V^{\otimes n}$ has weight grading $\mathrm{wt}(x_1) + \cdots + \mathrm{wt}(x_n)$.

The underlying $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}_{\mathrm{surj}}$-system of $N^*(A)$ is given by $N^*(A) = T_{\mathcal{P}}(N^{-1}(V))$. Therefore, its elements in degree m are sums of elements of the form $(\mu\,, \sigma_1^*(x_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \sigma_n^*(x_n))$ where $\phi_i : [m] \twoheadrightarrow [r_i]$ and $x_i \in V_{r_i}$. Assign the weight grading $\mathrm{wt}(x_1) + \cdots + \mathrm{wt}(x_n)$ to this element. Then it is clear that all the degeneracy maps preserve this weight grading. This induces a weight grading in the normalization $\overline{N}(N^*(A))$. Moreover, the map (A.8) preserves this grading.

In general, the differentials on both sides of (A.8) do not preserve the grading. However, if we let $F_n(A)$ be the filtration on A induced by the weight grading, then we can always choose the weight grading on the homogeneous basis of V inductively so that $d(F_n(A)) \subset F_{n-1}(A)$. Moreover, if we let $G_n = G_n(\overline{N}(N^*(A)))$ be the filtration on $\overline{N}(N^*(A))$ induced by the weight grading on $\overline{N}(N^*(A))$, then we have $d(G_n) \subset G_n$ for all n. This can be shown, for example, by considering the filtration $\tilde{G}_n$ on $N^*(A)$ induced by the weight grading and showing that the face maps preserve the filtration, *i.e.,* $d_i(\tilde{G}_n) \subset \tilde{G}_n$ for all face maps d_i.

Therefore, both the domain and target of the map (A.8) of chain complexes admit filtrations by subcomplexes, such that the map (A.8) preserves these subcomplexes. Since these filtrations are induced by gradings, the graded k-modules associated to these filtrations can be canonically identified with the original graded k-modules, *i.e.,* we have

$$\mathrm{gr}_F(A) \;\cong\; T_{\mathcal{P}}(V)\,, \qquad\qquad \mathrm{gr}_G(\overline{N}(N^*(A))) \;\cong\; \overline{N}(T_{\mathcal{P}}(N^{-1}(V)))$$

as graded k-modules. In fact, a direct inspection of both sides shows that these isomorphisms are actually isomorphisms of chain complexes, where V has zero differentials.

Hence, the induced map $\mathrm{gr}_F(A) \to \mathrm{gr}_G(\overline{N}(N^{-1}(A)))$ on the associated graded chain complexes coincides with the adjunction map $A' \to \overline{N}(N^*(A'))$ for the free algebra $A' = T_{\mathcal{P}}(V)$ with zero differential. This map is a quasi-isomorphism by our argument in the free case. Since the filtrations $F_\bullet$ and $G_\bullet$ are bounded below and exhaustive, the map (A.8) induces an isomorphism on homology by the Eilenberg-Moore comparison theorem [94, Thm. 5.5.11]. □

Remark. As mentioned above, in the case when $\mathcal{P}$ is the Lie operad, Theorem A.1 goes back to Quillen. If $\mathcal{P}$ is the associative operad (and k is *any* field), Theorem A.1 is proved (by a different method) in [86].

Appendix B. Simplicial commutative algebras of finite type

Fix a field k of characteristic 0. By Theorem A.1, there is a Quillen equivalence between the model category $\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ of simplicial commutative algebras and the model category $\mathtt{DGCA}_k^+$ of connective commutative differential graded algebras:

$$N^* \;:\; \mathtt{DGCA}_k^+ \rightleftarrows \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k \;:\; N \quad .$$

Using this equivalence, one can prove the following

Proposition B.1. *For an object $[A] \in \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) *$\pi_0(A)$ is a finitely generated k-algebra, and each $\pi_n(A)$ is finitely generated as a module over $\pi_0(A)$.*
(2) *The homotopy type $N([A]) \in \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{DGCA}_k^+)$ has a representative $B \in \mathtt{DGCA}_k^+$ such that B is semi-free DG algebra over k with finitely many generators in each homological degree.*

(3) *The homotopy type* $[A]$ *has a representative* $A = \{A_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ *in* $\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ *such that each* A_n *is finitely generated over* k.

A detailed proof of this proposition is given in [93].

Definition B.1. An object $[A] \in \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$ that satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition B.1 is called an algebra of *quasi-finite type*.

For any $[A] \in \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$, we can define an augmentation of $[A]$ to be either a map $[A] \to [k]$ in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$, or a map $A \to k$ in $\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$, or a map $\pi_0(A) \to k$ in $\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$ (which are clearly equivalent). We will denote an augmentation as ε_x, thinking of it as a k-point on the affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0(A))$.

Any augmentation ε_x allows one to linearize A. Namely, let $\mathfrak{m}_x$ be the kernel of the map $\varepsilon_x : A \to k$, then we define $\mathcal{Q}_x(A) := \mathfrak{m}_x \,/\, \mathfrak{m}_x^2$. This gives the linearization functor from the category of augmented simplicial commutative algebra to the category of simplicial k-modules

$$\mathcal{Q} \,:\, \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_{k/k} \to \mathtt{sMod}_k \ .$$

The functor $\mathcal{Q}$ has a right adjoint, given by assigning the simplicial commutative algebra $k \oplus M$ to a simplicial k-module M. This forms a Quillen adjunction, and allows one to define the derived functor

$$\boldsymbol{L}\mathcal{Q} \,:\, \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_{k/k}) \to \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sMod}_k) \ ,$$

which is the classical cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}^{A/k}$ (see [2, 81]). Given a map $f : [A] \to [B]$ in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$, any augmentation $\varepsilon_x : [B] \to [k]$ of $[B]$ determines an augmentation $\varepsilon_{f^*(x)} : [A] \xrightarrow{f} [B] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_x} [k]$ of $[A]$. The map f is said to be *étale* at x if the induced map

$$f : \boldsymbol{L}\mathcal{Q}_{f^*(x)}(A) \to \boldsymbol{L}\mathcal{Q}_x(B)$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sMod}_k)$.

Let $\bar{k}$ be the algebraic closure of k. Then a map $f : [A] \to [B]$ in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$ is called *étale* if its base change $\tilde{f} : [A_{\bar{k}}] \to [B_{\bar{k}}]$ to the algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ is étale for every augmentation $\varepsilon_x : [B_{\bar{k}}] \to [\bar{k}]$. The proposition below roughly says that a simplicial commutative algebra of quasi-finite type is determined by its underlying scheme as well as its derived cotangent spaces. When stated in terms of differential graded commutative algebras, this result follows either from [54, Proposition 1.3] or [5, Corollary 2.7]. The following version for simplicial commutative algebras then follows from Theorem A.1.

Proposition B.2. *Let* $f : [A] \to [B]$ *be a morphism in* $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$ *between two objects of quasi-finite type. Suppose* f *is étale and induces an isomorphism in* $\pi_0(f) : \pi_0(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0(B)$. *Then* f *is an isomorphism in* $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$.

Homotopical arguments often require imposing the cofibrancy condition on objects and morphisms between simplicial commutative algebras. As observed in [54], the above proposition allows one to replace the cofibrancy condition by certain smoothness conditions. To this end, we introduce the following replacement of cofibrations.

Definition B.2. We say that a map $f : A \to B$ of simplicial commutative algebras is a *smooth extension* if it can be written as an (infinite) composition

$$A = sk_{-1}(A) \to sk_0(A) \to sk_1(A) \to \ldots \to \varinjlim sk_*(A) = B$$

such that each map $sk_{n-1}(A) \to sk_n(A)$ is a pushout of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta[n] \otimes C_n & \longrightarrow & \Delta[n] \otimes C_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ sk_{n-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & sk_n(A) \end{array}$$

where C_n is some (formally) smooth commutative algebra in $\mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$. Then, we say that a simplicial commutative algebra A is *smooth* if the structure map $k \to A$ is a smooth extension.

Notice that smooth extensions are preserved under compositions and pushouts. Moreover, if we focus on cotangent complexes, then smooth objects and smooth extensions between objects share the same crucial properties as cofibrant objects and cofibrations between objects. This is the content of the following proposition, for a detailed proof of which we refer to [93].

Proposition B.3. (1) *Suppose that A is a smooth simplicial commutative algebra, then for any augmentation $\varepsilon_x : A_{\bar{k}} \to \bar{k}$, the canonical map $\boldsymbol{L}\mathcal{Q}_x(A_{\bar{k}}) \to \mathcal{Q}_x(A_{\bar{k}})$ is an isomorphism in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sMod}_{\bar{k}})$.*
(2) *Suppose that $f : A \to B$ is a smooth extension of simplicial commutative algebras, then for any augmentation $\varepsilon_x : B_{\bar{k}} \to \bar{k}$, the induced map $\mathcal{Q}_x f : \mathcal{Q}_{f^*(x)}(A_{\bar{k}}) \to \mathcal{Q}_x(B_{\bar{k}})$ on the linearizations is a cofibration in $\mathtt{sMod}_{\bar{k}}$.*

Now, we introduce the notion of a Reedy smooth diagram. For the definition of a Reedy category, we refer to [46, Definition 15.1.2].

Definition B.3. Given a Reedy category I, a diagram $A : I \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ is called *Reedy smooth* if for each $\alpha \in I$, the latching map $f_\alpha : L_\alpha(A) \to A(\alpha)$ is a smooth extension.

Recall that, if $F : I \to \mathcal{M}$ is a Reedy cofibrant diagram in any model category, then F is objectwise cofibrant. A similar statement is true for Reedy smooth diagrams $A : I \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$. Namely, one can write each $A(\alpha)$ as a (possibly transfinite[15]) composition of smooth extensions, and therefore it is itself a (direct limit of) smooth simplicial commutative algebras. Thus, one can apply Proposition B.3 to deduce the following

Lemma B.1. *Suppose that $A : I \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ is a Reedy smooth diagram, then for each $\alpha \in I$ and each $\bar{k}$-valued augmentation $\varepsilon_x : A(\alpha)_{\bar{k}} \to \bar{k}$, we have*
(1) *The canonical map $\boldsymbol{L}\mathcal{Q}_x(A(\alpha)_{\bar{k}}) \to \mathcal{Q}_x(A(\alpha)_{\bar{k}})$ is an isomorphism in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sMod}_{\bar{k}})$.*
(2) *The latching map $f_\alpha : L_\alpha(A) \to A(\alpha)$ induces a cofibration on the linearization $\mathcal{Q}_x f_\alpha : \mathcal{Q}_{f^*(x)}(L_\alpha(A)_{\bar{k}}) \to \mathcal{Q}_x A(\alpha)_{\bar{k}}$ in $\mathtt{sMod}_{\bar{k}}$.*

We will be primarily concerned with the case when the Reedy category I is the simplicial category Δ. In this case, one can tensor any diagram $A : \Delta \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ with a simplicial set $X : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathtt{Set}$ to obtain $X \otimes_\Delta A \in \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$. The latching maps for A can be written in terms of these tensor products. In fact, by [46, Proposition 16.3.8], the latching map for A at $[n] \in \Delta$ can be identified with

$$L_\alpha(A) \cong \partial\Delta[n] \otimes_\Delta A \longrightarrow \Delta[n] \otimes_\Delta A \cong A(\alpha) \tag{B.1}$$

For each fixed X, the functor $X \otimes_\Delta - : (\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)^\Delta \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ has a total left derived functor. The corresponding derived tensor product $X \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_\Delta A \in \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$ is represented by the tensor prodcut $X \otimes_\Delta Q$ for any Reedy cofibrant replacement Q of A.

Recall that a simplicial set X is *finite* if it has finitely many nondegenerate elements. The following lemma asserts that tensoring over a finite simplicial set preserves quasi-finiteness. It can be proved by induction on the filtration of X by its skeletons $sk_n(X)$ (see [93] for details).

Lemma B.2. *Let X be a finite simplicial set. Suppose that $A : \Delta \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$, $[n] \mapsto \{A^n_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ is a cosimplicial simplicial commutative algebra such that each $A^n_m \in \mathtt{Comm\,Alg}_k$ is finitely generated, then both the tensor product $X \otimes_\Delta A$ and the derived tensor product $X \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_\Delta A$ are of quasi-finite type.*

Lemma B.2 implies the following proposition which is the main result of this appendix.

Proposition B.4. *Let X be a finite simplicial set. Suppose that $A : \Delta \to \mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k$ is a Reedy smooth cosimplicial simplicial commutative algebras, then the canonical map*

$$p : X \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_\Delta A \to X \otimes_\Delta A$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm\,Alg}_k)$.

[15] This composition is finite if there are only finitely many objects in I in each degree, and all the morphism sets in I are finite. This condition is satisfied in all the examples of Reedy categories that we consider in this paper.

Proof. The π_0 part of both $X \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\Delta} A$ and $X \otimes_{\Delta} A$ are given by $X \otimes_{\Delta} \pi_0(A)$. Therefore, by Proposition B.2 and Lemma B.2, it suffices to show that p is étale. To this end, consider the category of simplicies $\Delta \downarrow X$. Being a left Kan extension, the tensor product $X \otimes_{\Delta} A$ can be written as the colimit of the diagram $(\Delta \downarrow X) \to \Delta \xrightarrow{A} \mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$:

$$X \otimes_{\Delta} A \cong \operatorname*{colim}_{([n],c)\in\Delta\downarrow X} A^n \ .$$

Now, choose any Reedy cofibrant resolution $p : Q \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, where $Q : \Delta \to \mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$, and consider the induced map

$$X \otimes_{\Delta} Q \cong \operatorname*{colim}_{([n],c)\in\Delta\downarrow X} Q^n \xrightarrow{p} \operatorname*{colim}_{([n],c)\in\Delta\downarrow X} A^n \cong X \otimes_{\Delta} A \ .$$

For each $([n], c) \in \Delta \downarrow X$, any $\bar{k}$-valued augmentation $\varepsilon_x : X \otimes_{\Delta} A_{\bar{k}} \to \bar{k}$ induces an augmentation (still denoted as ε_x) of the simplicial commutative algebra $A^{(n)}_{\bar{k}}$. This determines a map $p^{\bullet} : Q^{\bullet}_{\bar{k}} \to A^{\bullet}_{\bar{k}}$ of $(\Delta \downarrow X)$-diagrams of augmented simplicial commutative algebras. By (the proof of) [46, Proposition 16.3.12], both of $Q^{\bullet}_{\bar{k}}$ and $A^{\bullet}_{\bar{k}}$ are still Reedy smooth when considered as $(\Delta \downarrow X)$-diagrams of simplicial commutative algebras. Therefore, by Lemma B.1(2), both of $\mathcal{Q}_{p^*x}(Q^{\bullet}_{\bar{k}})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_x(A^{\bullet}_{\bar{k}})$ are Reedy cofibrant as $(\Delta \downarrow X)$-diagrams of simplicial k-modules. Moreover, by Lemma B.1(1), the linearization map

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p^*x}(Q^{\bullet}_{\bar{k}}) \to \mathcal{Q}_x(A^{\bullet}_{\bar{k}})$$

is a degreewise quasi-isomorphism. Therefore, $p^{\bullet}$ induces a quasi-isomorphism on colimits. In other words, for any augmentation $\varepsilon_x : X \otimes_{\Delta} A_{\bar{k}} \to \bar{k}$, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Q}_x(p) \ : \ \mathcal{Q}_{p^*x}(X \otimes_{\Delta} Q_{\bar{k}}) \to \mathcal{Q}_x(X \otimes_{\Delta} A_{\bar{k}}) \ .$$

Since X is finite, $X \otimes_{\Delta} A$ is a smooth simplicial commutative algebra. Therefore, by Lemma B.1(1), the linearization $\mathcal{Q}_x(X \otimes_{\Delta} A_{\bar{k}})$ appearing as the target of the map $\mathcal{Q}_x(p)$ represents its derived linearization. By cofibrancy of Q and hence $X \otimes_{\Delta} Q$, the same is true for the domain of this map. This shows that $p \ : \ X \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}}_{\Delta} A \to X \otimes_{\Delta} A$ is étale, which completes the proof. □

The above argument can be modified to show that, in some cases, to compute homotopy colimit, it suffices to resolve a diagram by a Reedy smooth diagram. To this end, we adopt the terminology of [26], and say that a category I is *very small* if

(1) I has a finite set of objects and a finite set of morphisms, and
(2) there exists an integer N such that, if $A_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_n} A_n$ is a string of composable morphisms of I with $n > N$, then some f_i is the identity morphism in I.

For any object $\alpha \in I$ in such a category, define its degree as the largest integer n such that there exists a string $A_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_n} A_n = \alpha$ of composable morphisms, with none of the morphisms f_i being the identity. Then, every non-identity morphism raises degree. Therefore, the category I is a Reedy category, with $I^+ = I$, and I^- consisting only of the identity morphisms.

Proposition B.5. *Let I be a very small category, and let P be an I-shaped diagram of simplicial commutative algebras. Suppose that P is Reedy smooth, then the canonical map* $\mathrm{hocolim}(P) \to \mathrm{colim}(P)$ *is an isomorphism in* $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k)$.

Proof. First, we show that both $\mathrm{hocolim}(P)$ and the ordinary colimit $\mathrm{colim}(P)$ are of quasi-finite type. The fact that $\mathrm{colim}(P)$ is of quasi-finite type follows from condition (3) of Proposition B.1. To see that $\mathrm{hocolim}(P)$ is of quasi-finite type, we first construct inductively a cofibrant resolution Q of P such that each $Q(\alpha) \in \mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$ is degreewise finitely generated. Then, $\mathrm{hocolim}(P) \simeq \mathrm{colim}(Q)$ is again of quasi-finite type by condition (3) of Proposition B.1. This allows one to apply Proposition B.2 to the map $f : \mathrm{colim}(Q) \to \mathrm{colim}(P)$. Any augmentation $x : \mathrm{colim}(P)_{\bar{k}} \to \bar{k}$ determine a compatible system of augmentations $x_\alpha : P(\alpha)_{\bar{k}} \to \bar{k}$, which can be further pulled back to a compatible system of augmentations $f^*(x_\alpha) : Q(\alpha)_{\bar{k}} \to \bar{k}$. Taking linearizations gives a map

$$f : (\mathcal{Q}_x(Q(\alpha)_{\bar{k}}))_{\alpha\in I} \to (\mathcal{Q}_x(P(\alpha)_{\bar{k}}))_{\alpha\in I}$$

The assumption that $P \in \mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k^I$ is Reedy smooth implies (see Lemma B.1) that this map is a termwise quasi-equivalence map of Reedy cofibrant I-shaped diagram in $\mathtt{sMod}_{\bar{k}}$. Therefore, f induces a quasi-isomorphism on colimits: i.e., the map $\mathcal{Q}_x(f) : \mathcal{Q}_{f^*x}(\mathrm{colim}(Q)) \to \mathcal{Q}_x(\mathrm{colim}(P))$ is a quasi-isomorphism. By smoothness of $\mathrm{colim}(P)$ and cofibrancy of $\mathrm{colim}(Q)$, this map represents a map on derived linearizations as well. This shows that $\mathrm{colim}(Q) \to \mathrm{colim}(P)$ is étale, finishing the proof. □

As an application, we can now give a proof of Lemma 4.2 stated in Section 4.2.

Corollary B.1. *The functor* $\mathrm{DRep}_G : \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sGr}) \to \mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k)$ *preserves homotopy pushouts.*

Proof. Suppose we are given a diagram $[\,\Gamma' \hookleftarrow \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma''\,]$ of simplicial groups, where Γ is semi-free, and the two arrows are semi-free extensions. Let P be the diagram $P = [\,(\Gamma')_G \leftarrow (\Gamma)_G \to (\Gamma'')_G\,]$ obtained from $[\,\Gamma' \hookleftarrow \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma''\,]$ by applying the representation functor (4.4). Then we have to show that the canonical map $\mathrm{hocolim}(P) \to \mathrm{colim}(P)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathtt{Ho}(\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k)$. Since the weak equivalences in $\mathtt{sComm}\,\mathtt{Alg}_k$ are preserved under filtered colimits, it suffices to assume that the simplicial groups Γ, Γ' and Γ'' are of finite type (*i.e.,* they are semi-free with finitely many nondegenerate generators). While P is not, in general, Reedy cofibrant, it is Reedy smooth for any algebraic group G. The result therefore follows from Proposition B.5. □

References

[1] J. F. Adams, *On the cobar construction*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **42** (1956), 409–412.

[2] M. André, *Homologie des algèbres commutatives*, Grund. Math., Springer Verlag, 1974.

[3] D. Ayala and J. Francis, *Factorization homology of topological manifolds*, J. Topology **8** (2015), 1045–1084.

[4] H. J. Baues and G. Wirsching, *Cohomology of small categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **38** (1985), no. 2-3, 187–211.

[5] K. Behrend, *Differential graded schemes I: perfect resolving algebras*; `arXiv:math/0212225`

[6] Yu. Berest, G. Khachatryan and A. Ramadoss, *Derived representation schemes and cyclic homology*, Adv. Math. **245** (2013), 625–689.

[7] Yu. Berest and A. Ramadoss, *Stable representation homology and Koszul duality*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **715** (2016), 143–187.

[8] Yu. Berest, G. Felder, A. Patotski, A. C. Ramadoss and T. Willwacher, *Representation homology, Lie algebra cohomology and the derived Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (2017), to appear; `arXiv:1410.0043`.

[9] Yu. Berest, G. Felder, A. Patotski, A. C. Ramadoss and T. Willwacher, *Chern-Simons forms and higher character maps of Lie representations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **2017**, no. 1, 158–212.

[10] Yu. Berest, G. Felder and A. Ramadoss, *Derived representation schemes and noncommutative geometry*, Contemp. Math. **607** (2014), 113–162.

[11] Yu. Berest, A. Eshmatov and W.-K. Yeung, *Perverse sheaves and knot contact homology*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2017.02.007.

[12] Yu. Berest, A. Ramadoss and Y. Zhang, *Dual Hodge decompositions and derived Poisson brackets*, Selecta Math. (2017), doi:10.1007/s00029-017-0309-7.

[13] J. S. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974.

[14] M. Brun, G. Carlsson and B. Dundas, *Covering homology*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 3166–3213.

[15] U. Buijs, Y. Félix, A. Murillo and D. Tanré, *Lie models of simplicial sets and representability of the Quillen functor*, `arXiv:1508.01442`

[16] U. Buijs, Y. Félix, A. Murillo and D. Tanré, *The homotopy theory of complete differential graded Lie algebras*, `arXiv:1601.05331`

[17] U. Buijs, Y. Félix, A. Murillo and D. Tanré, *Maurer-Cartan elements in the Lie models of finite simplicial complexes*, `arXiv:1606.08794`.

[18] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1956.

[19] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and M. Kapranov, *Derived Quot schemes*, Ann. Sci. ENS **34** (2001), 403–440.

[20] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and M. Kapranov, *Derived Hilbert schemes*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 4, 787–815.

[21] C. Chevalley, *The Betti numbers of the exceptional simple Lie groups*, Proc. Intern. Congress of Math., Cambridge, Mass., 1950, Vol. II, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1952, pp. 21–24.

[22] D. Cooper, M. Culler, H. Gillet, D. Long, P. Shalen, *Plane curves associated to character varieties of 3-manifolds*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 47–84.

[23] E. B. Curtis, *Some relations between homotopy and homology*, Ann. Math. **82** (1965), 386–413.

[24] A. Djament and C. Vespa, *Sur l'homologie des groupes d'automorphismes des groupes libres á coefficients polynomiaux*, Comment. Math. Helv. **90** (2015), 33–58.

[25] V. G. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, Leningrad Math. J., **2** (1991), 829–860.

[26] W. Dwyer and J. Spalinski, *Homotopy theories and model categories* in *Handbook of Algebraic Topology*, Elsevier, 1995, pp. 73–126.
[27] B. Feigin, *On the cohomology of the Lie algebra of vector fields and of the current algebra*, Sel. Math. Sovietca **7** (1988), 49–62.
[28] Y. Felix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **205**, Springer Verlag, New York, 2001.
[29] Y. Felix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, *Differential graded algebras in topology* in *Handbook of Algebraic Topology*, Elsevier, 1995, pp. 829–865.
[30] S. Fishel, I. Grojnowski and C. Teleman, *The strong Macdonald conjectures and Hodge theory on the loop Grassmannian*, Ann. Math. (2) **168** (2008), 175–220.
[31] V. Franjou, E. M. Friedlander, T. Pirashvili and L. Schwartz, *Rational representations, the Steenrod algebra and functor homology*, Panoramas et Synthèses **16**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2003.
[32] B. Fresse, *Homotopy of operads and Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups*, Vol. II. To be published as Vol 217.2 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, AMS.
[33] S. Galatius, *Stable homology of automorphism groups of free groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 2, 705-768.
[34] I. Galvez-Carrilo, F. Neumann and A. Tonks, *Andre spectral sequences for Baues-Wirsching cohomology of categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), no. 11, 2549–2561.
[35] I. Gálvez-Carrilo, F. Neumann and A. Tonks, *Thomason cohomology of categories*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **217** (2013), 2163–2179.
[36] S. I. Gelfand and Yu. Manin, *Methods of Homological Algebra*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
[37] E. Getzler and M. Kapranov, *Cyclic operads and cyclic homology*, Geometry, topology and physics, 167-201, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology, IV, Intl. Press, Cambridge MA 1995.
[38] G. Ginot, *Notes on factorization algebras, factorization homology and applications*, in *Mathematical Aspects of Quantum Field Theories*, Math. Phys. Stud., Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. 429–552.
[39] G. Ginot, T. Tradler and M. Zeinalian, *Higher Hochschild homology, topological chiral homology and factorization algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **326** (2014), 635–686.
[40] V. Ginzburg, *Lectures on Noncommutative Geometry*, `arXiv:math/0506603`.
[41] R. Goerss and R. Jardine, *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
[42] M. Hartl, T. Pirashvili and C. Vespa, *Polynomial functors from algebras over a set-operad and nonlinear Mackey functors*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2015**, no. 6, 1461–1551.
[43] K. Hess and A. Tonks, *The loop group and the cobar construction*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 1861–1876.
[44] V. Hinich, *Homological algebra of homotopy algebras*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), 3291–3323.
[45] V. Hinich, *DG coalgebras as formal stacks*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **162** (2001), 209–250.
[46] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model Categories and Their Localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **99**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2003.
[47] J. Hollender and R. M. Vogt, *Modules of topological spaces, applications to homotopy limits and E_∞ structures*, Arch. Math. **59** (1992), 115–129.
[48] M. Hovey, *Model Categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **63**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
[49] J. Huebschmann, *Extended moduli spaces, the Kan construction, and lattice gauge theory*, Topology **38**(1999), 555–596.
[50] M. Jibladze and T. Pirashvili, *Cohomology of algebraic theories*, J. Algebra **137** (1991), no. 2, 253–296.
[51] J. D. S. Jones, *Cyclic homology and equivariant homology*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 403–423.
[52] D. M. Kan, *On homotopy theory and c.s.s. groups*, Ann. Math. **68** (1958), 38–53.
[53] D. M. Kan, *On c.s.s. complexes*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1957), 449–476.
[54] M. Kapranov, *Injective resolutions of BG and derived moduli spaces of local systems*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **155** (2001), 167–179.
[55] M. Kassabov and A. Patotski, *Character varieties as a tensor product*, `arXiv:1610.02694`
[56] B. Keller, *A-infinity algebras, modules and functor categories*, Contemp. Math. **406** (2006), 67–93.
[57] P. Kirk and E. Klassen, *Chern-Simons invariants of 3-manifolds and representation spaces of knot groups*, Math. Ann. **287** (1990), 343–367.
[58] P. Kirk and E. Klassen, *Chern-Simons invariants of 3-manifolds decomposed along tori and the circle bundle over the representation space of T^2*, Comm. Math. Phys. **153** (1993), 521–557.
[59] S. Klaus and M. Kreck, *A quick proof of the rational Hurewicz theorem and a computation of the rational homotopy groups of spheres*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136** (2004), 617–623.
[60] M. Kontsevich and A. Rosenberg, *Noncommutative smooth spaces* in *The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars 1996-1999*, Birkháuser Boston, Boston, 2000, pp. 85–108.
[61] X.-S. Lin, *A knot invariant via representation spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), 337–357.
[62] J-L. Loday, *Opérations sur l'homologie cyclique des algèbres commutatives*, Invent. Math. **96** (1989), 205–230.
[63] J-L. Loday, *Cyclic homology*, Grundl. Math. Wiss. **301**, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
[64] J-L. Loday, *Homotopical syzygies*, Contemp. Math. **265** (2000), 99–127.
[65] A. Lubotsky and A. Magid, *Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1985), no. 336, 117 pp.

[66] S. MacLane, *Categorical algebra*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), 40–106.
[67] M. Majewski, *Rational homotopical models and uniqueness*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2000), no. 682, 149 pp.
[68] J. P. May, *Simplicial Objects in Algebraic Topology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1992.
[69] C. McMullen, *The Alexander polynomial of a 3-manifold and the Thurston norm on cohomology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **35** (2002), 153–171.
[70] J. Milnor, *On the construction $F[K]$* in *Algebraic Topology*, J. F. Adams (Ed.), Lecture Notes of the London Math. Soc. **4** (1972), 119–136
[71] G. Massuyeau and V. Turaev, *Brackets in representation algebras of Hopf algebras*, `arXiv:1508.07566`.
[72] B. Mitchell, *Rings with several objects*, Adv. Math. **8** (1972), 1–161.
[73] L. Ng, *Knot and braid invariants from contact homology I*, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 247–297.
[74] L. Ng, *Knot and braid invariants from contact homology II*, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 1603–1637.
[75] T. Pirashvili, *Hodge decomposition for higher order Hochschild homology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **33** (2000), 151–179.
[76] T. Pirashvili, *On the PROP corresponding to bialgebras*, Cahiers de Topologie et Géom. Diff Cat. **43** (2002), 221–239.
[77] T. Pirashvili and F. Waldhausen, *Mac Lane homology and topological Hochschild homology*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **82** (1992), 81–98.
[78] J. Przytycki and A. Sikora, *On skein algebras and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character varieties*, Topology **39** (2000), 115–148.
[79] D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. Math. **90** (1969), 205–295.
[80] D. Quillen, *Homotopical Algebra*, Lecture Notes in Math. **43**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
[81] D. Quillen, *One the (co-)homology of commutative rings*, 1970 Applications of Categorical Algebra (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol XVII, New York, 1968), 65-87. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
[82] E. Riehl, *Categorical Homotopy Theory*, New Mathematical Monographs **24**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
[83] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and Links*, Mathematics Lecture Series **7**, Publish or Perish, Inc., Berkeley, Calif., 1976.
[84] J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, 2nd Edition, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2009.
[85] M. Scharlemann, *Heegaard splittings of compact 3-manifolds*, in *Handbook of Geometric Topology*, North Holland, 2002, pp. 921–953.
[86] S. Schwede and B. Shipley, *Equivalences of monoidal model categories*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **3** (2003), 287–334.
[87] A. Scorichenko, *Stable K-theory and functor homology*, Ph.D. Thesis, Northwestern University, 2000.
[88] A. S. Sikora, *Character varieties of abelian groups*, Math. Z. **277** (2014), 241–256.
[89] C. Teleman, *Some Hodge theory from Lie algebras* in *Motives, Polylogarithms and Hodge Theory*. Int. Press Lect. Ser. 3, vol. II, Somerville, MA, 2002, pp. 731–744.
[90] M. Thaddeus, *Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality, and commuting elements of Lie groups*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **22** (2001), 1169–1193.
[91] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi, *Algèbres simpliciales $\mathbb{S}^1$-équivariantes, théorie de de Rham et théorèmes HKR multiplicatifs.* Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 6, 1979–2000.
[92] V. Turchin and Th. Willwacher, *Hochschild-Pirashvili homology on suspensions and representations of* $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$, preprint `arXiv:1507.08483`.
[93] Wai-kit Yeung, *Derived representation schemes of simplicial groups*, Ph.D. thesis, in preperation.
[94] C. A. Weibel, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **38**, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-4201, USA
E-mail address: `berest@math.cornell.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA
E-mail address: `ajcramad@indiana.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-4201, USA
E-mail address: `wy236@cornell.edu`